

Sea Link

Sea Link

Volume 6: Environmental Statement

Document: 6.3.4.6.A Part 4 Marine Chapter <u>67</u>, Appendix A Marine Archaeological Technical Report


Planning Inspectorate Reference: EN020026

Version: CB

May November 2025

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 Regulation 5(2)(a)





Contents

| 6. | Marine Archaeological Technical Report | 1_ | Field Code Changed |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 6.1 Introduction | | | Field Code Changed |
| 6.2 Legislation, Guidance and Policy | | | Field Code Changed |
| 6.3 | Methodology | <u>16</u> 15 | Field Code Changed |
| 6.4 | Marine Archaeological Assessment: Palaeogeography | <u>37</u> 36 | Field Code Changed |
| 6.5 | Marine Archaeological Assessment: Maritime, Aviation Sites and Geophysical | | Field Code Changed |
| | Anomalies of Archaeological Potential | <u>5150</u> | |
| 6.6 | Marine Archaeological Assessment: Coastal and Intertidal Heritage Assets | <u>76</u> 74 | Field Code Changed |
| 6.7 | Assessment of Historic Seascape Character | <u>82</u> 80 | Field Code Changed |
| 6.8 | Summary of Results and Overall Sensitivity | <u>83</u> 81 | Field Code Changed |
| 6.9 | References | <u>85</u> 83 | Field Code Changed |
| Anne | ex 6.A.1 Terminology | <u>100</u> 97 | Field Code Changed |
| | ex 6.A.2 Legislation, Policy and Guidance | <u>104</u> 101 | Field Code Changed |
| Anne | ex 6.A.3 Paleogeographic Features of Archaeological Potential | <u>109</u> 106 | Field Code Changed |
| Anne | ex 6.A.4 Vibrocore Locations | <u>127</u> 12 4 | Field Code Changed |
| Anne | ex 6.A.5 Stage 1 Geotechnical Review | <u>129</u> 126 | Field Code Changed |
| Anne | | | Tield code changed |
| | ex 6.A.6 Stage 2 Recommendations | <u>140</u> 137 | Field Code Changed |
| Anne | ex 6.A.6 Stage 2 Recommendations ex 6.A.7 Seabed Features of Archaeological Potential | 140 137 141 138 | |
| | - | | Field Code Changed |
| | ex 6.A.7 Seabed Features of Archaeological Potential | | Field Code Changed Field Code Changed |
| Anne | ex 6.A.8 Additional Seabed Features Not Identified in Marine | 141138 | Field Code Changed Field Code Changed |
| Anne | ex 6.A.7 Seabed Features of Archaeological Potential ex 6.A.8 Additional Seabed Features Not Identified in Marine Geophysical Survey Data | <u>141</u> 138 <u>282</u> 279 | Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed |

Table of Tables

| I able 6.1 Summary of relevant NPS documents | 4 |
|---|----------------|
| Table 6.2 East Suffolk Council's Suffolk Coastal Local Plan policies | 9 |
| Table 6.3 Thanet District Local Plan policies | <u>11</u> 40 |
| Table 6.4 Dover District Council Local Plan policies | <u>13</u> 12 |
| Table 6.5 Criteria to assess the archaeological value of marine heritage assets | <u>23</u> 21 |
| Table 6.6 Stage approach to geoarchaeological investigations | <u>27</u> 24 |
| Table 6.7 Summary of survey equipment | <u>29</u> 26 |
| Table 6.8 Software used for geophysical assessment | <u>31</u> 27 |
| Table 6.9 Criteria for assigning data quality rating | <u>32</u> 29 |
| Table 6.10 Project data quality rating | <u>3329</u> 30 |
| Table 6.11 Criteria discriminating relevance of identified features | <u>34</u> 31 |
| Table 6.12 Shallow stratigraphy within the study areaStudy Area | <u>42</u> 38 |
| Table 6.13 Anomalies of archaeological potential within the study area | <u>53</u> 49 |
| Table 6.14 Types of anomalies identified | <u>54</u> 49 |
| Table 6.15 Summary of Pecorded Losses by date and county | 7065 |

| | Field Code Changed |
|------------------------|--------------------|
| | Field Code Changed |
| | Field Code Changed |
| - | Field Code Changed |
| \ | Field Code Changed |
| \forall | Field Code Changed |
| \setminus | Field Code Changed |
| | Field Code Changed |
| \mathcal{L} | Field Code Changed |
| $\left(\right)$ | Field Code Changed |
| $\left(\cdot \right)$ | Field Code Changed |
| $\langle \ \rangle$ | Field Code Changed |
| 1 | Field Code Changed |
| 1 | Field Code Changed |

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5 cm

Field Code Changed

| <u>Version History</u> | | | | |
|------------------------|----------|---------------|---|--|
| <u>Date</u> | Issue | <u>Status</u> | Description / Changes | |
| March 2025 | <u>A</u> | Final | For DCO submission | |
| May 2025 | <u>B</u> | <u>Final</u> | Update to correct the document number for the title | |
| November 2025 | <u>C</u> | <u>Final</u> | For Deadline 1 | |

6. Marine Archaeological Technical Report

6.1 Introduction

Project Background

- 6.1.1 Wessex Archaeology was commissioned by National Grid Electricity Transmission plc, hereafter referred to as National Grid, to undertake the marine archaeological assessments required to support the Planning Inspectorate application for the offshore element of the proposed Sea Link project, hereafter referred to as the Proposed Project.
- 6.1.2 In March 2022, following consultation with the relevant Local Planning Authorities in Suffolk and Kent, the Proposed Project was to be considered a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) defined under Part 3 of the Planning Act 2008 (Planning Act 2008 c.29).
- A Scoping report for the Proposed Project was submitted to the Planning Inspectorate in October 2022 (National Grid, 2022) and was followed up with a Preliminary Environmental Information Report in October 2023 (National Grid, 2023a), which was appended with a Marine Archaeological Technical Report and an outline Offshore Written Scheme of Investigation. Further marine geophysical and geotechnical surveys were undertaken for additional areas incorporated into the eOrder Limits for the PEIR assessment phase. The results of which will be integrated with the original survey data and presented in this Marine Archaeological Technical Report, based on the Order Limits for Statutory Consultation, supplied in May 2024.
- 6.1.4 This document is appended to **Application Document 6.2.4.6 Part 4 Marine Chapter 6 Marine Archaeology** of the Environmental Statement (ES).
- 6.1.5 This document is supported by the following figures:
 - Application Document 6.4.4.6.A <u>ES Figures</u>. Marine Archaeological Technical Report.

Development Proposal

- The Proposed Project aims to reinforce the transmission network in the south
 eastsoutheast of England and East Anglia with a High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC)
 Link between a landfall located between Aldeburgh and Thorpness in Suffolk and
 Pegwell Bay in Kent. A full description of the Proposed Project is presented in a
 separate chapter: Application Document 6.2.1.4 Part 1 Introduction Chapter 4
 Description of the Proposed Project.
- 6.1.7 This heritage assessment will detail the known and potential marine archaeology within the Offshore Scheme.

Previous Impact

There are already a considerable number of existing marine developments located in proximity to the Offshore Scheme, including Thanet, London Array, and Greater

Gabbard offshore wind farms. The Proposed Project will also intersect or run close to export cable routes and/or agreement areas for East Anglia One, East Anglia One North, East Anglia Two, East Anglia Three, North Falls and Five Estuaries offshore wind farms. There are also several marine aggregate licence areas located adjacent to the Offshore Scheme, including 528/2, 510/1-2 and 507/1 (Application Document 6.2.4.9 Part 4 Marine Chapter 9 Other Sea Users).

Scope of Document

- The purpose of this assessment is to determine, as far as is possible from existing information and survey data, the nature, extent and significance of the known and potential marine archaeological resource within the marine archaeological study areaStudy Area, hereafter referred to as the study areaStudy Area, comprising a 100 m buffer of the extent of the Offshore Scheme and its environs, and to assess the potential impacts to this resource as a result of activities associated with the Proposed Project.
- 6.1.10 Further definitions of terminology and chronology can be found in Annex 6.A.1.

Aims

- The overall aim of this assessment is to inform **Application Document 6.2.4.6 Part 4**Marine Chapter 6 Marine Archaeology, the marine archaeological chapter of the ES for the Proposed Project.
- 6.1.12 The specific aims are to:
 - outline the known and potential marine heritage assets within the study areaStudy
 <u>Area</u> based on a review of existing information, within and beyond the area, forming
 the baseline, including an assessment of their setting;
 - assess the significance and sensitivity of known and potential marine heritage assets; and
 - inform the marine archaeological chapter of the ES.

Copyright

- This report may contain material that is non-Wessex Archaeology copyright (e.g. Ordnance Survey, British Geological Survey (BGS), Crown Copyright), or the intellectual property of third parties, which Wessex Archaeology are able to provide for limited reproduction under the terms of our own copyright licenses, but for which copyright itself is non-transferable by Wessex Archaeology. Users remain bound by the conditions of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 c.48) with regard to multiple copying and electronic dissemination of the report.
- This product has been derived in part from material obtained from the United Kingdom Hydrographic Office (UKHO) with the permission of the UKHO and His Majesty's Stationery Office (© Crown Copyright 2024,—Wessex Archaeology ref. HA294/007/316-01). The UKHO has not verified the information within this product and does not accept liability for the accuracy of reproduction or any modifications made thereafter.

6.2 Legislation, Guidance and Policy

Introduction

- The study areaStudy Area is located inside English Territorial Waters, which extends to 12 nautical miles (nm) from the coast (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.1 Marine Archaeological Study Area in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical ReportMarine archaeological study area).
- This section provides a summary of the international, national, regional and local planning and legislative framework that governs how the marine historic environment is dealt with in regard to the planning process. More comprehensive details are provided in Annex 6.A.2 of this document.
- The legislation presented below provides a context for focusing approaches and consultation requirements. These legal frameworks provide protection for marine historic assets of high historical, archaeological or artistic value, as well as allowing military wrecks and aircraft remains to be protected. Ownership of any wreck remains is determined in accordance with the Merchant Shipping Act 1995 as administered by the Receiver of Wreck.

Marine Legislation

- 6.2.4 The following legislation applies to marine heritage within the Setudy Aerea:
 - Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 (Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 c.23);
 - Protection of Wrecks Act 1973: Section One and Two (Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 c.33);
 - Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 (as amended) (Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 c.46);
 - Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 (Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 c.35);
 - Merchant Shipping Act 1995 (Merchant Shipping Act 1995 c.21); and
 - National Heritage Act 2002 (National Heritage Act 2002 c.14).

International Conventions

625

UNESCO Convention on the Protection of Underwater Cultural Heritage

The UNESCO Convention on the Protection of Underwater Cultural Heritage (UNESCO, 2021) was concluded in 2001 and is a comprehensive attempt to codify the law internationally with regards to underwater archaeological heritage. The UK (including the Bailiwick of Guernsey) abstained in the vote on the final draft of the Convention, however, it has stated that it has adopted the Annex of the Convention, which governs the conduct of archaeological investigations, as best practice for archaeology. Although the UK is not a signatory, the Convention entered into force on 02 January 2009 having been signed or ratified by 20 member states. To date, the Convention has been ratified by 71-80 countries (UNESCO, 2021).

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

6.2.6 The Annex of the convention suggests preservation *in situ* as best archaeological practice.

Valletta Convention

- The UK ratified the Convention for the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage of Europe (revised), known as the Valletta Convention, in 2000, putting it into force the following year (Council of Europe, 1992). The convention binds the State to implement protective measures for the archaeological heritage within the jurisdiction of each party, including sea areas. Insofar as the UK exerts jurisdiction over the Continental Shelf, then it would appear that the provisions of the Valletta Convention apply to that jurisdiction.
- The Articles of the Valletta Convention tackle various aspects. Article 1 deals with the inventorying and protection of sites and areas; Article 2 deals with the mandatory reporting of chance finds and providing for 'archaeological reserves' on land or underwater; Article 3 promotes high standards for all archaeological work undertaken by suitably qualified people; Article 4 requires the conservation of excavated sites and the safe-keeping of finds; and Article 5 is concerned with consultation that should take place between planning authorities and developers to avoid damage to archaeological remains.

Planning Policy

National Policy Statements

- 6.2.9 National Policy Statements (NPSs) set out the primary policy tests against which the application for a Development Consent Order (DCO) for the Proposed Project would be considered.
- In the event of a conflict between the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and an NPS, the guidance provided within the NPS will prevail for the purpose of the Secretary of State's decision making, given the national significance of the Proposed Project (Department for Energy Security and Net Zero, 2023a, p. 51).
- 6.2.11 Table 6.1 below provides a summary of the NPSs that are relevant to marine archaeology.

Table 6.1 Summary of relevant NPS documents

| Policy | Summary | Formatted Table |
|---|--|-----------------|
| Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy EN1 (Department for Energy Security and Net Zero, 2023a) | This NPS sets out national policy for energy infrastructure and the importance of archaeological assessment in the development process. | _ |
| National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3) (Department for Energy Security and Net Zero, 2023b) | This NPS is concerned with impacts and other issues specific to renewable infrastructure that require further specific considerations beyond | |

the overarching NPS (EN-1).

| Policy | Summary | Formatted Table |
|--------|---------|-----------------|
| | | |

National Policy Statement for Electricity Networks Infrastructure (EN-5) (Department for Energy Security and Net Zero, 2023c) This NPS, taken together with the overarching NPS (EN-1), provides for decision making on above ground electricity lines of 132 kV and over and other electricity networks associated with a Nationally Significant Infrastructure ProjectNSIP e.g. substations and converter stations.

National Planning Policy Framework

- 6.2.12 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was first published by the Department for Communities and Local Government in March 2012, replacing Planning Policy Statement 5. The most recent iteration of the NPPF, published by the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities was released in December 2024 (Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, 2024).
- Section 16 of the NPPF (Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities, 2023), Conserving and enhancing the historic environment, sets out the principal national guidance on the importance, management and safeguarding of heritage assets within the planning process. The aim of this section is to ensure that Regional Planning Bodies and Local Planning Authorities, developers and owners of heritage assets adopt a consistent and holistic approach to their conservation and to reduce complexity in planning policy relating to proposals that affect them.
- 6.2.14 The government Government guidance provides a framework that:
 - Rrecognises that heritage assets are an irreplaceable resource; resource.
 - Peromotes the conservation of heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance, so that they can be enjoyed for their contribution to the quality of life of this and future generations; generations.
 - Requires applicants to provide proportionate information on the significance of heritage assets affected by the proposals, including any contribution made by their setting, and an impact assessment of the proposals on that significance; significance.
 - takes into account<u>Ceonsiders</u> the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets and their setting; and
 - Requires developers to record and advance understanding of the significance of any heritage assets to be lost (wholly or in part) in a manner proportionate to their importance and impact, and to make this evidence (and any archive generated) publicly accessible.

National Planning Practice Guidance

The NPPF sets out the government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. The National Planning Practice Guidance provides information relating to the NPPF and other relevant planning practice guidance (GOV.UK, 2024). Guidance relating specifically to the Historic Environment has been utilised for the purposes of this chapter (GOV.UK, 2019).

6.2.16 EN-1 (Department for Energy Security and Net Zero, 2023a, p. 51) notes that in the event of a conflict between the guidance within the National Planning Practice Guidance and an NPS, the NPS prevails.

6.2.16

Marine policy

- The Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 (Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 c.23) is the primary legislation relevant to marine development plans. Under this legislation, marine plans must be consistent with the UK Marine Policy Statement (MPS) (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, 2011) and fully reflect the requirements of the MPS at a local level. Marine plans must also be in accordance with other UK national policy, including the NPPF (Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities, 2023).
- The MPS (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, 2011) was prepared and adopted by HM Government and the devolved administrations of Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland for the purposes of Section 44 of the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 (Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 c.23). Under the Act, the UK was divided into marine planning regions, with an associated planning authority responsible for preparing a marine plan for that area.
- The MPS (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, 2011) sets out the framework for preparing marine plans (see below) and taking decisions affecting the marine environment and was jointly adopted by the Secretary of State, Scottish Ministers, Welsh Ministers and the Department of the Environment in Northern Ireland in 2011.
- 6.2.20 The UK MPS notes that:

6.2.20 "marine activities have the potential to result in adverse effects on the historic environment both directly and indirectly, including damage to or destruction of heritage assets." (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, 2011, p. 22).

6.2.21 It sets out for consideration that:

- Seome heritage assets have a level of interest that justifies statutory designation, the purpose of which is to ensure that they are protected and conserved for the benefit of this and future generations; generations.
- Mmany heritage assets with archaeological interest in these areas are not currently
 designated as scheduled monuments or protected wreck sites but are demonstrably
 of equivalent significance. The absence of designation for such assets does not
 necessarily indicate lower significance and the marine plan authority should consider
 them subject to the same policy principles as designated heritage assets; assets.
- Lin considering the significance of heritage assets and their setting, the marine plan
 authority should take into account consider the particular nature nature of the interest
 in the assets and the value they hold for the is and futurgenerations.
- Wwhere the loss of the whole or a material part of a heritage asset's significance is
 justified, the marine plan authority should identify and require suitable mitigating
 actions to record and advance understanding of the significance of the heritage
 asset before it is lost. Requirements should be based on advice from the relevant
 regulator and advisors.; and

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5 cm., No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5 cm, No bullets or numbering

6

 <u>Ii</u>n England, marine licensing and marine planning was made the responsibility of the Marine Management Organisation (MMO).

Formatted: Indent: Left: 2.14 cm, No bullets or numbering

Local planning policy

Marine plans

The Setudy Aarea is located within the East Inshore and East Offshore Marine Plans (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, 2014) and the South East Inshore Marine Plan (Department for Environment, Food, and Rural Affairs, 2021)

East Inshore Marine Plan Area

The East Inshore and East Offshore Marine Plans were published together in a single document in April 2014 (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, 2014). The East Inshore Marine Plan area extends from the Mean High Water Spring (MHWS) mark to the 12 nautical mile limit, covering the marine zone between Flamborough Head in Yorkshire and Felixstowe in Suffolk. This area encompasses approximately 6,000 square kilometres. Both the East Inshore and East Offshore Marine Plans were published in one document in April 2014 (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, 2014). Within this document, the East Inshore Marine Plan area covers the marine area from the Mean High Water Spring (MHWS) mark to the 12 nm limit between Flamborough Head, Yorkshire to Felixstowe, Suffolk covering an area of approximately 6000 square kilometres.

6.2.23

6.2.24 With regards to heritage assets and seascape, objective 5 of the plan is:

"to conserve heritage assets, nationally protected landscapes and ensure that decisions consider the seascape of the local area." (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, 2014, p. 50).

Furthermore, policy SOC2 of the Marine Pplan details the requirements that should be demonstrated for proposals that may affect heritage assets, as follows:

- that they will not compromise or harm elements which contribute to the significance of the heritage asset:
- <u>h</u>How, if there is compromise or harm to a heritage asset, this will be minimised;
- hHow, where compromise or harm to a heritage asset cannot be minimisedminimised, it will be mitigated against; and-
- the public benefits for proceeding with the proposal if it is not possible to minimise
 or mitigate compromise or harm to the heritage asset.

6.2.256.2.26 Additionally, pPolicy SOC3 details the requirements that should be demonstrated for proposals that may affect terrestrial and marine character of an area:

<u>t</u>+hat they will not adversely impact the terrestrial and marine character of an area;-

- hHow, if there are adverse impacts on the terrestrial and marine character of an area, they will minimise them;
- hHow, where these adverse impacts on the terrestrial and marine character of an area cannot be minimised minimised, they will be mitigated against; and-
- the case for proceeding with the proposal if it is not possible to minimise or mitigate the adverse impacts.

South East Southeast Inshore Marine Plan Area

Environment, Food, and Rural Affairs, 2021) and stretches from Felixstowe, Suffolk to west of Dover, Kent covering approximately 1400 km of coastline and extends seaward to the limit of the UK territorial waters (12 nm).

Objective 5 of the plan states that:

6.2.27 "people appreciate the diversity of the marine environment, its seascapes, its natural and cultural heritage and its resources and can act responsibly." (Department for Environment, Food, and Rural Affairs, 2021, p. 18).

6.2.286.2.29 With regards to heritage assets, policy SE-HER-1 aims to conserve and enhance marine and coastal heritage assets (both designated and non-designated) by considering the potential for harm to their significance. The policy states that:

- proposals that demonstrate they will conserve and enhance the significance of heritage assets will be supported;-
- where proposals may cause harm to the significance of heritage assets, proponents
 must demonstrate that they will, in order of preference, avoid, minimise, and mitigate
 any harm to the significance of heritage assets; and-
- if it is not possible to mitigate, then public benefits for proceeding with the proposal must outweigh the harm to the significance of heritage assets.
- Policy SE-SCP-1 addresses seascapes and landscapes, aiming to manage significant adverse impacts on these areas. It ensures that the value, quality, and capacity of the seascape and landscape to accommodate change are considered, and that the scale and design of any proposal are compatible with their surroundings. The policy primarily seeks to provide protection for seascape areas without statutory designation. It states that: Additionally, seascapes and landscapes are detailed in policy SE-SCP-1, which aims to manage significant adverse impacts on the seascape and landscape of the area and will ensure that the area's value, quality and its capacity to accommodate change is considered and that the scale and design of a proposal is compatible with its surroundings. The policy's primary aim is to make provisions for those areas of seascape without statutory designation. The policy states that:

6.2.30

- Peroposals should ensure they are compatible with their surroundings and should not have a significant adverse impact on the character and visual resource of the seascape and landscape of the area.
- <u>T</u>the location, scale and design of proposals should take account of the character, quality and distinctiveness of the seascape and landscape.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5 cm, No bullets or numbering

- Peroposals that may have a significant adverse impact on the seascape and landscape of the area should demonstrate that they will, in order of preference, avoid, minimise, and mitigate adverse impacts so they are no longer significant.
- Lif it is not possible to mitigate, the public benefits for proceeding with the proposal must outweigh significant adverse impacts to the seascape and landscape of the area.
- Peroposals within or relatively close to nationally designated areas should have regard to the specific statutory purposes of the designated area. Great weight should be given to conserving and enhancing landscape and scenic beauty in National Parks and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

County Council plans

East Suffolk

East Suffolk Council's Suffolk Coastal Local Plan Adopted 2020 (East Suffolk Council, 2020), sets out the strategic and non-strategic planning policies which the Council will use to determine planning applications across Suffolk Coastal area. The Council will be a statutory consultee in processes relating to all proposed NSIPs.

Table 6.2 summarises the relevant policies from the plan.

Table 6.2 East Suffolk Council's Suffolk Coastal Local Plan policies

| Policy ref. | Title | Summary |
|-------------|----------------------|---|
| SCLP11.3 | Historic Environment | The Council will work with partners, developers and the community to conserve and enhance the historic environment and to ensure that where possible development makes a positive contribution to the historic environment. |
| | | The policies of the National Planning Policy Framework will be applied in respect of designated and non-designated heritage assets. |
| | | All development proposals which have the potential to impact on heritage assets_assets, or their settings should be supported by a Heritage Impact Assessment and/or an Archaeological Assessment prepared by an individual with relevant expertise. Pre-application consultation with the Council is encouraged to ensure the scope and detail of a Heritage Impact Assessment or Archaeological |

| Policy ref. | Title | Summary |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| | | Assessment is sufficient. The level of detail of a Heritage Impact Assessment should be proportionate to the scheme proposed and the number and significance of heritage assets affected. |
| SCLP11.6 | Non-Designated Heritage Assets | Proposals for the re-use of Non-Designated Heritage Assets which are buildings or structures will be supported if compatible with the elements of the fabric and setting of the building or structure which contribute to its significance. Applications, including those for a change of use, which result in harm to the significance of a Non-Designated Heritage Asset will be judged based on the balance of the scale of any harm or loss, and the significance of the heritage asset. In considering proposals which involve the loss of a non-designated heritage asset, consideration will be given to: a) Whether the asset is structurally |
| | | unsound and beyond technically feasible and economically viable repair (for reasons other than deliberate damage or neglect); or b) Which measures to sustain the |
| | | existing use, or find an alternative use/user, have been fully investigated. |
| | | Neighbourhood Plans can identify Non-Designated Heritage Assets. However, the protection afforded to these should be no more than that provided to Non-Designated Heritage Assets protected by this policy. Buildings or structures identified as Non-Designated Heritage Assets should at least meet the Council's criteria for identifying Non-Designated Heritage Assets. |
| SCLP11.7 | Archaeology | An archaeological assessment proportionate to the potential and significance of remains must be included with any planning |

| Policy ref. | Title | Summary | Formatted Table |
|-------------|-------|--|-----------------|
| | | application affecting areas of known or suspected archaeological importance to ensure that provision is made for the preservation of important archaeological remains. Where proposals affect archaeological sites, preference will be given to preservation in situ unless it can be shown that recording of remains, assessment, analysis report and/or deposition of the archive is more appropriate. | |
| | | Archaeological conditions or planning obligations will be imposed on consents as appropriate. Measures to disseminate and promote information about archaeological assets to the public will be supported. | |

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5 cm, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Body_MT, Indent: Left: 1.5 cm

Kent

Thanet District Council's Local Plan Adopted July 2020 (Thanet District Council, 2020) guides and delivers the Council's plans and aspirations for growth, as desired by the Council and community, and for the delivery of development projects and infrastructure.

6.2.326.2.34 Table 6.3 summarises the relevant policies from the plan.

Table 6.3 Thanet District Local Plan policies

| Policy ref. | Title | Summary |
|-------------|---|---|
| SP36 | Conservation and Enhancement of Thanet's Historic Environment | The Council will support, value and have regard to the historic or archaeological significance of heritage assets by: 1) Protecting the historic environment |
| | | from inappropriate development. |
| | | 3) Requiring the provision of information describing the significance of any heritage asset affected and the impact of the proposed development on this significance. |

| Policy ref. | Title | Summary |
|-------------|-------------|--|
| | | 6) Offering help, advice and information about the historic environment by providing guidance to stakeholders, producing new guidance leaflets, reviewing existing guidance leaflets and promoting events which make the historic environment accessible to all. 8) Supporting development that is of high qualityhigh-quality design and supports sustainable development. |
| HE01 | Archaeology | Developers should submit information with the planning application that allows an assessment of the impact of the proposal on the significance of the heritage asset. |
| | | Where appropriate the Council may require the developer to provide additional information in the form of a desk-based or field assessment. |
| | | Development proposals adversely affecting the integrity or setting of scheduled monuments or other heritage assets of comparable significance will normally be refused. |
| | | Where the case for development which would affect an archaeological site is accepted by the Council, preservation <i>in situ</i> of archaeological remains will normally be sought. |
| | | Where this is not possible or not justified, appropriate provision for investigation and recording will be required. The fieldwork should define: |
| | | The character, significance, extent and condition of any archaeological deposits or structures within the application site. |
| | | The likely impact of the proposed development on these features. |
| | | The means of mitigating the effect of the proposed development. |
| | | Recording should be carried out by an appropriately qualified archaeologist or archaeological contractor and may take place in |

| Policy ref. | Title | Summary |
|-------------|-----------------|--|
| | | advance of and during development. No work shall take place until a specification for the archaeological work has been submitted and approved by the Council. Arrangements must also be in place for any necessary post- excavation assessment, analysis and publication of the results, and deposition of the archive in a suitable, accessible repository. |
| HE03 | Heritage Assets | The Council supports the retention of local heritage assets, including buildings, structures, features and gardens of local interest. Local heritage assets will be identified in a local list as part of the Heritage Strategy. |
| | | Proposals that affect both designated and non-designated heritage assets, will be assessed by reference to the scale of harm, both direct and indirect, or loss to, the significance of the heritage asset in accordance with the criteria in the National Planning Policy Framework. |

Dover District Council's Local Plan to 2040 (Dover District Council, 2022) sets out the vision, strategic objectives and overarching development strategy for the growth of the District over the period to 2040.

Table 6.4 summarises the relevant policies from the plan.

Table 6.4 Dover District Council Local Plan policies

| Policy ref. | Title | Summary |
|-------------|--|---|
| HE1 | Designated and Non Designated Heritage Assets | To promote the conservation, restoration and, where appropriate, the enhancement of the District's district's heritage assets, iton order to protect their significance and ensure that they can be appreciated and enjoyed by current and future generations. Proposals which conserve or enhance the heritage assets of the District, sustaining and enhancing their significance and making a positive |

Formatted Table

| Policy ref. | Title | Summary |
|-------------|--------------------|---|
| | | contribution to local character and distinctiveness will be supported. |
| HE2 | Conservation Areas | To promote the conservation and enhancement of the District's Conservation Areas, in order toto protect their significance and ensure they can be appreciated and enjoyed by current and future generations, and to ensure that new development is sympathetic to the character and appearance of a Conservation Area. Applications for development or redevelopment in Conservation Areas will be supported provided that such proposals preserved enhance the special architectural or historic character and appearance of the Area. |
| HE3 | Archaeology | To protect and better understand the archaeological resource of the Districtdistrict. The archaeological and historic integrity of Scheduled Monuments and other important archaeological sites, together with their settings, will be protected and where possible enhanced. |

Marine guidance

6.2.356.2.37 This assessment was carried out in a manner consistent with available guidance as described in chronological order of issue:

- Identifying and Protecting Palaeolithic Remains: Archaeological Guidance for Planning Authorities and Developers (English Heritage, 1998);
- Managing Lithic Scatters: Archaeological Guidance for Planning Authorities and Developers (English Heritage, 2000);
- Military Aircraft Crash Sites: Archaeological guidance on their significance and future management (English Heritage, 2002);
- The Code of Practice for Seabed Developers (Joint Nautical Archaeology Policy Committee, 2006);
- Historic Environment Guidance for the Offshore Renewable Sector (Wessex Archaeology, 2007);
- Conservation Principles, Policies and Guidance for the sustainable management of the historic environment (English Heritage, 2008);
- Our Seas A shared resource: High level marine objectives (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, 2009);

- Environmental Archaeology: A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post-excavation (second edition) (English Heritage, 2011);
- Offshore Geotechnical Investigations and Historic Environment Analysis: Guidance for the Renewable Energy Sector (Gribble & Leather, 2011);
- Ships and Boats: Prehistory to Present Designation Selection Guide (Historic England, 2017c);
- Marine Geophysics Data Acquisition, Processing and Interpretation Guidance Notes (Plets, Dix, & Bates, 2013);
- People and the Sea: A Maritime Archaeological Research Agenda for England (Ransley, Sturt, Dix, Adams, & Blue, 2013);
- Geoarchaeology: Using Earth Sciences to Understand the Archaeological Record (Historic England, 2015a);
- Managing Significance in Decision-Taking in the Historic Environment Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning: 2 (Historic England, 2015);
- Preserving Archaeological Remains: Decision-taking for Sites under Development (Historic England, 2016);
- The Setting of Heritage Assets Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning: 3 (Second Edition) (Historic England, 2017a);
- Conservation Principles for the Sustainable Management of the Historic Environment (Historic England, 2017b);
- Statements of Heritage Significance: Analysing Significance in Heritage Assets: Historic England Advice Note 12 (Historic England, 2019);
- Deposit Modelling and Archaeology Guidance for Mapping Buried Deposits (Historic England, 2020);
- Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Advice by Historic Environment Services (Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, 2020a);
- Standard and guidance for historic environment desk-based assessment (Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, 2020b);
- Archaeological Written Schemes of Investigation for Offshore Wind Farm Projects (The Crown Estate, 2021);
- Code of Conduct: Professional Ethics in Archaeology (Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, 2022); and
- Curating the Palaeolithic (Historic England, 2023).

6.3 Methodology

Study Area

- For the purposes of this technical report, the boundary of the Setudy Aarea comprises a 100 m buffer around the extent of the Offshore Scheme as defined by the Order Limits for Statutory Consultation (supplied 10 June 2024), whereby the route was updated requiring five additional areas to be-undergo geophysical and geotechnical survey.

 Figure 6.4.4.6.A.1 Marine Archaeological Study Area in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report Marine archaeological study area illustrates the Setudy Aarea relevant to this document.
- The marine archaeology <u>S</u>study <u>A</u>area overlaps with the terrestrial historic environment and cultural heritage <u>study areaStudy Area</u> between the MHWS and <u>Mean Low Water Spring (MLWS)</u> marks.
- 6.3.3 The marine Setudy Aerea enables marine archaeological seabed assets that are located close to the boundary of the Offshore Scheme to be included in the assessment, as the features themselves or their potential mitigation measures may extend into the offshore element of the Proposed Project and could potentially be impacted.
- The boundary of the Order Limits borders the edge of the Goodwin Sands Marine Conversation Zone (MCZ), therefore the 100 m buffer for the Setudy Aarea extends into the MCZ.

Search area

- A search area comprising a 2 km buffer of the extent of the Offshore Scheme (as supplied 08 March 2022) was used for obtaining records from relevant archive databases. The wider search area allows for a greater understanding of the wider archaeological baseline environment, with the dual purpose of enabling any archaeological trends within the region to be recognised recognized and to allow any heritage assets identified to be represented in a broader archaeological context.
- Data from archive databases (excluding the UKHO) have not been refreshed since originally being obtained in 2022. As a result, any new records that are entered into these archives may not be included within this these, or future, deliverables.
- 6.3.7 All data for heritage assets located within this search area are stored on the Wessex Archaeology archive network and can be made available on request.

Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment

Key themes

- The methodology follows the best practice professional guidance outlined by the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists' (ClfA) Standard and Guidance for Historic Environment Desk-Based Assessment (Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, 2020b).
- 6.3.9 The marine themes relevant to the marine archaeological baseline assessed in this report are:

- Palaeogeography (for example, palaeochannels and other features that contain prehistoric sediment, and derived Palaeolithic artefacts such as handaxes) including their setting and value.
- · Seabed features including:
 - maritime sites (such as shipwrecks and associated material including cargo, obstructions and fishermen's fasteners) including their setting and value; and
 - aviation sites (aircraft crash sites and associated debris) including their setting and value.
- Coastal and intertidal features relating to marine activity, for example fish traps, piers, sea defences located within the intertidal zone between MHWS and MLWS marks.
- The historic seascape character in and around the <u>S</u>study <u>A</u>area.
- The types of archaeology listed above relate to the the known marine resource and also the currently unknown resource. There is potential for the presence of palaeogeographic material dating from the Palaeolithic onwards. There is also potential for discoveries of maritime craft from the Mesolithic to the modern period. Post-medieval and modern wrecks, as they were generally made of more substantial material, are more likely to have been discovered through surveys undertaken by the UKHO and others, andothers and thus recorded in the archaeological record. However, there is still potential for the discovery of previously unrecorded wreck sites, particularly of wooden wrecks, broken up wrecks or partially buried wrecks that are more difficult to detect through geophysical surveysurveys.
- There is also potential for 20th century aircraft, particularly in relation to the Second World War (Wessex Archaeology, 2008a). Incomplete, disbursed and/or buried aircraft crash sites may be harder to identify through archaeological assessments of geophysical survey, due to the nature of the material used to construct the fuselage of aircraft being aluminiumaluminum or aluminiumaluminum alloy which will not be detected by a magnetometer. However, experience indicates that material from the site, such as engines comprising steel elementsmade of iron or ordnance ordinance, may be recorded as small obstructions or anomalies.

Data sources

- 6.3.12 The following data sources were consulted to compile the desk-based element of the assessment:
 - Ggeophysical survey datasets:
 - acquired by MMT in 2021 comprising sub-bottom profiler (SBP), sidescan sonar (SSS), magnetometer (Mag.) and Multibeam Echo Sounder (MBES);):-
 - acquired by Next Geo (Next) in 2024 comprising SBP, SSS, Mag. and MBES; MBES; and-
 - gradiometer survey data acquired by SEP Hydrographic (SEP) in 2024 as Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) Mag. data; data.
 - Aassociated reports for the above geophysical data (MMT, 2021; SEP Hydrographic, 2024; Next Geo, 2024).;

- Ggeotechnical data for 53 provisional vibrocore logs (of a total of 69 vibrocores, 16 of which are located outside the study areaStudy Area) provided by MMT in 2021;2021.
- <u>T</u>the UKHO data for charted wrecks and obstructions (received 4 April 2022 and refreshed 23 July 2024).;
- <u>T</u>the National Marine Heritage Record (NMHR) maintained by Historic England, comprising data for terrestrial and marine archaeological sites, findspots and archaeological events (received 23 March 2022);).
- Historic Environment Records results for Suffolk (provided by AECOM's Historic Environment and Cultural Heritage team), Essex (received 17 March 2022) and Kent (received 23 March 2022) comprising databases of their recorded archaeological sites, findspots, and archaeological events; events.
- the National Heritage List for England maintained by Historic England, comprising data of designated heritage assets including sites protected under the Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 (Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 c.35) and the Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 (Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 c.33);
- Results of the walkover surveys for both landfalls (Suffolk 12 September 2023; Kent - 14 June 2023 and 22-23 August 2024).
- Ceoastal archaeological findspots and sites from CITiZAN's coastal map (CITiZAN, 2023)
- <u>Dd</u>atasets comprising the Historic Seascape Characterisation (HSC): Consolidating the National HSC Database (Land Use Consultants, 2017).
- Relevant background mapping from the area including BGS, Admiralty Charts from the UKHO, aerial photographs from the Channel Coastal Observatory, historic maps and Ordnance Survey.; and
- client supplied geophysical survey report (MMT, 2021); and
- Relevant documentary sources and grey literature held by Wessex Archaeology and those available through the Archaeological Data Service and other websites.

Data Structure

- This report is supported by a Geographic Information System (GIS) using ArcPro v3.3.1, incorporating the positional information of the various data sources listed above, allowing the data to be spatially analysed. The data were subsequently compiled into gazetteers of the known archaeological features located within the study-areaStudy-Area.
- 6.3.14 The datasets used in this assessment have been presented in Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) Zone 31 North projected from a European Terrestrial Reference System (ETRS) 1989 datum.

6.3.14

Chronology

6.3.15 Archaeological material is generally studied within a framework of 'periods' or 'ages' that reflect the activities and cultural changes taking place over time. Where age estimates are available for deposits these are expressed in millions of years (Ma), thousands of

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5 cm, No bullets or numbering

years (Ka) and within the Holocene epoch as either yearseither year Before Present (BP), Before Christ (BC) and Anno Domini (AD). These dates are supplemented where relevant with the comparable Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) where odd numbers indicate an interglacial period and even numbers a glacial period.

6.3.16 A list of the main archaeological periods in Britain referred to in the text, along with their broadly defined dates, are presented in Annex 6.A.1.

Palaeogeography

- The baseline summary for seabed prehistory was based on a review of geological mapping of superficial sediments and solid geology from published BGS sources, as well as previous assessments undertaken in the region containing the study areaStudy Area. This has been enhanced by the geoarchaeological review of geotechnical data undertaken for 53 provisional vibrocores obtained in 2022 (from a total of 69 vibrocores, 16 of which are beyond the study Area) and the associated SBP assessment, used to produce a stratigraphic framework for understanding the archaeological potential of the Quaternary geology within the area investigated.
- 6.3.18 A geoarchaeological baseline for the study areaStudy Area is presented later in this appendix along with the results of the geoarchaeological review of the geotechnical data.

Seabed features: maritime and aviation sites

- The baseline summary for maritime and aviation archaeology was assessed by means of accessing any records of sites, findspots, wrecks, casualties and other seabed features obtained from the UKHO, NMHR and local HERs located within the study areaStudy Area. Results from the two geophysical surveys have also been incorporated to complement this data forming several discrete gazetteers.
- The baseline assessment of maritime and aviation archaeology was further supplemented by a review of relevant primary and secondary source material to provide an indication on the nature of maritime and aviation activity across the region. As well as summariszing the known archaeological resource, the baseline assessment underlines the potential for encountering unknown shipwreck and aircraft crash sites within the study-area_Study-Area_.
- Data relating to Recorded Losses were also extracted from the NMHR data sources. Recorded Losses are records for ships or aircraft that are known to have wrecked or crashed offshore, but for which the exact locations are not known. Recorded Losses are often grouped by area into Maritime Named Locations by the NMHR. For example, a Recorded Loss within this dataset may be based on the loss of a vessel off the coast at 'Sizewell, Suffolk' or associated with a known navigational hazard such as a sand bank or rocks (which may give rise to a falsely precise geographic coordinate for the record). The positional data of these records is unreliable and serves only to provide an indication of the types of vessels that passed through the area and the wrecking incidents that are known to have occurred in the general region. Whilst the remains of these vessels and aircraft are expected to exist somewhere on the sea_floor, their location is unknown.
- 6.3.22 Details regarding Recorded Losses, whose Named Locations happen to intersect with the study areaStudy Area, are presented in a gazetteer format (Annex 6.A.9 and 7.A.10). These records have retained their original identification assigned by the NMHR for ease of cross-referencing. The gazetteer does not include positional data due to the

inaccuracies therein and, as they signify the potential maritime and aviation resource, they are not presented on a figure.

Intertidal and coastal heritage assets

- The baseline summary of intertidal and coastal heritage assets located within the extent of the Offshore Scheme, up to the MHWS, was assessed from NMHR, Suffolk HER, Kent HER and CITiZAN datasets and the results of the walkover surveys that took place at the landfalls. The results were compiled into an overall gazetteer (Annex 6.A.110).
- A full assessment of terrestrial historic environment and cultural heritage will be presented in the corresponding documents: Application Document 6.2.2.3 Part 2 Suffolk Chapter 3 Cultural Heritage for Suffolk and Application Document 6.2.3.4 Part 3 Kent Chapter 3 Cultural Heritage and Kent. The marine study areaStudy Area overlaps with the terrestrial historic environment and cultural heritage study areaStudy Area between the MHWS and MLWS marks.

Historic seascape characterisation characteriszation

6.3.25 In accordance with the European Landscape Convention, 'landscape' can be defined as:

6.3.25 -"an area, as perceived by people, whose character is the result of the action and interaction of natural and/or human factors" (Council of Europe, 2000, p. 2). The term 'seascape' has been defined by Natural England as a subset of 'landscape', and has "an area of sea, coastline and land, as perceived by people, whose character results from the actions and interactions of land and sea, by natural and/or human factors." (Natural England, 2010).

- 6.3.26 Seascape assessment reflects the holistic approach to landscape of the European Landscape Convention, extending it to the sea. Seascape Character Areas include coastal land, intertidal and marine environments and cover the offshore environment to the territorial limit (12 nm). HSC assessment is the identification and interpretation of the historic dimension of the present day coastal and marine environment (Historic England, 2023)
- The baseline summary for character of the historic seascape within the study-areaStudy-areaStudy-areaStudy-area was assessed using the compiled results of LUC's Historic Seascape Characteriszation: Consolidating the National HSC Database (Land Use Consultants, 2017).

Assessment of setting

The NPPF (Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities, 2023, p. 75) defines setting as:

e.3.28 -"the surroundings in which a heritage asset is experienced. Its extent is not fixed and may change as the asset and its surroundings evolve. Elements of a setting may make a positive or negative contribution to the significance of an asset, may affect the ability to appreciate that significance, or may be neutral."

Currently, there is no specific guidance regarding the assessment of setting for offshore archaeological and cultural heritage assets. However, Historic England's The Setting of Heritage Assets - Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning 3 (Historic England, 2017a) provides general guidance, largely applicable to terrestrial sites, and notes that the importance of setting "lies in what it contributes to the significance of the

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5 cm, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5 cm, No bullets or numbering

heritage asset" (Historic England, 2017a, p. 4). With regards to significance for heritage policy, NPPF notes that the interest of a heritage asset "may be archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic" (Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities, 2023, p. 75).

6.3.30 Historic England states that setting depends on a:

-"wide range of physical elements within, as well as perceptual and associational attributes pertaining to, the heritage asset's surroundings." (Historic England, 2017a, p. 4)

6.3.306.3.31 One aspect that contributes to the setting of a heritage asset is referred to as 'views', which includes not only views that can contribute to its significance, but also intended views between heritage assets, and planned views. In addition, the guidance suggests that the appreciation of the setting of a site does not depend on the ability to access it (*ibid*.). Reference in the guidance is also made to the setting associated with buried heritage assets which may not be readily appreciated by a casual observer, but retains a presence in the landscape such as, for example, wreck sites that are periodically, partly or wholly submerged. In addition, the location and setting of historic battles, with otherwise no visible traces, may include important strategic views, routes by which opposing forces approached each other and a topography that played a part in the outcome (Historic England, 2017a, pp. 4-5).

To assess whether, how and to what degree setting makes a contributioncontributes to the significance of heritage assets, the following must be considered: the physical surroundings of the asset including its relationship with other heritage assets; the way the asset is appreciated, and the asset's associations and patterns of use.

The assessment of setting in this document follows the guidance discussed in the paragraphs above, is based on the baseline assessment of the palaeogeography, maritime and aviation assets, and is described using the following two factors:

- Pphysical surroundings and views which includes the physical presence of the
 asset on the seabed, its surroundings, and relationship with other assets and
 navigational hazards in the immediate area. Views to and from the asset, and how
 the asset is experienced in its immediate physical surroundings are also
 considered;; and
- Neon-visual factors including the way the asset is appreciated in a broader historical, artistic and intellectual capacity, and the asset's associations.

6.3.336_3.34 All marine heritage assets have an element of setting that contributes to their importance. Although the position of an asset on the seabed has not necessarily been deliberately chosen, an event occurred that caused each asset to be present on the seabed, for instance through military action (e.g. hitting a mine perhaps within a known minefield or during a battle), due to an interaction with a navigational hazard (e.g. being stranded on a sandbank) or environmental conditions (e.g. a storm), or following a collision perhaps on an established trade route.

e.3.346.3.35 It may not be possible to ascertain the setting of currently unidentified isolated marine heritage assets, where limited information is known, for example wrecks that have not been identified or characterised to determine their period of build, use or loss. Similarly, setting cannot be assessed for geophysical anomalies of archaeological potential or potential sites that have not yet been discovered. However, it could be surmised that the setting of unidentified assets could be suggested or enhanced by

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5 cm, No bullets or numbering

understanding the environment in which it is located or proximity to other known seabed assets. For instance, it is likely that an unidentified wreck located on Goodwin Sands may have sunk due to the navigational hazard of the sandbank, contributing to its setting, the value of which will increase as further information is found about the site.

operated vehicle (ROV) or by geophysical survey, and the views to the asset are often very limited due to reduced visibility in the water column. Non-visual factors may include associations with particular battles, wars, minefields and other historic events, as well as how the wreck can be appreciated in its wider context, for example through well-known trade routes, collisions or local industry. Association between the asset and the local social history is another important aspect of an asset's non-visual importance, including rescue attempts or losses occurring within modern memory.

Determining importance (or value) and sensitivity

Find the conceptual approach known as the 'source-pathway-receptor' model. This approach is based on the identification of the source (i.e. the origin of a potential impact), the pathway (i.e. the means by whichhow the effect of the activity could impact a receptor) and the receptor that may be impacted (e.g. known/potential heritage assets). For the significance of any given impact to be fully understood, the sensitivity of any receptors that may be impacted need to be considered. This section outlines how the sensitivity of marine heritage assets is ascertained.

The perceived importance of each marine archaeological asset is generally assessed and assigned on a site-by-site basis, depending on the criteria listed in Table 6.5. The UK MPS (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, 2011, p. 21) describes a heritage asset as holding a degree of significance. Significance relates to the heritage interest of an asset that may be archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic (ibid.).

The sensitivity of an asset is a function of its capacity to accommodate change and reflects its ability to recover if it is affected. The sensitivity of the assetassets will be assessed with regard to regarding the following factors:

- adaptability or vulnerability_- the degree to which an asset can avoid or adapt to an
 offect;effect;-
- tolerance the ability of an asset to accommodate temporary or permanent change without significant adverse impact;impact;-
- recoverability the temporal scale over and extent to which an asset will recover following an effect; and
- value a measure of the asset's importance, rarity and worth.

Archaeological and cultural heritage assets cannot typically adapt, tolerate or recover from physical impacts resulting in material damage or loss caused by development. Consequently, the sensitivity of each asset is predominantly quantified only by their value. For the purposes of this assessment, value and importance are treated as equivalent terms.

6.3.406.3.41 Based on Historic England's Conservation Principles, Policies and Guidance for the Sustainable Management of the Historic Environment (Historic England, 2017b, p. 4), the significance of a historic asset "embraces all the diverse cultural and natural heritage values or interests that people associate with it."

Within this document, significance is weighed by consideration of the potential for the asset to demonstrate the following value criteria:

- evidential value deriving from the potential of a place to yield evidence about past human activity;activity;-
- historical value deriving from the ways in which past people, events and aspects of life can be connected through a place to the present. It tends to be illustrative or associative; associative; -
- aesthetic value deriving from the ways in which people draw sensory and intellectual stimulation from a place; and
- communal value deriving from the meanings of a place for the people who relate to it, or for whom it figures in their collective experience or memory. Communal values are closely bound up with historical (particularly associative) and aesthetic values but tend to have additional and specific aspects.

The value of known archaeological and cultural heritage assets was assessed on a six-point scale using professional judgement informed by criteria provided in Table 6.5

Table 6.5 Criteria to assess the archaeological value of marine heritage assets

Value Definition Formatted Table Very high Best known or only example and/or significant potential to and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers contribute to knowledge and understanding and/or public engagement. Assets with a demonstrable international dimension to their importance are likely to fall within this category. -Receptors with a demonstrable international dimension to

■Wrecked ships and aircraft that are protected under the Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 (Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 c.33), Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 (as amended) (Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 c.46) or Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 (Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 c.35) with an international dimension to their importance, plus as-yet undesignated sites that are

their importance are likely to fall within this category.

Known submerged prehistoric sites and landscapes with the confirmed presence of largely in situ artefactual material.

demonstrably of equivalent archaeological value.

Formatted: NG Bullets, Bullets 1, Adjust space between Latin

Formatted: NG Bullets, Bullets 1

| High | Above average and/or high potential to contribute to knowledge and understanding and/or public engagement. Receptors with a demonstrable national level dimension to their importance are likely to fall within this category. All other wrecked ships and aircraft with statutory protection under the Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 (Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 c.33), Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 (as amended) (Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 c.46) or Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 (Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 (Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 c.35), plus as-yet undesignated | Formatted: NG Bullets,Bullets 1 |
|------------|--|---------------------------------|
| | their importance are likely to fall within this category. - All other wrecked ships and aircraft with statutory protection under the Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 (Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 c.33), Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 (as amended) (Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 c.46) or Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 (Protection of | |
| | protection under the Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 (Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 c.33), Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 (as amended) (Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 c.46) or Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 (Protection of | |
| | sites that are demonstrably of equivalent archaeological value. | |
| | Palaeogeographic features with demonstrable potential to include artefactual and/or palaeoenvironmental material, possibly as part of a prehistoric site or landscape. | |
| Medium | Average example and/or moderate potential to contribute to knowledge and understanding and/or public engagement. | Formatted: NG Bullets,Bullets 1 |
| | Receptors with a demonstrable district level dimension to their importance are likely to fall within this category. | |
| | • Includes wrecks of ships and aircraft that do not have statutory protection or equivalent significance, but have moderate potential based on a formal assessment of their importance in terms of build, use, loss, survival and investigation. | |
| | Prehistoric deposits with moderate potential to contribute to an understanding of the palaeoenvironment. | |
| Low | Below average example and/or low potential to contribute to knowledge and understanding and/or public engagement. | Formatted: NG Bullets,Bullets 1 |
| | Receptors with a demonstrable local dimension to their importance are likely to fall within this category. | |
| | • | |
| | Prehistoric deposits with low potential to contribute to an understanding of the palaeoenvironment. | |
| Negligible | Poor example and/or little or no potential to contribute to knowledge and understanding and/or public engagement. Assets with little or no surviving archaeological interest. | |

National Grid | May November 2025 | Part 4 Marine Chapter 67, Appendix A I Sea Link

| Value | Definition |
|---------|--|
| Unknown | There is not presently enough information available about the site to assess its importance. In accordance with the precautionary approach, such assets are generally considered to be of high potential and consequently high value, until further information regarding an asset can be obtained |

6.3.44 These aspects help to characterise each archaeological asset, including palaeogeographic sites, prehistoric material, and maritime and aviation sites located within a marine or intertidal/coastal environment, whilst also comparing them to other similar assets. The criteria also enables enable the potential to contribute to knowledge, understanding and public engagement to be assessed.

6.3.436.3.45 Furthermore, with regards to assessing the value of shipwrecks, tThe following criteria listed in Wessex Archaeology's Marine Class Descriptions and Principles of Selection in Aggregate Areas (Wessex Archaeology, 2008c, p. 10) can also be used to assess an heritage monument asset in terms of its value, as follows:

- period;
- rarity;
- documentation:
- group value;
- survival/condition;
- fragility/vulnerability;
- diversity; and
- potential.

6.3.44 These aspects help to characterise each archaeological asset, including palaeogeographic sites, prehistoric material, and maritime and aviation sites located within a marine or intertidal/coastal environment, whilst also comparing them to other similar assets. The criteria also enables the potential to contribute to knowledge, understanding and public engagement to be assessed.

Walkover Survey Methodology

6.3.456_3.46 Walkover surveys were undertaken at the Suffolk landfall in September 2023 and the Kent landfall in June 2023. Due to the widening of the Order Limits for the Statutory Consultation design, an additional walkover survey was undertaken at the Kent landfall in August 2024.

6.3.466.3.47 The overall objective of these surveys was to identify, classify and ascertain fixed positions for all visible sites and material within the coastal and intertidal area within the study areaStudy Area.

6.3.476.3.48 The primary aims of the surveys were:

- to identify previously unknown features or areas of archaeological interest; interest;
- to confirm or remove areas of interest identified by the desk-based assessment; assessment; ...

- to provide initial judgement on the impact of proposed works on sites and features of archaeological interest; and
- to gain a practical familiarity with the working area in preparation for future works.

Prior to the surveys, detailed Risk Assessment Method Statements were prepared in agreement with National Grid (National Grid, 2023b; National Grid, 2024).

_The walkover surveys were conducted on foot within the onshore elements of the study area Study Area to the MLWS mark. Surveys were undertaken during daylight only and around Spring tides, allowing the maximum area of shore to be exposed. The location of features of archaeological interest with surface expression were recorded using Esri FieldMaps on a mobile phone (Suffolk walkover) and Differential GPS (both Kent walkovers), and material were assigned unique numbers, photographed with a scale and archaeologically recorded. Equipment malfunction during the first Kent walkover survey in June 2023 meant that locations of material identified only during that survey may be inaccurate to a maximum of 10 m. The second walkover at the Kent landfall in August 2024 meant this data could be verified and correct locations were merged into one overall dataset. Some features from the first Kent walkover were not identified during the second survey, potentially due to the inaccuracy of the locations during the first survey and also the adverse weather conditions experienced during the second survey. Since the locations and presence of these features could not be corroborated during the second survey the original data haves been retained with the caveat regarding the accuracy of the positions.

6.3.506.3.51 Ground-breaking was only permitted to a shallow penetration and was limited to identifyingy edge extents of any features or artefacts and not their depth. No intrusive investigation (digging) was undertaken, and no artefacts were collected during the surveys.

Highlighting sites of archaeological interest and potential during the survey that may be impacted by the Proposed Project will comprise part of the data from which mitigation may be recommended, for instance Archaeological Exclusion Zones (AEZs). Where these impinge upon the Proposed Project, further archaeological investigation will be suggested.

6.3.526.3.53 The walkover surveys conformed to the standards set out in the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists' Universal guidance for archaeological field evaluation (Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, 2023).

Geotechnical Survey Methodology

Introduction

6.3.536.3.54 To frame geoarchaeological investigations of this nature, Wessex Archaeology has developed a five-stage approach, encompassing different levels of investigation appropriate to the results obtained, accompanied by formal reporting of the results. The stages are summarised below (Table 6.6).

6.3.546.3.55 This assessment presents the results of a Stage 1 review of geotechnical logs, with recommendations made for any further geoarchaeological works (i.e. Stage 2 geoarchaeological recording) if deemed necessary.

Field Code Changed

Table 6.6 Stage approach to geoarchaeological investigations

| Stage | Description |
|---|--|
| Stage 1: Geoarchaeological review | Desk-based review of geotechnical and geological data. Establish likely presence/absence/distribution of archaeologically relevant deposits. Identify deposits or samples for Stage 2 works. |
| Stage 2: Geoarchaeological recording/monitoring | Target deposits or samples identified in Stage 1. Describe the sequences recovered and undertake deposit modelling (if suitable). Interpret depositional environment (if possible). Identify if suitable deposits are present for Stage 3 works. |
| Stage 3: Palaeoenvironmental assessment | Sub-sample deposits of archaeological interest for palaeoenvironmental assessment (e.g. pollen, plant macrofossils, foraminifera, ostracod and diatoms) and associated scientific dating. Provide an outline interpretation of the archaeological and palaeoenvironmental context. Any recommendations for Stage 4 works will depend on the potential for further analysis and the project research objectives. |
| Stage 4: Palaeoenvironmental analysis | Full analysis of samples and additional scientific datin as specified in Stage 3, together with a detailed synthesis of the results, in their local, regional or wide archaeological and palaeoenvironmental context. Publication would usually follow from a Stage 4 report |
| Stage 5: Publication | Publication of the results of Stage 1-4 works for submission in a peer reviewed journal, book or monograph, depending on the archaeological significance of the work. The scope and location of the final publication will be agreed in consultation with the client and regulatory bodies where appropriate. |

A total of 69 vibrocores logs were acquired during geotechnical surveying undertaken in September 2021; 53 of which are located within the study areaStudy Area for this assessment. Geotechnical logs were provided by MMT and reviewed as part of the geoarchaeological assessment to identify deposits of potential archaeological interest. Interpretations were made regarding both likely depositional environment and formation processes of the recovered sediment.

The vibrocores were drilled across the study area to a maximum depth of 6.5 metres below sea floor (mbsf) using a high-performance corer. Vibrocores were acquired in clear liners, split into 1 m sections offshore and transported to the laboratory of MMT, where they were split lengthways, photographed, and described in detail.

Geotechnical logs and core photographs were provided to Wessex Archaeology for

review and geoarchaeological assessment. The location of 53 vibrocores recovered from the study areaStudy Area are presented in Annex 6.A.4.

6.3.576.3.58 Vibrocores were assigned either a high, medium or low status based on their perceived archaeological potential.

Following the Stage 1 review, geotechnical vibrocores assigned medium and high archaeological potential were recommended for Stage 2 recording (Annex 6.A.6).

However, the vibrocores of interest were mistakenly destroyed in extensive laboratory testing before a Stage 2 geoarchaeological assessment could be undertaken.

Additional geoarchaeological surveys were agreed with Historic England and took place in October 2024. An archaeological Method Statement was prepared for the retrieval and geoarchaeological assessment of the cores and submitted for approval by Historic England prior to the survey commencing (Application Document 7.6 Part 7 Other Documents Chapter 6 Method Statement for Geoarchaeological Assessment of Geotechnical Site Investigations). Vibrocores that were originally assessed as being of high or medium priority following the Stage 1 review and were recommended for Stage 2 assessment were targeted in the additional geotechnical survey to allow for the Stage 2 assessment to be undertaken (following a repeated Stage 1 review). The results will be used to inform the ES but will be a standalone document submitted post-submission. The survey also obtained 11 vibrocores from four of the additional areas of the Offshore Scheme Order Limits that were not included in the original geoarchaeological survey.

Deposit modelling

To ensure the delivery of archaeological objectives of the original MMT survey, a series of geoarchaeological deposit models were constructed for the study Area. A total of five two-dimensional stratigraphic profiles ('transects') were produced (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.7 Transect 1, Figure 6.4.4.6.A.8 Transect 2, Figure 6.4.4.6.A.9 Transect 3, Figure 6.4.4.6.A.10 Transect 4 and Figure 6.4.4.6.A.11 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical ReportTransect 5). The deposit modelling was undertaken following the guidelines in Historic England (Historic England, 2020).

All available data points were entered into industry standard geological utilities software (Rockworks™ 17). Each stratigraphic unit was given a colour and pattern allowing cross correlation and grouping of the different sedimentary units. The grouping of these deposits is based on lithological descriptions, which define distinct depositional environments referred to as 'lithostratigraphic units'.

17 program. Transects of the selected vibrocores, showing the main stratigraphic units and their lateral and vertical variability across the study areaStudy Area, were generated using Rockworks™ 17 (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.7 Transect 1, Figure 6.4.4.6.A.8, Figure 6.4.4.6.A.9 Transect 3, Figure 6.4.4.6.A.10 Transect 4 and Figure 6.4.4.6.A.11 Transect 5- in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report Transect 5). The locations of the transects are shown on each transect figure.

6.3.636_3.64 In response to Historic England's recommendations following submission of the PEIR chapter, the deposit model figures were modified to include features of archaeological potential identified in the SBP data (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.7 Transect 1, Figure 6.4.4.6.A.8 Transect 2, Figure 6.4.4.6.A.9 Transect 3, Figure 6.4.4.6.A.10

Formatted: Font: Bold

Transect 4 and Figure 6.4.4.6.A.11-Transect 5 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report Transect 5). The location of each feature is presented in both the deposit model and the inset map to illustrate their relationship to the geotechnical vibrocores. A description of each geophysical feature is also included in the figure legend.

Geophysical Survey Methodology

Technical specifications

The geophysical data were acquired during three separate surveys. The initial data were acquired between 18 August 2021 and 6 September 2021 by MMT. The nearshore geophysical data were acquired onboard the Mersey Discovery and the offshore geophysical data were acquired onboard MV Northern Franklin. This survey involved the acquisition of a full suite of geophysical datasets, i.e. SSS, MBES, Mag., and SBP-and Mag.

Following route development and changes to the routing requiring additional geophysical survey coverage, further survey data were acquired by Next between 10 November 2023 and 6 March 2024 over five additional survey areas. This survey also involved the acquisition of a full suite of geophysical data onboard the vessel SHORE Presence.

6.3.666.3.67 A final dataset, nearshore Mag. acquired via a UAV within Pegwell Bay, was obtained by SEP Hydrographic (on behalf of Ocean Linfinity) during June 2023. Further details on the equipment used for all surveys is are presented in Table 6.7.

Table 6.7 Summary of survey equipment

| Survey company | Survey vessel | Data type | Equipment | Data format |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|--|-------------|
| MMT | Mersey Discovery (Nearshore) | | Innomar SES- 2000 compact parametric sonar | .sgy |
| | | | Boomer | .sgy |
| | | MBES | Kongsberg EM2040D | .XYZ |
| | | SSS | Edgetech, 35 m range, 400-900 kHz | .jsf |
| | | Mag. | Geometrics G- 882 | .xls |
| | | Positioning | Applanix PosMV 320 | N/A |
| | MV Northern Franklin (Offshore) | SBP | Innomar SES- 2000 parametric sonar | .sgy |

| Survey company | Survey vessel | Data type | Equipment | Data format |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------|--|-------------|
| | | | Chirp | .sgy |
| | | MBES | Kongsberg EM2040D | .xyz |
| | | SSS | Edgetech, 65–75 m range, 300-600 kHz | .jsf |
| | | Mag. | Geometrics G- 882 | .xls |
| | | Positioning | Applanix PosMV 320 | N/A |
| Next | SHORE Presence | SBP | Innomar SES- 2000 Standard parametric sonar | .sgy |
| | | | Geo-Spark 1000 Sparker | .sgy |
| | | MBES | R2sonic 2024, 450 kHz | .pts, .gsf |
| | | SSS | Edgetech 4200, 300/600 kHz | .xtf |
| | | Mag. | Single Geometrics G- 882 | .txt |
| | | Positioning | Septentrio AsteRx-U3 Marine GNSS with Fugro Marine Star G4+ corrections | N/A |
| SEP | MagDrone R4 | Mag. | Sensys R4, with 5x 0.5 m spaced sensors | .xyz |
| | | Positioning | Matrice 300 RTK Drone GNSS Positioning System | N/A |

Processing

A number of datasets were assessed over the study areaStudy Area, each dataset was processed separately using the following software (Table 6.8).

Table 6.8 Software used for geophysical assessment

| Dataset | Processing software | Interpretation and rationalisation |
|---------|---|------------------------------------|
| SBP | CodaOctopus Survey Engine v5.11 | ArcPro v3.3.1 |
| MBES | QPS Fledermaus v7.7.5 | |
| SSS | CodaOctopus Survey Engine v5.11 | |
| Mag. | Geometrics MagPick v3.25 and proprietary software | |

6.3.686.3.69 The SBP and MBES data were used as the primary datasets for the palaeogeographic assessment and SSS, MBES and Mag. datasets were used for the seabed features assessment.

6.3.696,3.70 The SBP data were processed using CodaOctopus Survey Engine Seismic+ software. This software allows the data to be visualised with user selected filters and gain settings in order to optimise the appearance of the data for interpretation. The software then allows an interpretation to be applied to the data by identifying and selecting sedimentary boundaries and shallow geological features that might be of archaeological interest.

6.3.706.3.71 The SBP data were interpreted with a two-way travel time (TWTT) along the z-axis. In order to convert from TWTT to depth, the velocity of the seismic waves was estimated to be 1,600 ms-1. This is a standard estimate for shallow, unconsolidated sediments.

6.3.746.3.72 The SBPSBP data can also be used to identify small reflectors, which may indicate buried material such as a wreck site covered by sediment. The position and dimensions of any such objects are noted in a gazetteer, and an image acquired of each anomaly for future reference. Anomalies of this type are rare, as the sensors must pass directly over such an object in order to detect an anomaly.

6.3.726.3.73 For the SBP assessment, 25% of the lines were initially assessed. Where features of interest were identified, additional lines were then interpreted to more accurately map the extents of these features.

6.3.736.3.74 The MBES data were analysed to identify any unusual seabed structures that could be shipwrecks or other anthropogenic debris. The data were gridded at 0.2 m and

analysed using QPS Fledermaus software, which enables a 3-D visualisation of the acquired data and geo-picking of seabed anomalies. The MBES data were also used in the palaeogeographic assessment.

CodaOctopus Survey Engine Sidescan+ software. This allowed the data to be replayed with various gain settings in order to optimise the quality of the images. The data were interpreted for any objects of possible anthropogenic origin. This involves creating a database of anomalies within Coda by tagging individual features of possible archaeological potential, recording their positions and dimensions, and acquiring an image of each anomaly for future reference.

6.3.756.3.76
A mosaic of the SSS is produced during this process to assess the quality of the sonar towfish positioning. This process allows the position of anomalies to be checked between different survey lines and for the positioning to be further refined if necessary.

The form, size and/or extent of an anomaly is a guide to its potential to be an anthropogenic feature and therefore of archaeological interest. A single small but prominent anomaly may be part of a much more extensive feature that is largely buried. Similarly, a scatter of minor anomalies may be unrelated individual features, define the edges of a buried but intact feature, or may be all that remains as a result of past impacts from, for example, dredging or fishing. Assessment is made of such groups of anomalies during data interpretation to determine which of these alternatives is the most likely.

6.3.776.3.78 The marine and UAV Mag. data were processed using a combination of Geometrics MagPick and proprietary software in order to identify any discrete magnetic contacts which could represent buried metallic debris or structures such as wrecks.

6-3.796.3.80 For the purposes of this assessment, any identified magnetic anomalies have been classified depending on their amplitude as small (5 nT (Nanotesla) to 49 nT), medium (50 nT to 99 nT), large (>100 nT) and very large (>500 nT).

Data quality

6.3.806.3.81 Once processed, the geophysical data sets were individually assessed for quality and their suitability for archaeological purposes and rated using the following criteria (Table 6.9).

Table 6.9 Criteria for assigning data quality rating

| Data quality | Description | 4 |
|--------------|--|----|
| Good | Data which are clear and unaffected or only slightly affected by weather conditions, sea state, background noise or data | эу |

Formatted Table

| Data quality | Description |
|---------------|---|
| | artefacts. Seabed datasets are suitable for the interpretation of upstanding and partially buried wrecks, debris fields, and small individual anomalies. The structure of wrecks is clear, allowing assessments on wreck condition to be made. Subtle reflectors are clear within SBP data. These data provide the highest probability that anomalies of archaeological potential will be identified. |
| Average | Data which are moderately affected by weather conditions, sea state and noise. Seabed datasets are suitable for the identification of upstanding and partially buried wrecks, the larger elements of debris fields and dispersed sites, and larger individual anomalies. Dispersed and/or partially buried wrecks may be difficult to identify. Interpretation of continuous reflectors in SBP data is problematic. These data are not considered to be detrimentally affected to a significant degree. |
| Below average | Data which are affected by weather conditions, sea state and noise to a significant degree. Seabed datasets are suitable for the identification of relatively intact, upstanding wrecks and large individual anomalies. Dispersed and/or partially buried wrecks, or small isolated anomalies may not be clearly resolved. Small palaeogeographic features, or internal structure may not be resolved in SBP data. |
| Variable | This category contains datasets where the individual lines range in quality. Confidence of interpretation is subsequently likely to vary within the study areaStudy Area. |

6.3.816.3.82 For the datasets assessed as part of this project, the data qualities were assigned as follows (<u>Table 6.10</u>Table 6.12):

Table 6.10 Project data quality rating

| Survey details | Data quality | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|------|------|---------|---------|---|
| Operator and year | Vessel | SBP | MBES | SSS | Mag. | • |
| MMT 2021 | Mersey Discovery and MV Northern Franklin | Good | Good | Average | Average | |
| Next 2024 | SHORE Presence | Good | Good | Good | Average | _ |
| SEP 2024 | MagDrone R4 | N/A | N/A | N/A | Good | • |

6.3.826.3.83 The MMT 2021 SSS data have been rated as 'Average' using the above criteria table. Some data files displayed weather noise and cable snatching due to sea state and/or weather conditions, particularly in the nearshore areas, but overall, the data were

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, Widow/Orphan control, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

Formatted Table

Formatted Table

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, Widow/Orphan control, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

Formatted: Font color: Custom Color(RGB(84,84,90))

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Font color: Custom Color(RGB(84,84,90))

Formatted: Font color: Custom Color(RGB(84,84,90))

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, Widow/Orphan control, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Font color: Custom Color(RGB(84,84,90))

Formatted: Font color: Custom Color(RGB(84,84,90))

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font color: Custom Color(RGB(84,84,90))

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, Widow/Orphan control, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, Widow/Orphan control, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

not affected to a significant degree and therefore are considered suitable for archaeological interpretation.

The marine Mag. data from both surveys been rated as 'Average' using the above criteria. In general, the data were good, but there was some influence from background geology which may have masked some smaller features, and some impacts from weather conditions visible in the MMT 2021 data. For both surveys, the line spacing of 50 m in the offshore survey area means that smaller ferrous features which are not directly covered by a line of Mag. data may not have been picked up in the data. However larger features such as wrecks and substantial ferrous debris were largely still identifiable in the data and, as such, the dataset was considered suitable for archaeological interpretation.

Anomaly grouping and discrimination

6.3.846_3.85 The previous section describes the initial interpretation of all available geophysical datasets which were conducted independently of one another. This inevitably leads to the possibility of any one object being the cause of numerous anomalies in different datasets and apparently overstating the number of archaeological features in the exploration area.

To address this fact the anomalies were grouped together; allowing one ID number to be assigned to a single object for which there may be, for example, a UKHO record, an MBES anomaly, and multiple SSS anomalies.

Once all the geophysical anomalies and desk-based information have been grouped, a discrimination flag is added to the record in order to discriminate against those which are not thought to be of an archaeological concern. For anomalies located on the seabed, these flags are ascribed as follows (Table 6.13Table 6.13).

Table 6.11 Criteria discriminating relevance of identified features

| Overview | Discrimination | Criteria | Data type |
|----------------|----------------|---|-----------------------|
| Archaeological | P1 | Feature of probable archaeological interest, either because of its palaeogeography or likelihood for producing palaeoenvironmental material | SBP, MBES |
| Archaeological | P2 | Feature of possible archaeological interest | SBP, MBES |
| Archaeological | A1 | Anthropogenic origin of archaeological interest | MBES, SSS, Mag. |
| Archaeological | A2_h | Anomaly of likely anthropogenic origin but of unknown date; may be of archaeological interest or a modern feature | MBES, SSS, Mag. |

Formatted Table

| Overview | Discrimination | Criteria | Data type |
|----------------|----------------|---|-----------------------|
| Archaeological | A2_I | Anomaly of possible anthropogenic origin but interpretation is uncertain; may be anthropogenic or a natural feature | MBES, SSS, Mag. |
| Archaeological | A3 | Historic record of possible archaeological interest with no corresponding geophysical anomaly | MBES, SSS, Mag. |

3.876.3.88 The grouping and discrimination of information at this stage is based on all available information and is not definitive. It allows for all features of potential archaeological interest to be highlighted, while retaining all the information produced during the course of during the geophysical interpretation and desk-based assessment for further evaluation should more information become available.

Any anomalies located outside of the defined study areaStudy Areas, either previously recorded in known databases (e.g. UKHO) or identified during this geophysical assessment, are deemed beyond the scope of the current assessment and are subsequently not included in this report.

Anomalies that have 7000 numbers were identified during the assessment of the geophysical survey obtained in 2021 by MMT. Anomalies that have 70000 numbers were identified during the most recent assessment of geophysical survey data in 2024 by Next and SEP.

Assumptions and Limitations

Archaeological data

6.3.906.3.91 Data used to compile this report consists of secondary information derived from a variety of sources, only some of which have been directly examined for the purposes of this study. The assumption is made that the data, as well as that derived from other secondary sources, are accurate.

The records held by the UKHO, NMHR, HERs and the other sources used in this assessment are not a record of all surviving cultural heritage assets, rather a record of the discovery of a wide range of archaeological and historical components of the marine historic environment. The information held within these datasets is not complete and does not preclude the subsequent discovery of further elements of the historic environment that are, at present, unknown. In particular, this This relates to buried archaeological features.

6.3.926.3.93 The data supplied by the NMHR and HERs were obtained between March and April 2022 and will not be refreshed for the duration of the Proposed Project. As a result, any new records that are entered into these archives may not be included within this, or future, deliverables.

6.3.936.3.94 Data supplied by the UKHO, NMHR and HERs have been converted from the coordinate system they were supplied to the Project coordinate system, ETRS89 UTM31N. However, some records within the NMHR shapefiles (provided in WGS84)

Formatted Table

were not placing in the correct location on the Wessex Archaeology project GIS according to the BNG positions in the accompanying records. As a result, this dataset had to be recreated using the BNG positions and subsequently converted to ETRS89 LITM31N.

Geoarchaeological data

- vibrocores were collected by MMT in September 2021, however, all cores recommended for the next stage of geoarchaeological recording were targeted and used for engineering lab testing prior to their geoarchaeological analysis and were not usable for the Stage 2 assessment. The high and medium priority vibrocores recommended for Stage 2 recording following the initial Stage 1 review are outlined in Annex 6.A.6.
- An additional geoarchaeological survey was undertaken in October 2024 and duplicate vibrocores targeting the medium and high archaeological potential areas recommended for further analysis were obtained to allow the Stage 2 assessment to be undertaken. Two of those positions are now located outside the extent of the Order Limits and subsequently it was confirmed with National Grid (21 October 2024) that the position of these vibrocores (748-NAT-NB-VC-021 and 748-NAT-NB-VC-022) could be moved inside the Order Limits giving the opportunity to characterise the sediments in the channel feature **75037** and its northern extent. In total, nine vibrocores were collected as one needed to be redrilled to reach the target maximum depth of 6.5 mbsf.
- The survey also included the recovery of 11 vibrocores from the additional areas of the Offshore Scheme Order Limits that were not included in the original geoarchaeological survey. Only nine vibrocores were envisaged for this survey, but two additional vibrocores were obtained as the first vibrocores failed to reach the maximum depth of 6.5 mbsf and needed to be redrilled.
- An archaeological Method Statement was prepared for the retrieval and geoarchaeological assessment of the vibrocores and submitted for approval by Historic England prior to the survey commencing (Application Document 7.6 Part 7 Other Documents Chapter 6 Method Statement for Geoarchaeological Assessment of Geotechnical Site Investigations).
- Due to timing, the geoarchaeological results of this survey will not be integrated into this technical report or the ES chapter but will be submitted post-submission in a standalone document.

Geophysical data

- The geophysical study area Study Area is defined as the extents of the SSS dataset (or UAV Mag. dData at the Pegwell Bay landfall) within the wider Offshore Scheme. Therefore, the datasets do not cover the entire extent of the Offshore Scheme. The extent of the geophysical data relative to the Offshore Scheme is illustrated in Figure 6.4.4.6.A.1 Marine Aarchaeological Setudy Aarea and Figure 6.4.4.6.A.12 Seabed features of Archaeological Potential in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report Seabed features of archaeological potential.
- 6.3.1006.3.101 Details regarding the limitations of the geophysical survey data are presented in the Data Quality section above and associated tables, Table 6.9 and <u>Table 6.12</u> Table 6.12

Walkover survey data

6.3.1016.3.102 Due to equipment malfunction during the June 2023 survey of the Kent landfall, the locations of the positions of intertidal heritage assets may not be accurate, with a maximum error of 10 m. The second walkover at the Kent landfall in August 2024 meant this data could be verified and correct locations were merged into one overall dataset. However, some features from the first Kent walkover were not identified during the second survey and therefore the original data has been retained with the caveat regarding the accuracy of the position.

6.4 Marine Archaeological Assessment: Palaeogeography

Geological Baseline and Palaeogeographic Potential

- The study areaStudy Area stretches from its northern extent on the east Norfolk coast through the Outer Thames Estuary and into Pegwall Bay, east Kent (See Application DocumentFigure 6.4.4.6.A.1 Marine Archaeological Study Area in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report Marine archaeological study area). The Outer Thames Estuary lies within the Cenozoic London Basin which, although traditionally regarded as a distinct sedimentary basin, is likely a southern extension of the North Sea Basin (Emu Ltd, 2009). Stiff sandy silty clay of the London Clay Formation (Eocene; c. 56-49 Mya) is present across much of the study areaStudy Area, with chalk bedrock mapped to the south and extending from the east Kent coastline. Bedrock geology across the study areaStudy Area is unconformably overlain by both Pleistocene and Holocene sediments (Cameron, et al., 1992) predominantly comprising clays, silts, sands and gravels with occasional organic-rich deposits (peats), overlain by recent unconsolidated marine shelly sands,
- The study-areaStudy-Area covers a significant expanse of the Outer Thames Estuary; an area associated with the Thames-Medway river system. Major drainage reorganisation during the Anglian glacial period (MIS 12) had a significant impact on the evolution of the Thames-Medway river systems and palaeogeography of the Outer Thames Estuary (Bridgland D. R., 2006). Prior to the Anglian period, the Thames Medway rivers occupied a more northerly course entering the southern North Sea via the present northern coast of Norfolk (Bridgland & Gibbard, 1997) and the pre-Anglian stratigraphy of the Outer Thames Estuary is represented by Red Crag which are Plio-Pleistocene marine deposits (Stoker, Balson, Long, & Tappin, 2011) that predate earliest known occupation of Britain.
- The Pleistocene geological history of the North Sea basin is dominated by repeated glacial/interglacial cycles, resulting in rising and falling sea levels and deposition of terrestrial, marine and glacially derived sediments (See Figure 6.4.4.6.A.2 Sea level Curve and Chronology of the North Sea in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological TechnicalSea level curve and chronology of the North Sea). The only evidence of ice contact in the study areaStudy Area is associated with the Anglian glaciation (478-424 ka BP or MIS 12). The southern extent of the Anglian glaciation is highly debated, however based on bathymetric data Dix and Sturt (2011) argue for an Anglian glacial origin for over-steepened valleys (tunnel valleys) identified within the Outer Thames Estuary.
- The Quaternary stratigraphy in the Outer Thames Estuary is recorded by the BGS as undifferentiated (Stoker, Balson, Long, & Tappin, 2011). However, following the results of the Outer Thames Estuary Regional Environmental Characterisation report, it was

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Field Code Changed

suggested that fluvial deposits associated with the submerged Thames-Medway river system were likely to dominate the Pleistocene and early Holocene sequence (Emu Ltd, 2009). Marine and estuarine deposits dating to the Pleistocene have been recovered at Gunfleet Sands Offshore Wind Farm (Heamagi, 2017), located west of the Proposed Project and approximately 6.8 km south of Clacton-on-Sea, however, no palaeoenvironmental assessments have been undertaken on such deposits.

- Two distinct channel systems were identified from marine aggregate Lticence Area 528: a northern and southern channel complex separated by high elevated bedrock (Wessex Archaeology, 2021). The channel complex is suggested to form part of the submerged Thames-Medway system postdating the Anglian (MIS 12). Given that the study area Study Area runs through Area 528, an equivalent complex stratigraphy is likely preserved across the Proposed Project.
- In contrast, Holocene aged alluvium and peats recovered from the London Array OWF and Nemo Link areas, which transect the Proposed Project, were assessed with the earliest sequence dating to 8240-7840 cal. BC (Wessex Archaeology, 2016; Brown & Russell, 2019). The pollen assemblage from this earliest sequence mainly comprised boreal woodland taxa and ostracods reflecting a freshwater environment. A rise in sea level was recorded in later sequences between 6600-5970 cal. BP and 5890-5390 cal. BP with the development of a saltmarsh environment. The peats are of high geoarchaeological potential, preserving a range of palaeoenvironmental remains and material suitable for radiocarbon dating.
- Across the Outer Thames Estuary and wider southern North Sea, Pleistocene and early Holocene sediments are capped by post-transgression marine sands. The progressive inundation of the North Sea occurred over an extended time scale, with particularly rapid sea_-level rise during the early Holocene (11.5-7 ka), and with fully marine conditions occurring by around 6 ka (Sturt, Garrow, & Bradley, 2013).

Archaeological Record and Palaeogeographic Potential

- The southern North Sea off the east coast of East Anglia is known to contain relatively well preserved palaeolandscape features such as fluvial channels that formed during periods of lower sea level when the southern North Sea was free of ice. The remains of these terrestrial landscapes are frequently recovered by dredging and fishing activities in numerous areas around the southern North Sea generally in the form of the remains of extinct megafauna (e.g. woolly mammoths, woolly rhinoceros, bison, horse, lion and hyena).
- The discovery of actual human artefacts, such as stone tools and worked bone, and even remains is a rarer occurrence, but artefacts have been recovered (e.g Hublin, Weston, & Gunz (2009)). Reported finds from offshore activity has, to date, produced a range of early prehistoric lithic artefacts indicating early prehistoric activity in submerged palaeolandscapes from Lower, Middle, and Upper Palaeolithic periods (Tizzard L., Bicket, Benjamin, & De Loecker, 2015) with notable collections of more recent Mesolithic artefacts from submerged palaeolandscape contexts (Momber, et al., 2011; Wessex Archaeology, 2013).
- The earliest records of Lower Palaeolithic archaeology from northern Europe are associated with terrestrial deposits on margins of the North Sea basin in East Anglia, most notably from Pakefield (Parfitt, et al., 2005) and Happisburgh Site 3 (Parfitt, et al., 2010). Whilst the archaeology at Pakefield was created during a fully interglacial, more Mediterranean climate, at around MIS 17, the remains at Happisburgh Site 3 are older

Field Code Changed

(MIS 21 or MIS 25) and the environmental evidence is indicative of cool conditions at the edge the boreal zone (Candy, Silva, & Lee, 2011) which implies that these early hominins were capable of surviving in northern Europe in periods not associated with fully interglacial environments (Parfitt, et al., 2010). The importance of these sites is international, as they are currently unique at this latitude for this early date (Wessex Archaeology, 2013).

- Cohen et al. (Cohen, MacDonald, Joordens, Roebroeks, & Gibbard, 2012) highlighted the North Sea basin as a key region for understanding Pleistocene hominins within a northerly, coastal environment. The east of England, particularly East Anglia, but also the southeast of England, are important regions for later Middle Pleistocene, Lower Palaeolithic archaeology (MIS 13-MIS 9). During this timeframe British archaeology reflects repeated episodes of hominin occupation during temperate interglacial and cool conditions, separated by phases of hominin absence during fully glacial periods.
- Archaeological evidence is particularly abundant during MIS 13 and MIS 11 (Wymer, 1999; Pettitt & White, 2012) when warmer climate conditions meant Britain was again available to be recolonised by hominin communities, after a period of absence during the preceding Anglian glaciation (MIS 12). Lower Palaeolithic archaeological assemblages of this date tend to be characterised by handaxes, although during the earlier part of MIS 11, collections lacking handaxes (termed Clactonian) have been recognised. The foreshore, cliffs and hinterland at Clacton-on Sea (Essex) comprise an important Lower Palaeolithic site which is a designated geological Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). Channel sediments from the area are also an important site for the Lower Palaeolithic Clactonian flint industry and have yielded a rare wooden spear alongside lithic artefacts. This archaeology dates from the Hoxnian interglacial period (MIS 11, c.23–380 ka) (Bridgland & d'Olier, 1995; Sumbler, 1996), and the type site for the Hoxnian (the Hoxne Brick Pit) is located a relatively short distance inland outside of Diss, Suffolk (Ashton, Lewis, Parfitt, Penkman, & Coope, 2008).
- During the MIS 10 glaciation there appears to have been a hiatus in hominin activity in Britain (Pettitt & White, 2012). The post MIS 10 occupation Britain is associated with the emergence of the Neanderthals and their associated archaeology and patterns of behaviour. From the later part of MIS 9 the archaeological record attests to the development of Levallois core working strategies. This is also seen to mark the end of the Lower Palaeolithic and the beginning of the Middle Palaeolithic. The Levallois technique comes to dominate the British archaeological record during the early Middle Palaeolithic (late MIS 8 and MIS 7), with handaxe production occurring infrequently (Scott & Ashton, 2011).
- The international importance of early Middle Palaeolithic archaeology in the southern North Sea is highlighted by the numerous sites preserved within the Thames river terraces (White, Scott, & Ashton, The Early Middle Palaeolithic period in Britain: archaeology, settlement history and human behaviour, 2006; Scott & Ashton, 2011) and, in particular, by the submerged prehistoric Levallois lithic assemblage from marine aggregates Licence Area 240 in the Ppalaeo-Yare catchment. Over 120 artefacts have now been recovered from this locale, some of which are identifiable as Levallois, with many recovered from *in situ* or minimally disturbed contexts (Tizzard L., Bicket, Benjamin, & De Loecker, 2014; Tizzard L., Bicket, Benjamin, & De Loecker, 2015).
- The substantial, mixed assemblage of handaxes also recovered from Area 240 may be of older Lower Palaeolithic origin (e.g. >MIS 9), or may date to the Later Middle Palaeolithic when handaxes re-emerge as one of the key components of the archaeological record (late MIS 4-MIS 3) (Boismier, Gamble, & Coward, 2012)

However, based on palaeoenvironmental and sedimentological evidence an early Middle Palaeolithic date is most likely (Tizzard L. , Bicket, Benjamin, & De Loecker, 2015).

- Palaeogeographically, Area 240 is one of the most northerly Neanderthal sites in northwest Europe and of primary archaeological importance for defining Middle Palaeolithic potential and the contemporary palaeogeography across the southern North Sea basin (Tizzard L., Bicket, Benjamin, & De Loecker, 2014). The site highlights the archaeological potential of preserved Pleistocene fluvial deposits within the southern North Sea.
- 6.4.17 Within the Outer Thames Estuary, a large Palaeolithic assemblage including over 200 Levallois flakes was recovered from aggregate deposits forming the Clacton to Holland-on-Sea beach replenishing scheme (Bynoe, 2018). These deposits were originally sourced from marine aggregate License Area 447, located in an area where the confluent post-Anglian (<MIS 12) Rivers Thames, Medway and Blackwater would have been located (Bridgland & d'Olier, 1995; Emu Ltd, 2009; Sturt & Dix, 2009; Dix & Sturt, 2011). It is therefore likely that this Middle Palaeolithic assemblage originates from submerged Pleistocene deposits relating to this channel complex.
- Currently there is no definitive evidence of a hominin presence in Britain during the Ipswichian (MIS 5e) or the early Devensian (MIS 5d-a) (Lewis, Ashton, & Jacobi, 2011) Within the context of early prehistory and submerged palaeogeography, however, substantial areas of the southern North Sea basin would have been dry land during the warming and cooling limbs of the various sub-stages (MIS 5d to 5a) and archaeological sites of this age are relatively abundant in northern France (Lewis, Ashton, & Jacobi, 2011; Pettitt & White, 2012). Therefore, the potential exists for human activity to have occurred sporadically both within Britain and in any sub-aerially exposed parts of the southern North Sea basin, during the early Devensian.
- From late MIS 4 to MIS 3 there is evidence in Britain for Neanderthal recoloniszation. This late Middle Palaeolithic archaeological record is associated with morphologically and technologically distinctive handaxes (White & Jacobi, 2002). A key site belonging to this period is Lynford Quarry, Norfolk where a palaeochannel containing mammoth remains and associated late Middle Palaeolithic stone tools and debitage have been recovered (Boismier, Gamble, & Coward, 2012).
- In the early Upper Palaeolithic, at the end of the Late Pleistocene, Neanderthals were replaced in northern Europe by modern humans who, occupying and moving through what is now the southern North Sea, were present in in Britain from around 34 ka Jacobi & Higham, 2011a; Bicket & Tizzard, 2015). Archaeological evidence for this period consists of blade point/leaf point assemblages, thought to be associated with the final Neanderthal occupation of Britain, and small number of findspots associated with Evolved Aurignacian and Gravettian lithic artefacts which were produced by modern humans (Jacobi & Higham, 2011a).
- During the last glacial period, the study areaStudy Area will have been beyond, yet close to the maximum Devensian ice margin. At the maximum of the last glacial period, the environment within the southern North Sea was relatively poor for human colonisation, with humans absent from Britain during these peak cold conditions. However, there was increasing human exploitation after ~15 ka. Humans at this time were hunting game, such as mammoth and deer, and evidence of these animals has been reported through marine aggregate dredging, and the associated reporting requirements (Bicket & Tizzard, 2015).

Field Code Changed

- The onshore archaeological record of later Upper Palaeolithic activity is marked by Creswellian/Final Magdalenian stone tool assemblages associated with the later Upper Palaeolithic recoloniszation of Britain (Jacobi & Higham, 2011b), and offshore locations may provide unique and important context for coastal and lowland human activity during this period.
- The Mesolithic period began in the early Holocene and at around 10 ka, sea levels were approximately 35 m below current levels (Shennan & Horton, 2002) sub-aerially exposing large parts of the southern North Sea and English Channel making them suitable for human occupation. Archaeological and palaeoenvironmental material from this period has been reported from North Sea contexts for over a century (Reid, 1913; Godwin & Godwin, 1933). For example, a Maglemosian harpoon artefact was trawled in the early 20th century and was later radiocarbon dated to around 12,000 years ago (Housley, 1991)
- Between 8 and 5 ka, much of the landscape was inundated by eustatically driven sea_level change, and by 6 ka sea level was only approximately 7 m below the present level (Shennan & Horton, 2002). Around this time, Britain became an island again (Coles, 1998) and rising sea levels forced communities further inland. As temperate climates returned, the open plains were gradually replaced by forested areas and the large herds of reindeer, buffalo and horse hunted during the Palaeolithic were replaced by forest dwelling animals such as red deer, roe deer and wild cattle. Mesolithic hunters and gatherers also began to rely on the gathering of shellfish and vegetable foods. Settlements at the time were often transitory and seasonal, and therefore leave little trace in the archaeological record, however, new types of stone tools were introduced during this period.
- It is clear from numerous research and development-led investigations that postglacial marine transgression has not destroyed Pleistocene and Holocene palaeogeography by default (Wessex Archaeology, 2013). Areas of preserved palaeogeographic features do remain, and detailed reconstructions of palaeoenvironments and palaeogeography can be achieved for large parts of the North Sea basin (Tappin, et al., 2011; Limpenny, et al., 2011; Dix & Sturt, 2011).
- Considerable attention has been paid to Mesolithic landscapes of the southern North Sea (Gaffney, Thomson, & Fitch, 2007; Tappin, et al., 2011) as the now-submerged palaeolandscapes provide key contextual evidence for recovered artefacts and a background landscape within which to place these human communities. Increasingly, a maritime perspective has developed for understanding the early prehistoric archaeological record, where coasts, estuaries and wetlands are key landscape elements (Ransley, Sturt, Dix, Adams, & Blue, 2013).

Palaeogeographic Assessment Results

6.4.27

The identified geology within the study areaStudy Area has been correlated with the geoarchaeological assessment results and divided into the Units described below in Table 6.12Table 6.12. The results of this assessment are collated in gazetteer format detailed in Annex 6.A.3 and illustrated in Figure 6.4.4.6.A.3 Palaeogeographic Features of Archaeological Potential in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report Palaeogeographic features of archaeological potential.

Table 6.12 Shallow stratigraphy within the study area Study Area

| WA Unit | Lithofacies | Sediment Description | Geophysical Characteristic s | Formation | Epoch | |
|---------|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Unit 4 | Seabed sediment | Silty gravelly sand with shell fragments | Observed as a thin veneer to large sand waves and sand banks across the study areaStudy Area. Boundary between surficial sediments and underlying units not always discernible | Seabed sediment | Modern/Late Holocene | |
| Unit 3d | Alluvium and Peat | Soft dark brown organic silt and clay with organic material and occasional shell fragments (fine-grained organic) | Pleistocene and Holocene sediments. Distinct channel and cut and fill features, with fills characterised by parallel internal reflectors, sometimes acoustically unstructured and chaotic. | n/a | Early Holocene/ Pleistocene | |
| | | Soft brown silt and clay with thin beds or laminae of silty sand (fine- grained minerogenic) | | | | |
| | | Dark brown peat with fibrous material | | | | |
| Unit 3c | Shallow marine to coastal | Silty sand with beds and/or thick laminae of occasionally organic silty | - | n/a | _ | |

| | | Description | Characteristic s | | • |
|---------|------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|----------------------|
| | | clay /silty sand with shell fragments | | | |
| Unit 3b | Non-marine sand | Silty sand with no shell and occasional pockets and laminae of sand and clay | | n/a | |
| Unit 2 | Oxidised shallow marine sand | Orange silty sand with many shell fragments | Acoustically unstructured and sometimes stratified | Red Crag Formation | Plio- Pleistocene |
| Unit 1b | Pre- Quaternary Bedrock | Firm to stiff gravelly sandy silty clay with shell | Sub-parallel internal reflectors | London Clay Formation | Eocene |

Geophysical

Formation

Epoch

Upper

Cretaceous

Sediment

Intact

chalk or

and shell fragments

structureless

reworked silt

and sand with chalk gravel

WA Unit

Unit 1a

Pre-

Quaternary

Bedrock

Lithofacies

The oldest interpreted unit within the study areaStudy Area is Units 1a and 1b, which comprises the solid, pre-Quaternary bedrock for the region, Campanian Chalk and London Clay. This is seen in some areas to outcrop close to and at the seabed. As formations of Upper Cretaceous to Eocene age, Units 1a and 1b are not considered of archaeological potential. However, the upper surfaces may have once been exposed as a terrestrial land surface upon which archaeological material could have been deposited.

Acoustically

unstructured

sometimes stratified close

to the surface

and

Chalk

In the northern extent of the study areaStudy Area Unit 2, Red Crag Formation, has been occasionally identified in the SBP data, as an acoustically unstructured, occasionally chaotic unit, it is sometimes overlying Unit 1a. As a Plio-Pleistocene marine deposit that pre-dates the earliest known occupation of Britain (Stoker, Balson, Long, & Tappin, 2011), this unit is not considered to be of archaeological potential.

6.4.30 Unit 3 has been identified in multiple features across the study areaStudy Area and comprises Pleistocene and early Holocene sediments. Where features correlate with a vibrocore location, it has been sub-divided into Units 3a – 3d, some of which are interpreted to relate to the previous offshore course of the Thames-Medway river system. In the SBP data Unit 3 is visible as both buried and underfilled palaeochannels

and cut and fill features containing deposits of sands, gravels, silts, clays and peats. As potential terrestrial fluvial features, these deposits have the potential to contain both *in situ* and derived archaeological material, alongside preserved organic remains of potential importance to palaeoenvironmental studies.

- A number of these palaeogeographic features have been identified and individually mapped, all of which contain sediments interpreted to be from Unit 3.
- A total of nine channels have been identified within the study-Area (see Annex 6.A.3), all of which are discriminated as of P1 archaeological potential. Channel **75006** is located in the northern extent of the study-Area and orientated east to west. The channel has a single fill that is characterised by strong parallel reflectors, although it is sometimes acoustically chaotic or unstructured. The feature contains areas of acoustic blanking (**75007**) that may indicate the microbial breakdown of organic matter. A vibrocore taken from the fill of this feature (VC-S6005) has identified the presence of alluvium and peat (Unit 3d), interpreted to be of high palaeoenvironmental potential. This feature may represent a buried palaeochannel, and the infill deposits have the potential to contain material of palaeoenvironmental interest and/or both *in situ* and derived artefacts of archaeological interest.
- Channel **75037** is located offshore of Harwich and orientated east to west across the study areaStudy Area. The channel has an undulating basal reflector and a single phase of acoustically layered fill. The upper layer is erosive and in one place the feature has been partially scoured and infilled by modern marine sediment (Unit 4), and in another is scoured out around wreck **70117** on the surface (**Figure 6.4.4.6.A.4**Palaeogeographic feature data example **75037**, Palaeogeographic feature data example **75037**). This indicates a fill softer than the surrounding London Clay. No vibrocores were recovered within, or in proximity to, this channel feature. This feature may represent a buried palaeochannel, and the infill deposits may contain material of palaeoenvironmental interest and/or both *in situ* and derived artefacts of archaeological interest. Based on regional bathymetry data, this appears to be the offshore extension of the River Stour, which has been identified within numerous offshore development areas within the region.
- Channel **75018** is located offshore and south of Harwich. It is orientated approximately northeast to southwest, but only a small section of its northeast extent is present within the study Area. The channel has a distinct, undulating basal reflector and two fills visible on some lines of data. The lower fill is chaotic, and the upper fill is characterised by parallel internal reflectors. The feature is situated below a thin veneer of modern seabed sediments (Unit 4) and cuts into interpreted London Clay (Unit 1b). The feature has the potential to represent a buried palaeochannel, and the infill deposits may contain material of palaeoenvironmental interest and/or both *in situ* and derived artefacts of archaeological interest and is considered of high archaeological potential.
- Four channel complexes have been identified in the southern extent of the study areaStudy Area (75029, 75030, 75031, and 75035), all of which have also been discriminated as P1 archaeological potential. These features are mainly located within the Outer Thames estuary (with the exception of 75035) and are potentially part of the offshore route of the Thames/Medway river system. Feature 75030 has a distinct, undulating basal reflector and multiple phases of fill. The lower fill is characterised by distinct parallel internal reflectors, and the upper fill is generally more chaotic (although in some parts the fills are acoustically unstructured) (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.5

 Palaeogeographic Features of Archaeological Potential in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report, Palaeogeographic

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

feature data example - **75030**). The feature is situated below modern seabed sediments (Unit 4) and cuts into an acoustically unstructured unit (Unit 1a). Two vibrocores taken within the fill of this channel contain shallow marine to coastal deposits of medium palaeoenvironmental potential (VC-057 and VC-058), interpreted to be Unit 3c. The feature has the potential to represent a possible fluvial braid plain feature of archaeological potential, and the infill deposits may contain material of palaeoenvironmental interest, it is located within the Outer Thames estuary and potentially part of the offshore route of the Thames/Medway riverMedway River system.

- Channel complex **75035** is located in the approaches to Pegwell Bay, just offshore Ramsgate. This is a large channel feature, potentially containing at least three different phases of cut and fill. The feature is generally characterised by a well-defined basal reflector with multiple phases of acoustically layered fill, although one phase appears unstructured. A small area of acoustic blanking within one of the later phases of fill potentially indicates the presence of organic material. The feature splits into two separate channels towards the northern edge of the **study areaStudy Area**, and the western extent is difficult to define and likely extends further than mapped. This is likely to be a remnant buried fluvial system, potentially an offshore extension of the River Stour (Kent), but this is uncertain.
- Fourteen cut and fills have been identified within the <a href="extraorder-study-area-st
- The remaining cut and fill features have been discriminated as P2 archaeological potential. Most are simple cut and fills, with a single phase of fill, but one complex cut and fill has been identified within the study areaStudy Area (75023). This feature contains two fills, both of which are acoustically unstructured and sometimes chaotic. A vibrocore (VC-043) taken from the fill of this feature identified oxidised shallow marine sands (Unit 3c), situated below modern seabed sediments (Unit 4). This feature may represent remnant fluvial features infilled with Unit 3c, or it may be modern infilled sediments and of no archaeological or palaeoenvironmental interest; however, as the origin of the feature cannot be confirmed without further investigation, it has been retained as a precaution and is considered to be of medium archaeological potential.
- Two areas of acoustic blanking have been identified throughout the study-area (75009 and 75007). Acoustic blanking 75009 is relatively extensive and very close to surface at the northern landfall and <a href="mailto:may extend further towards the coast than has been mapped. Areas of acoustic blanking have the potential to be shallow gas which may have been caused by the microbial breakdown of organic matter and therefore may contain sediments of palaeoenvironmental interest.
- 6.4.40 Unit 4 has been identified widely across the study areaStudy Area in both the SBP and the geotechnical logs. It has been interpreted to be modern seabed sediments and generally comprises shelly gravelly sands and sandy gravels. It is visible as varying thicknesses along the study areaStudy Area, ranging from a thin veneer to sand waves and sand banks a few metres in height. These sediments are considered to be of low potential, butpotential but have the potential to contain re-worked artefacts and can cover wreck sites and other cultural heritage in areas with sufficient thickness.

Geoarchaeological assessment

Introduction

Geoarchaeological assessments are typically undertaken with reference to geological periods (e.g. Quaternary), epochs (e.g. Pleistocene) and sub-epochs (e.g. Devensian) that reflect major climate sea_level and/or environmental changes. Here we adopt standard British nomenclature correlated to the Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) record to distinguish between different climatic periods, with dates given in ka (thousands of years before present). Marine Isotope Stages are deduced from marine palaeoclimatic records and reflect alternating warm (interglacial and interstadial) and cold (glacial and stadial) periods throughout the Quaternary.

6.4.41

Geoarchaeological assessment results

A total of 53 vibrocore logs were reviewed as part of the Stage 1 works (See Figure 6.4.4.6.A.6 Location of Vvibrocores and Geoarchaeological Periority in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report), with the aim of identifying deposits of potential geoarchaeological interest with recommendations for further geoarchaeological work, if necessary. Outline descriptions based on geotechnical logs are presented in Annex 6.A.5, accompanied by an initial interpretation of the deposits. A summary of the deposits encountered is provided in Table 6.12 Table 6.12 and the geoarchaeological priority is shown in Figure 6.4.4.6.A.6 Location of Vibrocores and Geoarchaeological Priority in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report Figure 6.4.4.6.A.6 Location of vibrocores and geoarchaeological priority.

An additional geotechnical survey was conducted in October 2024, with the retrieval of vibrocores to replace the ones that were destroyed prior to Stage 2 geoarchaeological assessment and the additional vibrocores obtained from within the additional marine areas that form the Order Limits used for the Statutory Consultation stage... A Method Statement was prepared and approved by Historic England prior to the survey commencing (Application Document 7.6 Part 7 Other Documents Chapter 6 Method Statement for Geoarchaeological Assessment of Geotechnical Site Investigations).

Bedrock and reworked bedrock

Structureless chalk and stiff sandy silty clay with siltstone and mudstone were recovered from the study areaStudy Area and interpreted as Chalk and London Clay Formation bedrock, respectively. Chalk bedrock was recovered in eight vibrocores and represents a continuation of equivalent onshore deposits mapped on the Isle of Thanet in east Kent.

6.4.45 In 10 vibrocores, stiff gravelly sandy silty clay with shell fragments interpreted as reworked London Clay bedrock and silt and sand with chalk gravel and shell fragments were recovered. The archaeological potential of these reworked deposits is low as they formed prior to human occupation of Britain.

Fluvial gravel

Formatted: Body_MT

- Silty sandy gravel with shell fragments was recorded in a single vibrocore (VC-040), between seabed and 3.82 mbsf. The coarse nature of this deposit including subangular clasts may suggest deposition in a high-energy fluvial environment. Despite this, the recovery of shell is unique and is typically indicative of marine processes. Based on SBP data VC040 is located on the edge of a mapped channel feature (75020) and as such, is tentatively interpreted as representing a fluvial channel which has been reworked by succeeding marine processes, as evidenced by the recovery of broken shell.
- Given this deposit was recovered in a single vibrocore, it is possible that the sands and gravels represent a relict, isolated channel system. Although the age of this deposit is unknown, due to post-depositional reworking by increasing marine conditions, it is considered to have low geoarchaeological and archaeological potential.

Non-marine sand

- 6.4.48 Silty sand with occasional pockets and laminae of sand and clay was recorded in two vibrocores at depths between seabed (VC062A) and 4.27 mbsf (VC061). A diagnostic characteristic of this unit is the absence of fragments and whole shells, which combined with the non-calcareous nature of these sediments, suggests deposition within a subaerially exposed landscape. The depositional history of this deposit is difficult to determine from geotechnical logs alone. Nonetheless, it is possible that formation occurred within a periglacial environment by aeolian or fluvial processes and as such has been interpreted as non-marine sand.
- 6.4.49 An extensive channel network has been identified in the study areaStudy Area through the interpretation of SBP data and identification of buried palaeolandscape features. Given VC061 lies within a palaeochannel (feature **75032**), it is likely the non-marine sands preserved reflect deposition in a fluvial setting.
- Although VC062 is not mapped within a palaeochannel, the non-marine sands preserved are unique as they are oxidiszed which may suggest previous subaerial exposure with deposition predating the early Holocene. Non-marine sand is assigned medium geoarchaeological potential as deposition most likely occurred in a sub-aerially exposed landscape suitable for hominin occupation.

Oxidised shallow marine sand

- 6.4.51 Light to dark orange occasionally gravelly, silty sand with frequent shell fragments was recovered in a single vibrocore (VC043) at a depth of between 0.98 mbsf and 4.71 mbsf. This deposit directly overlies London Clay bedrock. The presence of shell fragments suggests deposition in a marine environment, however oxidation was identified following a review of core photographs. Oxidation is typically associated with stratigraphically older deposits and is shown to occur during periods of landscape exposure. Given this deposit overlies bedrock, is oxidised and contains abundant shell, it is correlated to the Red Crag Formation.
- The Red Crag Formation is a formation of marine shelly sands and gravels which unconformably overlies London Clay bedrock (Cameron, et al., 1992). Although the exact age of formation is debatable, deposits are Plio-Pleistocene in age and therefore pre-date the earliest known occupation of Britain and are considered low archaeological and geoarchaeological potential.

Shallow marine to coastal

- 6.4.53 In 17 vibrocores, lithologically variable deposits comprising gravelly sand and silty sand with beds and laminae of occasional organic clay, silt and sand with shell fragments were recovered at depths between seabed and 5.55 mbsf.
- Fragments of shell within this deposit suggest deposition in a marine setting, however a bedded structure is frequently observed and is indicative of low-energy periodic deposition. Comparatively coarser deposits of gravelly sands are also recorded and are indicative of higher energy conditions, and combined with the presence of shell, likely represents deposition within a coastal plain, or possibly littoral, environment. Given the changes in energy resulting in more laminated and comparatively coarser sand units, it is difficult to determine the depositional environment. However, based on the presence of shell, indicative of marine conditions and organic horizons which indicate a more marginal environment that would allow vegetation to establish, these deposits are interpreted as representing deposition in a shallow marine to coastal setting.
- These deposits are typically overlain by fine-grained minerogenic, and organic alluvium, and alluvium and were likely deposited in the early Holocene when the southern North Sea was subaerially exposed. Given the presence of organic material, these sand-dominated deposits have been assigned medium priority status as while there is potential to preserve palaeoenvironmental material, the organic layers are thinthin, and the sandy nature may have a negative impact on preservation and concentration.

Alluvium and peat

- 6.4.56 In five vibrocores (VC-S6005, VC038, VC050, VC051 and VC061) soft brown silt and clay with thin beds or laminae of silty sand was recovered. The fine-grained and laminated nature of this deposit suggests deposition in a low-energy setting, and given the absence of organic material, is interpreted as fine-grained minerogenic alluvium.
- Soft dark brown organic silt and clay with occasional organics and shell fragments was recorded in five vibrocores (VC-S6005, VC046A, VC050, VC055A, VC061).

 Laminations were occasionally observed on review of core photographs and may suggest periodic increase of tidal processes. Based on the abundance of organics, this deposit is interpreted as organic alluvium.
- 6.4.58 Collectively, given the fine-grained, laminated nature of sediments and presence of shell fragments, these minerogenic and organic alluvial deposits are both interpreted as representing deposition in a tidally influenced environment. These fine-grained deposits are likely of Holocene age, dating to a time when the southern North Sea was subaerially exposed prior to sea -level transgression.
- Although several vibrocores recovered from the study areaStudy Area contain both minerogenic and organic alluvium (VC-S6005, VC050 and VC061), no stratigraphic order is observed, with organic alluvium occurring above and below minerogenic deposits. This demonstrates a more localiszed response of palaeolandscape development in the early Holocene, with organic sediments forming in response to more stable and drier conditions.
- 6.4.60 Fibrous material is recorded in the organic alluvial deposit in VC-S6005 and demonstrates stabilisation of the land surface and progressive development of a terrestrial landscape.

- Organic alluvium is assigned medium priority status given its potential to preserve geoarchaeological material suitable for palaeoenvironmental assessment. Minerogenic alluvium is also considered to have medium priority status given the potential to preserve inorganic microfossils.
- Peat described as firm and dark brown was recorded in a single vibrocore (VC-S6005) between 1.74 mbsf and 2.16 mbsf. The high organic content of this deposit suggests formation within a semi–terrestrial wetland environment and considering its relationship with the underlying shallow marine to coastal deposits and overlying tidally influenced alluvium, this is likely a coastal wetland fringing an estuarine, lagoonal or embayment
- Peat deposits have the highest potential for preserving material for radiocarbon dating, along with a range of palaeoenvironmental remains (e.g. pollen and plant macrofossils) suitable for reconstructing past landscapes and environmental change, and change and investigating evidence for human activity during the Upper Palaeolithic and Early Mesolithic.

Seabed sediments

- 6.4.64 In 34 vibrocores, brown silty gravelly sand and sandy gravel with whole shell and shell fragments were recovered. This deposit where present is always recorded at seabed and due to the high gravel and shell content, is interpreted to represent modern seabed sediments.
- As sea levels stabilised during the Mid-Holocene, marine processes began to rework the uppermost deposits in places, forming gravelly sands with frequent shell and shell fragments. Seabed sediments typically form a veneer over fine-grained laminated sequences, generally where mapped palaeochannels occur, or over outcropping chalk bedrock. However, in places deposits are occasionally thick (e.g. 2.94 m in VC050) and may reflect the formation of sand waves or rippleripples or dune features on the seabed. Although there is potential for these deposits to contain reworked archaeology or bury palaeolandscape features, their archaeological potential is considered low.

Deposit modelling

- Following the Stage 1 review of a total of 53 vibrocores from the study area_Study Area, a series of geoarchaeological deposit models were constructed to target medium to high priority deposits. The models included five transects from north to south across the extents of the Proposed Project (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.7 Transect 1, Figure 6.4.4.6.A.8 Transect 2, Figure 6.4.4.6.A.9 Transect 3, Figure 6.4.4.6.A.10 Transect 4 and Application Document_Figure 6.4.4.6.A.11 Transect 5 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report). An inset map showing the location of the transects and any associated palaeolandscape features are included in each transect figure.
- The cross-sections are composed of two-dimensional stratigraphic profiles (transects) that provide vertical visualisations of the stratigraphic records, along lines drawn through selected vibrocores across the licence areas study areaStudy Area. These transects model the possible make-up of the deposits between these individual deposit records.

Transect 1

To the north of the ECCstudy areaStudy Area, Transect 1 (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.7 Transect 1 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report) comprises varying thicknesses of shallow marine sands are overlain by minerogenic and organic alluvium (VC-S6-005). A thin veneer of organic alluvium is recorded in a single vibrocore VC-S6-005) and overlies a thin (0.42 m) deposit of peat. The organic alluvium and peat in VC-S6-005 are located within a channel feature (75006) identified in the SBP data. To the south of the transect, vibrocores comprised bedrock typically overlain by a veneer of seabed sediments.

Transect 2

6 4 68

6.4.69

6.4.70

Transect 2 (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.8 Transect 2 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES

Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report) comprises a total of 12 vibrocores which are generally characteriszed by bedrock which subcrops below a veneer of seabed sediments. However, a single vibrocore to the south (VC-038) contains a thin unit of minerogenic alluvium, possibly forming in an isolated depression. No palaeolandscape features have been identified across the transect area.

Transect 3

Transect 3 (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.9 Transect 3 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report) comprises 12 vibrocores which typically comprise bedrock overlain by a veneer of seabed sediments. However, a number of palaeolandscape features including channels and cut and fill features have been identified across this area. A unique deposit interpreted as fluvial gravel is recorded in VC-040 overlying bedrock at an elevation of c. -38.0 m LAT. Although the age of this deposit is unknown, the low elevation may suggest downcutting, with this deposit reflecting a younger, isolated channel system which possibly formed during the Late Pleistocene to Holocene. This is also possible given its association with feature 75021. A thick unit (c. 2.0 m) of organic alluvium was identified in VC-046A and may represent infilling of a localised cut and fill feature (75024). At tThehe southern end of Transect 3, shallow marine sands are illustrated in VC-050 and VC-051 with both organic and minerogenic alluvium overlying these sands in the former. This sequence likely forms the fill of a palaeochannel located in this area (75027). A thick sequence of seabed sediment is observed in VC-050 and may represent a surface bedform (e.g. sand_wave).

Transect 4

Transect 4 (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.10 Transect 4 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES

Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report) comprises 13 vibrocores which to
the north typically consist of thick sequences of shallow marine sands. The vibrocores
either terminate within these sands or overlie bedrock. The shallow marine sands either
outcrop at seabed or are overlain by a veneer of seabed sediments. However, in one
instance (VC-055A), this deposit is overlain by a thin unit of organic alluvium. These
vibrocores are associated with an extensive channel complex which may form part of
the palaeo Thames-Medway system.

Two deposits of non-marine sands are illustrated at the southern extent of the transect (VC-061 and VC-62A) and may reflect either lower energy fluvial or alternatively older aeolian deposition.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Transect 5

Transect 5 (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.11 Transect 5 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES

Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report) comprises seven vibrocores and is oriented northeast to southwest at the southern extent of the route. Two isolated channel features have been identified to the south of the transect, however no associated Quaternary deposits have been observed. The vibrocores are typically characterised by bedrock, overlain by reworked bedrock to the northeast, and capped by modern seabed sediments.

Setting

The setting of seabed prehistory features is integral to their value and importance.

Although there are no views to the features nor ways they can be experienced on the seabed, their position is critical to how palaeolandscapes were experienced by past peoples, and their non-visual setting includes international research into the Palaeolithic and Mesolithic periods across Europe. If further relevant information regarding these features becomes available in the future then an assessment of their setting may be undertaken.

Value

- There are no designated or known seabed prehistory sites within the study areaStudy

 Area. However, the results of the palaeogeographic assessment together with the
 archaeologically assessed cores taken for the Proposed Project have demonstrated the
 potential for the discovery of material relating to seabed prehistory.
- On the basis of age and the rarity of Palaeolithic and Mesolithic finds in marine contexts, if any sites or material was discovered, they will likely be of high, probably national, archaeological importance. A guidance note published by English Heritage (now Historic England) Identifying and Protecting Palaeolithic Remains: archaeological guidance for planning authorities and developers (English Heritage, 1998) indicated that sites containing Palaeolithic features are so rare in Britain that they should be regarded as of national importance and wherever possible should remain undisturbed. This was reiterated in Historic England's 2023 guidance, Curating the Palaeolithic (Historic England, 2023).

6.5 Marine Archaeological Assessment: Maritime, Aviation Sites and Geophysical Anomalies of Archaeological Potential

Introduction

The following assessment for the maritime and aviation marine archaeological baseline resource is predominantly based on the assessment of geophysical data to identify features of archaeological potential relating to maritime and aviation activity. This information was supplemented with records of known shipwrecks, aircraft crash sites and obstructions to provide an overall baseline of the study area Study Area. The distribution of the known heritage receptors is illustrated in Figure 6.4.4.6.A.12 Seabed features of archaeological potential in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

- As well as <u>summarisingsummariszing</u> the known archaeological resource, the baseline assessment underlines the potential for encountering shipwreck and aircraft crash sites within the <u>study areaStudy Area</u>. Relevant primary and secondary source material has also been utilised to understand the nature of maritime and aviation activity of the region.
- The overall aim is to establish the known and potential marine archaeological resource that could be affected by the Proposed Project within the Offshore Scheme.
- The baseline information presented here has been gathered following the best practice professional guidance outlined by the ClfA's Standard and Guidance for Historic Environment Desk-Based Assessment (Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, 2020b).

Protected Sites

- 6.5.5 Wrecks protected under the Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 (Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 c.33), the Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 (Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 c.35) or the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 (as amended) (Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 c.46) are marked on appropriate UKHO Admiralty Charts. Interference or damage to these wrecks is considered a criminal offence.
- There are currently no maritime or aviation sites within the study areaStudy Area that are subject to statutory protection from these acts that can be used to protect marine archaeological sites.
- There are no records relating to known aircraft crash sites within the study <a hreat-area; however, it is possible that the anomalies given the archaeological discrimination A2 described in the geophysical seabed features assessment results below could relate to such sites. All aircraft that crashed while in military service are automatically protected under the Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 (Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 c.35). If present within the study Area, such sites will represent statutory constraints upon the proposed development. This legislation means any activities impacting upon the aircraft remains must cease pending assessment by the Ministry of Defence.
- An NMHR Recorded Loss for an aircraft crash site records the location of a B-17 Flying Fortress within the study Area. The record (NMHR_1602379) is located within a circular Neamed H_0cation polygon with a 1 km diameter. Material associated with this aircraft were discovered in the 1990s and were recovered and accessioned with the British Breznett Aeronautical Museum. A walkover survey was undertaken in 2017 to inform the Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm and, following discussions with Elliot Smock who was involved with the recovery of the material in the 1990s, the remaining material associated with the aircraft were located on Sandwich Flats to the south of Pegwell Bay (Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd, 2018a). The NMHR record for this site has not since been updated and therefore the Neamed H_0cation position for the aircraft is not accurate and does not impact the Proposed Project. However, potential still exists for aircraft remains to be discovered in the study Area.

Geophysical Seabed Features Assessment Results

The results of this assessment are collated in gazetteer format detailed in Annex 6.A.7 and illustrated in Figure 6.4.4.6.A.12 Seabed features of archaeological, potential with wreck sheets presented on Figure 6.4.4.6.A.13 - Figure 6.4.4.6.A.29 and data examples

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

presented on Figure 6.4.4.6.A.30 Data examples of A1 discriminated seabed features in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report.

- A total of 1,451 features have been identified as being of possible archaeological potential within the study areaStudy Area and are discriminated as shown in Table 6.13Table 6.13.
- 6.5.11 Three recorded wrecks within the study areaStudy Area have been interpreted to be modern and therefore not of archaeological potential. These wrecks have not been included in the seabed features assessment results relating to the geophysical survey, however, their positions are recorded here for reference (in ETRS89 UTM31N):
 - 407341 mE, 5757133 mN (UKHO_14703 Patrick Michael);;
 - 399653 mE, 5700985 mN (UKHO 92106 Laurl Coin); and
 - 389200 mE, 5685809 mN (UKHO_15159 Pisces).

Table 6.13 Anomalies of archaeological potential within the study area Study Area

| Archaeological discrimination | Quantity | Interpretation |
|-------------------------------|----------|---|
| A1 | 53 | Anthropogenic origin of archaeological interest |
| A2_h | 469 | Anomaly of likely anthropogenic origin but of unknown date; may be of archaeological interest or a modern feature |
| A2_I | 925 | Anomaly of possible anthropogenic origin but interpretation is uncertain; may be anthropogenic or a natural feature |
| A3 | 4 | Historic record of possible archaeological interest with no corresponding geophysical anomaly |
| Total | 1,451 | |

Furthermore, these anomalies can be classified by probable type, which can further aid in assigning archaeological potential and importance (<u>Table 6.14Table 6.14</u>).

Formatted Table

Table 6.14 Types of anomalies identified

| Anomaly classification | Definition | Number of anomalies |
|------------------------|--|---------------------|
| Wreck | Areas of coherent structure including wrecks of ships, submarines and some aircraft (where coherent structure survives) | 17 |
| Debris field | A discrete area containing numerous individual debris items that are potentially anthropogenic, and can include dispersed wreck sites for which no coherent structure remains | 32 |
| Debris | Distinct objects on the seabed, generally exhibiting height or with evidence of structure, that are potentially anthropogenic in origin | 113 |
| Seabed disturbance | An area of disturbance without individual, distinct objects. Potentially indicates wreck debris or other anthropogenic features buried just below the seabed. | 38 |
| Linear debris | Distinct linear objects on the seabed, either straight or curved, generally exhibiting height or with evidence of structure, that are potentially anthropogenic in origin. May represent linear anthropogenic debris which can include, for example, lengths of rope or chain or abandoned fishing gear. | 65 |
| Bright reflector | Individual objects or areas of low reflectivity, characteristic of materials that absorb acoustic energy, such as waterlogged wood or synthetic materials. Precise nature is uncertain | 1 |
| Dark reflector | Individual objects or areas of high reflectivity, displaying some anthropogenic characteristics. Precise nature is uncertain | 116 |
| Mound | A mounded feature with height not considered to be natural. Mounds may form over wreck sites or other debris. | 100 |
| Depression | An area of disturbed seabed with depth. Potentially indicates scour around a buried feature or where a feature has been cleared. | 4 |
| Magnetic trend | Linear trend of individual magnetic anomalies which appear to be associated, with no associated seabed surface expression, and have the potential to represent possible ferrous debris. | 7 |
| Magnetic | No associated seabed surface expression, and have the potential to represent possible buried ferrous debris or buried wreck sites | 954 |

| Anomaly classification | Definition | Number of anomalies |
|------------------------|--|---------------------|
| Recorded Wreck | Position of a recorded wreck at which previous surveys have identified definite seabed anomalies, but for which no associated feature has been identified within the current data set. | 3 |
| Recorded obstruction | Position of a recorded obstruction (e.g. foul ground, fisherman's fastener recorded by the UKHO), but for which no associated feature has been identified within the current data set. | 1 |
| Total | | 1,451 |

- 6.5.13 A total of 53 anomalies have been discriminated as A1 during this assessment. These are all either wreck sites, associated wreck debris/debris fields, or very large magnetic anomalies
- Wreck **7116** is an unknown, recorded wreck that corresponds with UKHO record 87090. The wreck is visible in the SSS data as an indistinct spread of dark reflectors with slight shadows, including multiple small angular objects within an area of disturbed seabed. The wreck measures 15.5 x 8.4 x 0.7 m and its full extent may be buried. In the MBES data the wreck is visible as a large oval mound with an uneven peak, with distinct scouring to the south measuring 25 m long and approximately 0.5 m deep. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. In the UKHO record, **7116** is recorded described as a dangerous wreck first identified in 2017 and reported as measuring 10.2 x 5.0 x 1.0 m, however, there is no other information available. The larger geophysical dimensions in the most recent survey may suggest the wreck has become more exposed since 2017 (**Figure 6.4.4.6.A.13_Wreck Sheet 1: Wreck 7116** in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report).
- Wreck 7120 is an unknown and unrecorded wreck, identified in the SSS data as a large 6.5.15 oval shaped thin curvilinear dark reflector interpreted to be the hull, with bright irregular shadows across its length, indicating uneven height. Internally, indistinct angular and short and straight dark reflectors with shadows are visible that may be surviving deck structure. The wreck is situated in an area of mobile sediments and measures 22.2 x 9.9 x 0.4 m. In the MBES data one end of the wreck is visible as a distinct curvilinear mound that appears to be mostly intact hull structure. Internally indistinct linear mounds are visible that suggest the wreck is upright, and there are some small angular mounds directly next to the hull that may be collapsed structure. The wreck is orientated east to west on the seabed, with the east end potentially buried by sediments and/or highly degraded. The wreck has scouring to the south for approximately 25.0 m (approximately 0.7 m deep). The wreck has a large Mag. anomaly associated with it, measuring 111 nT indicating some ferrous material is present (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.14 Wreck Sheet 2: Wreck 7120 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological **Technical Report**).
- 6.5.16 Wreck **7173** is a recorded wreck that corresponds with UKHO_10249 and NMHR_912686 records for HMS *Tervani;* a trawler built in 1914, with build dimensions of 48.8 x 7.9 x 4.3 m. The vessel had one boiler and a triple expansion engine and was mined in 1916. The wreck was last surveyed in 2017 and reported as being intact and partially buried, with MBES dimensions of 48.1 x 8.1 x 2.4 m.

In the 2021 data set, wreck **7173** is partially covered by the SSS data and is visible <u>as</u> a series of distinct but dispersed dark reflectors with bright shadows. Short linear and angular objects are visible, as well as slatted features that may be surviving deck structure. The wreck is orientated approximately north to south and extends beyond the SSS data range; the measured dimensions of 36.8 x 15.2 x 0.6 m are significantly shorter than those in the UKHO record, suggesting the wreck extends considerably beyond the 2021 data extents. The wreck has significant <u>associated</u> scour <u>associated</u> that extends beyond the data range to the southeast. The wreck was not directly covered by the MBES or Mag. datasets; however, a small Mag. anomaly was identified on the closest Mag. line, 46.0 m east, measuring 34 nT, indicating some ferrous material is present (**Figure 6.4.4.6.A.15 Wreck Sheet 3: Wreck 7173 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report**). Debris field **7174** has been identified 4.0 m to the north of wreck **7173** and is considered to be an associated debris field; as such it has also been discriminated as A1. Other objects identified in the vicinity of the wreck are interpreted as associated debris.

6.5.17

6.5.18

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Wreck 7176 is a recorded, unknown wreck that corresponds with UKHO_record-86578. The wreck is partially covered by the SSS data and is visible as a large spread of linear, curvilinear, elongate, and angular dark reflectors with bright shadows. The wreck measures 41.4 x 10.2 x 0.4 m, however it extends beyond the SSS data range and so the dimensions should be considered a minimum. At the northeast end of the wreck a distinctive curvilinear dark reflector measuring 23.7 x 1.3 m is visible that is interpreted to be part of the hull. No superstructure or deck structure is visible, and associated debris has been identified in the vicinity (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.16 Wreck Sheet 4: Wreck 7176 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report). The wreck was not directly covered by the MBES or Mag. datasets, so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Associated with a UKHO record for an unknown wreck, last surveyed in 2017, it is reported as a being a largelylargely intact and partially buried, with dimensions of 41.7 x 6.5 x 2.9 m. Debris 7177 and debris field 7178 are considered to be are associated wreck debris and have been discriminated as A1.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Wreck **7231** is a recorded wreck that corresponds with a-UKHO_-(92098) record for an unknown wreck. In the SSS data the wreck is visible as two tightly constrained debris fields made up of multiple dark reflectors ranging from angular to sub rounded and linear, with shadows of varying lengths, interspersed with numerous smaller angular items of debris. Some larger pieces of debris are visible including a very large central dark reflector with a large, tall shadow. The wreck is orientated approximately WNW to ESE on the seabed and measures 14.3 x 10.7 x 2.4 m. In the MBES data the wreck appears as a slightly elongate, angular object with a stepped outline in profile, with the south extent forming the tallest and most angular part of the object. The north extents are increasingly rounded, appearing almost cylindrical. A scour is present to the west, north, and east, and the wreck sits within a rectangular depression measuring approximately 25.0 x 15.0 m. The wreck has a large Mag. anomaly associated with it, measuring 786 nT, indicating substantial ferrous material is present (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.17 Wreck Sheet 5: Wreck 7231 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report). A significant debris field (70066), measuring 11.8 x 4.4 x 0.8 m, is located within a scour approximately 5.0 m to the SSW of the main wreck and is likely related debris.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

6.5.20 In the UKHO record wreck **7231** was first located in 2019 and surveyed twice in the same year. The wreck has reported dimensions of 19.9 x 3.6 x 4.0 m and is orientated 35° on the seabed. The differences in geophysical dimensions between this and the

most recent survey may indicate differences in burial/exposure since 2019. The wreck is situated in an area of mobile sediments ediments, and it may periodically be buried.

6.5.21

6.5.23

6.5.24

Wreck **70090** is a recorded wreck that corresponds with a UKHO_14722 record of an unknown wreck. In the SSS data, the wreck is visible as a large, sub-rounded and elongate structure with a tall shadow of varying height, that appears to be largely intact. The wreck is observed in the MBES dataset as <u>a</u> wide, tall, elongate, angular mound, oriented northeast southwestnortheast southwest. The wreck appears steep-sided on the northwest and southeast slopes, with a more gradual gradient on the other sides. At the northeast extent there are two small angular mounds, that are attached and part of the same structure, but no internal structures are visible throughout the rest of the wreck. The wreck is associated with two relatively poorly defined debris fields (**70089** and **70093**) and a potential anchor, situated just to the east of the northeastern end of the wreck (**70091**). The wreck is associated with a very large Mmag. Aanomaly measuring 1,241 nT in amplitude, suggesting significant amounts of ferrous material (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.18 Wreck Sheet 6: Wreck **70090** in Application Document **6.4.4.6.A** ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report).

Weck **70090** corresponds with the position of an unknown, recorded wreck in the UKHO data last surveyed in 2019. The wreck was described as partially buried and broken apart and with dimensions of 22.4 x 6.5 x 5.1 m from the MBES data, and data and is oriented 32° on the seabed. The wreck is situated within an area of mobile seabed sediment, suggesting parts of the wreck and any surrounding debris could be periodically buried.

Wreck 70117 is a recorded wreck that correlates with the UKHO 14685 record for the wreck of the Thyra, a British steamship that was lost in 1942 after striking a mine. The wreck is visible in the SSS data as a very large elongate dark reflector with a long shadow which contains numerous individual elongate and sub-angular dark reflectors with shadows of varying height, and measures approximately 83.2 x 23.5 x 17.6 m. The wreck was observed in the MBES data as a distinct structure oriented structure-oriented WNW-ESE, with extensive scour and associated sediment accumulation to the southwest for over 160 m and beyond the survey extents. The hull outline appears intact at the west of the structure, and some internal rectangular surface structures are visible toward the east extent of the structure, where the structure appears more heavily degraded. The south outline of the structure is not visible for the most part due to sediment accumulation and this has also likely part buried the structure in its centre. This suggests the vessel is listing over to the south. Large areas of scour are present to the northeast and southwest of the structure, with the linear scour from the west extent continuing over 200 m to the northeast. The wreck is associated with a very large Mag. aAnomaly of 86,807 nT. Multiple items of debris and debris fields likely associated with the wreck are scattered in the vicinity of the structure, mainly concentrated within the areas of scour (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.19 Wreck Sheet 7: Wreck 70117 in Application **Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report).**

The wreck of the *Thyra* was originally identified in 1942 and last surveyed in 2016. This was a steamship built in 1925 by Fredrikstad Mek Vaerks (Fredrikstad), which was sunk on 28 February 1942 after it struck a mine while on passage from Hartlepool to London. The UKHO describes the site as a dangerous wreck, lying on its port side with considerable break up at the northern end leading to a deep scour as well as being heavily covered by nets, measuring 84.0 x 20.0 x 9.6 m. It is Linterpreted as a wreck with severely broken up elements and surrounded by several debris fields.

Wreck **7269** is a recorded wreck that corresponds with a UKHO_14599 and NMHR_908160 record for UC11 (Possibly); a German minelaying submarine that sunk after running into one of its own mines in 1918, it had as built dimensions of 34.1 x 3.0_m. The wreck is visible in the SSS data as a thick, elongate and slightly intermittent dark reflector with bright shadows, interpreted to be the hull. Within this, multiple linear, curvilinear and angular objects are visible. The wreck measures 43.5 x 20.7 x 3.2 m and is visible in the MBES data as a spread of angular, linear and curvilinear mounds. The largest mound is rectangular, with steep sides and a flat thin peak measuring 13.6 x 4.3 x 3.0 m and may bemaybe the conning tower or a rudder. Some objects are very distinct, and others may be partially buried. The feature has scouring associated orientated to the north and south for a maximum of 28.0 m and is 0.5 m deep. The wreck has a very large magnetic anomaly associated with it, measuring 5,114 nT, indicating substantial ferrous material is present (**Figure 6.4.4.6.A.20 Wreck Sheet 8: Wreck 7269 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report**).

6.5.25

6.5.26

6.5.28

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

In the UKHO record UC11 (Possibly), is reported as being upturned, with a strong magnetic anomaly associated. The wreck was last surveyed in 2016 and described as being broken up with dimensions of 27.0 x 11.0 x 4.3 m. The UKHO record suggests that the wreck may have been salvaged in the past. In the 2021 geophysical data the wreck appears to be highly degraded and broken up, with one piece of superstructure visible. The wreck is situated within an area of mobile sediments, and it may periodically be buried. Debris field **7270** is situated on the northern side of the wreck, is considered to be associated wreck debris and has been discriminated as A1.

Wreck **7284** is a recorded but unknown wreck the corresponds with UKHO_<u>record</u> 14570. The wreck is visible in the SSS dataset as a wreck outline consisting of numerous internal angular structures with shadows, while the overall long shadow appears to obscure some further internal structure. The wreck is oriented approximately northeast-southwest, and measures approximately 40.3 x 11.9 x 5.5 m in size. The wreck is visible in the MBES data as a coherent upright feature with some internal structure visible, and possible degradation and partial detachment of the bow and stern. The wreck is situated within a shallow scour and is highly distinct from the surrounding seabed. The wreck is associated with a very large Mag. <u>a</u>Anomaly of 12,371 nT, suggesting significant amounts of ferrous material.

Wreck **7284** © corresponds with the location of UKHO_14570, an unknown recorded wreck in the UKHO and NMHR records. The wreck was originally identified in 1933 and last surveyed in 2016, and was reported as being upright in good condition, with the exception of except for the stern, and with dimensions of 37.4 x 8.5 x 6.7 m. The wreck is recorded as having associated scour and a strong Mag. anomaly. The wreck is surrounded by several debris debris (70266, 70267 and 70268), as well as an item of debris (70269) (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.21 Wreck Sheet 9: Wreck 7284 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report).

Wreck **7346** is a recorded wreck that corresponds with a UKHO_14419 and NMHR_802191 record for *Salerno* (Possibly); a steam-ship built in 1912 with two boilers, a triple expansion engine and build dimensions of 99.1 x 13.5 x 6.0 m. The vessel struck a mine laid by UC3 in 1915. In the SSS data one edge of the hull is visible as a distinct long and thick dark reflector with a very large, bright and uneven shadow, indicating uneven height. Within the hull there are multiple indistinct elongate and linear dark reflectors, indicating the wreck is upright and has some surviving deck structure. The wreck measures 97.0 x 25.2 x 11.1 m and is orientated northeast to southwest on

the seabed within an area of mobile sediments. In the MBES data the wreck is upright but leaning over to one side, and the northern side of the wreck is not visible. The hull appears to be mostly intact, with collapsed structure on its southwest end with the northern edge of the wreck buried by sediments. In the eentrecenter of the deck a large square mound is visible measuring 8.7 x 4.2 x 4.0 m. There are multiple linear and angular mounds across the deckdeck, and a large mound is present at the northeastern end of the vessel indicating surviving superstructure and possibly a boiler. The wreck has significant scour to the south for over 200 m and up to 6.0 m deep and is surrounded by sediment accumulation, which may be concealing the full extents of the wreck and possibly associated debris. The wreck has a very large Mag. anomaly associated with it, measuring 1,659 nT, indicating substantial ferrous material is present (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.22 Wreck Sheet 10: Wreck 7346 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report).

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

In the UKHO record wreck **7346** was last surveyed in 2016 and described as being broken up with a strong Mag. anomaly associated and dimensions of 95.0 x 22.0 x 11.7_-m. In the 20212021 geophysical data the wreck appears to be predominantly intact, although the southwest end has evidence of deterioration. It is situated within an area of large sand waves which may be concealing associated debris and may periodically bury the full extent of the wreck.

6.5.30

6.5.31

Wreck 7414 is a recorded wreck that corresponds with a UKHO_13968) and NMHR 904723 record for Saidieh, a 3303 gross ton steam-ship built in 1878, the vessel was sunk in 1915 by UB6, a German submarine. In the SSS data the wreck is visible as a large spread of distinct linear and curvilinear dark reflectors with bright shadows. The wreck is upright and measures 116.6 x 36.6 x 6.8 m.; tThere are slatted features visible internally, however no superstructure is identifiable. The wreck has a rope or chain that is either attached or snagged on the southeastern edge (7415). The hull of the wreck is not well-defined, suggesting it is broken up. In the MBES data the wreck is orientated approximately east-northeast to west-southwest on a relatively featureless area of seabed. The wreck appears upright but is highly degraded with a collapsed hull. Within the hull possible surviving deck structure is visible, comprising three distinct square shaped mounds in its centre with thin, linear mounds and smaller rounded and angular mounds visible across the deck. The wreck has significant sediment accumulation and scour to the north and south, with significant scour to the south measuring 140 x 54.0 x -5.2 m, which may be burying collapsed structure and associated debris. The wreck has a very large Mag. anomaly associated with it, measuring 27,020 nT, indicating substantial ferrous material is present (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.23 Wreck Sheet 11: Wreck 7414 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report).

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

In the UKHO record wreck **7414** was last surveyed in 2017 and <u>is_described as beingis</u> partially broken up and buried with dimensions of 112.5 x 21.1 x 5.8 m. The larger geophysical dimensions in the most recent survey may indicate the wreck has collapsed, degraded further, or become more exposed since 2017. The wreck is situated on a relatively featureless area of seabed but has substantial sediment accumulation around it and it may periodically be buried. Associated debris (**7416**) has been identified in the vicinity of the wreck and further debris may be buried.

Wreck **7426** is a recorded, unknown wreck that corresponds with a UKHO_13958 and NMHR_831796. The wreck is visible in the SSS data as a large, elongate and distinct curvilinear dark reflector with a bright uneven shadow, measuring 51.6 x 11.9 x 3.4 m. This is interpreted to represent the hull, which appears to be intact. Within the hull, linear and curvilinear dark reflectors and some slatted features are visible that possibly

represent surviving deck structure. One end of the vessel is heavily degraded and may be buried. In the MBES data the stern of the wreck appears to be to the WNW and the bow to the ESE. The hull is mostly intact, however there is a dip visible in the centre of the wreck which may suggest it is broken in two. It appears slightly bowed and has large amounts of sediment accumulation at either side of the hull up to 5.0 m high that may be burying its full extent. The wreck is upright with two triangular mounds visible in its centre that may be boilers. Some possible surviving deck structure is visible as linear mounds at the stern and small uneven angular mounds at the bow end of the vessel. The wreck is surrounded by scouring up to 2.0 m deep (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.24 Wreck Sheet 12: Wreck 7426 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report). The wreck has a very large Mag. anomaly associated with it, measuring 10,094 nT, indicating substantial ferrous material is present. The wreck has a number of few possible associated items of debris and debris fields identified in the vicinity (7427 - 7436), three of which (7430, 7433 and

7434) have been discriminated as A1 due to their highly anthropogenic characteristics.

In the UKHO record wreck **7426** was last surveyed in 2017 and reported as being upright and intact with dimensions of 50.8 x 9.0 x 3.7 m and with 25.0 m of scour up to 2.7 m deep. The wreck appears to be mostly intact however evidence of collapse and burial is visible in the 2021 geophysical data. Several items of debris are visible surrounding the wreck suggesting it is degraded and a large mound identified at the stern suggests a large piece of the vessel may have broken off. The wreck is situated in an area of mobile sediments, and it may periodically be buried.

6.5.35

Wreck 7472 is a recorded wreck that corresponds with a UKHO 14944 and NMHR 904908 record for Selma (Possibly), a 1654 gross ton steam-ship with build dimensions of 82.3 x 11.9 x 5.5 m that was sunk by a mine in 1915. In the SSS data the wreck is visible as a large spread of elongate, angular and curvilinear dark reflectors with bright shadows. The hull is not distinct and there are no discernible internal features visible, suggesting the wreck is very broken up. The wreck measures 52.5 x 38.7 x 1.6 m and is situated in an area of mobile sediments, indicating the full extent of the wreck may be buried. In the MBES data the wreck is visible as a group of elongate, angular and rounded objects situated within depressions. The largest linear object measures 7.7 x 2.8 x 0.6 m and a large angular mound at the western end of the wreck measures 4.1 x 2.8 m; this has a very flat peak and steep edges, and some data points are missing which may suggest its peak is overhanging the edge of the main structure. Large scouring is visible to the south of the wreck measuring 24.0 m long and 0.6 m deep. The wreck is surrounded by sand waves and there is some outcropping geology to the north. The wreck has a very large Mag. anomaly associated with it, measuring 5,443 nT, indicating substantial ferrous material is present (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.25 Wreck Sheet 13: Wreck 7472 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report).

of broken and dispersed debris in scour with dimensions of 30.0 x 23.3 x 0.8 m. The larger geophysical dimensions in the most recent survey may indicate the wreck has collapsed, degraded further, or become more exposed since 2018. The wreck is very broken up and degraded and is situated in an area of mobile sediments, suggesting it may periodically be buried. An associated debris field **7471**, has been identified to the northwest of the wreck and more debris may be buried in the vicinity.

Wreck **7494** is a recorded wreck that corresponds with a UKHO_record (15175) for *Klar*, a 518 gross ton steam-ship with build dimensions of 45.7 x 7.6 x 5.2 m. It struck a mine and sank on passage from Tyne to Rouen in 1915. In the SSS data the wreck is

visible as a large spread of highly anomalous rounded, curved, linear and angular dark reflectors with shadows of varying heights. The wreck measures 51.3 x 42.2 x 1.0 m and is situated within an area of sand waves, suggesting the potential for further debris to be buried in the vicinity. In the MBES data the wreck is visible as an area of disturbed seabed comprising three compact groups of distinct mounds within mobile sediments. An elongate object is visible measuring 2.2 x 1.2 m and the largest mound measures 2.8 x 1.8 m. Smaller rounded and angular mounds are also visiblevisible, and the feature has scouring to the south for 13.0 m and up to 0.4 m deep. The wreck has a very large Mag. anomaly associated with it, measuring 8,421 nT, indicating substantial ferrous material is present (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.26 Wreck Sheet 14: Wreck 7494 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report).

- 6.5.38 In the UKHO record wreck 7494 was last identified in 1997 with recorded dimensions of 50.0 x 45.0 x 1.0 m and scour extending for 65.0 m. The wreck was not identified in a MBES survey in 20182018, and the record was amended to 'dead'1. In the 2021 geophysical data the wreck appears very broken up and degraded with no identifiable structure visible. The wreck is situated within sand waves and may be periodically buried, which may explain why it was not identified in 2018.
- Wreck **70516** is a recorded wreck associated with UKHO_record_13849, reported to be the wreck of the HMS *Arctic Trapper*, a trawler lost in 1941. The wreck is badly degraded and is visible in the SSS data as multiple distinct angular and sub-angular dark reflectors with shadows, associated with several angular pieces of debris identified in the MBES data, the largest of which measures 7.3 x 3.5 x 0.3 m. No coherent wreck structure has been identified (**Figure 6.4.4.6.A.27 Wreck Sheet 15: Wreck 70516** in Application Document **6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report**). The wreck is recorded in the Mag. data as a very large Mag_magnetic aAnomaly of 9,405 nT, suggesting significant amounts of ferrous material.
- The HMS *Arctic Trapper* was a Grimsby owned trawler which was requisitioned for an armed patrol in 1940 and sunk by German aircraft in 1941. The wreck was located and dispersed to seabed in 1947, correlating with the badly degraded appearance in the data. A series of surveys have recorded its gradual disintegration, and the wreck itself is located in an area of highly mobile seabed sediment and is likely periodically buried.
- Wreck **70568** is a recorded wreck associated with UKHO__record_13843, reported to be the wreck of the *Rydal Force*, a steam-ship lost in 1942. The wreck is visible in the \$\frac{SSSSS}{SSSS}\$ data as a distinct scatter of multiple large angular pieces of debris with pointed shadows. These can be observed in the MBES data as several very angular mounds, the largest of which measures 7.7 x 3.3 x 0.9 m. No coherent wreck structure is visible, and the wreck appears very badly degraded/dispersed (**Figure 6.4.4.6.A.28 Wreck Sheet 16: Wreck 70568 in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report**). The wreck is associated with a very large Mag. Aanomaly of 14,327 nT, suggesting a significant amount of ferrous material. Four pieces of debris/debris fields, **70567**, **70569**, **70570**, and **70571**, area located to the west and northeast and are likely related.
- The Rydal Force was a steam-ship mined during the Second World War, the wreck of which was first identified in 1942 and last surveyed in 2016. It is recorded as a dangerous wreck and noted as being 'scattered wreckage in a seabed depression' with

Formatted: English (United States)

^{1 &#}x27;Dead' wrecks are defined by the UKHO as having not been detected by repeated surveys, therefore considered not to exist.

a strong magnetic anomaly, although its dimensions of $6.0 \times 3.5 \times 2.0$ m are markedly smaller than the size of the debris field in the SSS data. It was previously measured as $65.0 \times 38.0 \times 1.7$ m, which is closer to how it appears in the current data set. The wreck is located outside the current study areaStudy Area, but any associated exclusion zone will encroach upon the study areaStudy Area and so the wreck has been retained within the gazetteer of anomalies for this assessment.

Wreck **7721** is an unknown recorded wreck that corresponds with UKHO__record 85569. In the SSS data the wreck is visible as an area of disturbed seabed with three distinct dark reflectors within; a thin right-angled object measuring 5.0 x 0.6 m is visible, plus two smaller angular objects with slight shadows. The wreck measures 8.5 x 4.7 x 0.4 m and is situated within an area of mobile sediments so the full extent is likely buried. In the MBES data the wreck is visible as a group of angular objects in the base of a large depression or seabed disturbance; the largest object measures 2.1 x 1.2 x 0.2_m. The wreck has a very large Mag. anomaly associated with it, measuring 9,085_nT, indicating substantial ferrous material is present (**Figure 6.4.4.6.A.29 Wreck Sheet 17: Wreck 7721** in **Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report**).

In the UKHO record wreck **7721** was first identified in 2016 and reported as being the possible remains of a barge. The wreck was last surveyed in 2019 with MBES dimensions of 37.4 x 3.9 x 0.9 m. The wreck is highly broken up with no hull or structure discernible in the 2021 geophysical data. The previously reported dimensions and appearance suggest that this wreck has become almost completely buried in mobile sediments since 2019 and little can be said about its present condition.

6 5 44

6 5 46

An additional piece of debris (7608) and three debris fields (7617, 7647, and 70722) have also been discriminated as A1 (Figure 6.4.4.6.A.30 Data examples of A1 discriminated seabed features in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures

Marine Archaeological Technical Report). Debris 7608 is a distinct dark reflector with shadow measuring 11.6 x 9.1 x 0.6 m in size and is associated with a Mag. Aanomaly of 1,452_nT, suggesting a significant amountnumber of ferrous debris. This anomaly is also associated with the UKHO_record (91237) of an obstruction first identified in 2019 and recorded as a large rectangular object. This is likely ferrous debris and, although the possible nature and age of the feature cannot be determined from the data, it has been classified as A1 due to its very large Mag. Aanomaly and association with a known UKHO record.

Debris field **7617** is visible in the data as a large spread of distinct dark reflectors with large bright shadows, with features including elongate, curvilinear and angular objects visible, and the largest object measuring 4.5 x 0.5 m. The total area measures approximately 27.3 x 7.5 x 0.9 m. The anomaly is associated with a large Mag. Aanomaly of 344 nT, suggesting significant ferrous debris. This anomaly is associated with a UKHO record for an obstruction obstruction (UKHO 91236) found by MBES survey in 2019 measuring 14.7 x 3.5 m. The anomaly has been discriminated as A1 based on the interpretation of a debris field, the large associated Mag. aAnomaly, and the associated UKHO record.

Debris field **7647** is visible in the data as a distinct seabed disturbance consisting of indistinct slightly angular dark reflectors with bright shadows, measuring 10.0 x 6.9 x 0.9_m in size associated with a medium Mag. <u>a</u>Anomaly of 91 nT. The anomaly is also associated with UKHO record 91206, which is a recorded obstruction first identified in 2019 and described as a possible broken apart wreck or other debris. The anomaly

does not appear to be a wreck for the current data, but it may be very badly degraded and mostly buried. As such, the anomaly has been discriminated as A1.

- 6.5.48 Debris field **70722** is visible in the data as tightly packed angular dark reflectors with shadows of varying height in proximity to sand ripples. The anomaly measures 4.7 x 3.3 x 0.4 m in size and is associated with a Mag. Agnomaly of 1,214 nT, suggesting a significant amountnumber of ferrous debris. The anomaly has been discriminated as A1 based on the interpretation of debris and the very large associated Mag. aAnomaly.
- Five magnetic anomalies have been assigned an A1 archaeological discrimination. These anomalies (7487, 7612, 7613, 7631 and 70858) have been discriminated as A1 based on their amplitudes, and amplitudes and range from 1,101 nT (70858) to 4,317 nT (7487) in size. There is nothing anomalous visible on the SSS or MBES data at these positions and they have been interpreted as substantial ferrous debris which is either buried or has no surface expression. As the amplitudes are over 1,000 nT, they are considered of higher archaeological potential as they suggest the presence of a more significant amount of ferrous material. Magnetic anomaly 7487 is situated 60 m NNE of a recorded wreck 7500, and may be associated, however it has been retained as substantial ferrous debris.
- 6.5.50 Three recorded wrecks (**70174**, **7500**, and **7495**) and one recorded obstruction (**70452**) have been discriminated as A3. These are the locations of records at which no geophysical anomalies have been identified. However, as features have been identified at these positions in the past, they have been retained within the gazetteer as a precaution. Any features at these locations are potentially buried at present.
- 6.5.51 The remaining 925 anomalies within the study areaStudy Area have all been discriminated as A2 h or A2 I during this assessment.
- Of these A2 anomalies, 13 have been classified as debris fields (see Annex 6.A.7 for the full list, please see Annex 6.A.7). These range in size from 8.6 x 2.1 x 0.6 m (7085) to 86.5 x 14.4 x 0.4_m, and six (70413, 7421, 7468, 7470, 70616 and 7635) are associated with Mag. aAnomalies, suggesting the presence of ferrous material. These are all interpreted as possible areas of debris, but further inspection would be needed to determine if they are modern or of archaeological interest.
- In addition to the identified debris fields, 101 anomalies have been classified as debris (see Annex 6.A.7 for the full listfor the full list, please see Annex 6.A.7). These range in size from 0.4 x 0.3 x 0.4 m (7645) to 8.7 x 7.1 x 2.0 m, and 56 were associated with Mag. aAnomalies, suggesting the presence of ferrous material. These are all potentially individual pieces of debris, but further inspection would be needed to fully determine their nature and archaeological potential.
- A total of 38 anomalies have been classified as seabed disturbances (see Annex 6.A.7 for the full listfor the full list, please see Annex 6.A.7). These range in size from 1.7 x 1.3 x 0.4 m (70070) to 20.2 x 15.6 x 0.1 m (7374) and are features of a less certain origin. They could either represent natural features, areas of disturbance, or debris buried just beneath the seabed. Further investigation would be required to ascertain their exact nature.
- A total of 65 A2 anomalies have been classified as linear debris (see Annex 6.A.7 for the full listfor the full list, please see Annex 6.A.7). The longest of these was 7273, which is visible in the MBES data as a very long group of low-lying angular mounds that are connected by long and thick curvilinear mounds, measuring approximately 846.0 x 10.5 x 0.3 m. Many of the identified linear debris features may not be of archaeological potential in themselves (e.g. lost fishing gear), but they may be attached to

archaeological features (e.g. anchors) or be snagged on mostly buried debris not visible in the SSS or MBES data.

- One bright reflector (7783) has been discriminated as A2. This was identified in the SSS data as a very small but distinct, hollow bright reflector, measuring 0.7 x 0.6 m. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data and this position was not directly covered by the Mag. datadata, so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. This has the potential of representing an item of debris, butdebris but may be a natural feature.
- A total of 116 anomalies have been classified as dark reflectors (see Annex 6.A.7 for the full list for the full list, please see Annex 6.A.7). These range from 0.5 x 0.3 x 0.5 m (70370) to 12.8 x 12.7 x 0.0 m (70032) in size, and none have been associated with any Mag. aAnomalies. These anomalies have been interpreted to be possible natural features or may be possible non-ferrous debris.
- A total of 100 anomalies have been classified as mounds (see Annex 6.A.7 for the full listfor the full list, please see Annex 6.A.7). These range from 0.8 x 0.7 x 0.1 m (70270) to 20.9 x 2.8 x 0.2 m (7153) in size and are of an uncertain nature. These anomalies are interpreted to be possible natural features, or they may be possible partially buried debris.
- Four depressions (70098, 70155, 70222, and 70331) have been identified within the data. These range from 1.2 x 1.1 x -0.8 m (70155) to 5.5 x 3.1 x -0.2 m in size and have not been associated with any Mag. Aanomalies. These are interpreted as possible natural features but may also be caused by unresolved pieces of non-ferrous debris at their bases.
- Seven magnetic trends have been identified within the study areaStudy Area (7493, 70514, 70535, 70599, 7599, 70637, and 70859). These are alignments of multiple magnetic anomalies ranging up to 370 nT in amplitude (70535) and 284 m in length (7493). These linear trends of individual magnetic anomalies that appear to be associated have no corresponding SSS or MBES contacts and there is no charted infrastructure recorded at their locations. They may represent natural features or may represent possible ferrous debris, that is either buried or with no surface expression.
- The remaining 949 anomalies have been classified as magnetic anomalies (see Annex 6.A.7 for the full listfor full list, please see Annex 6.A.7). These are anomalies that have been identified in the Mag. data but have no anomalous corresponding features identified in the SSS or MBES data. These range in size from 5 nT (70056, 7596, 7685 and 7697) to 850 nT (70578), and) and are considered to beare possible ferrous items of debris which are either buried or have no surface expression.

Additional Seabed Features

- The following section includes 12 additional seabed features recorded in the UKHO and Kent HER (KHER) datasets that are either located beyond the boundary of the marine geophysical study areaStudy Area or were not included in the geophysical survey assessment duedue to the site relating to a modern vessel.
- These additional sites (2001-2012), running <u>numerically from</u> north to south along the study <u>areaarea</u>, are described below in chronological order and presented in Annex 6.A.8 and on Figure 6.4.4.6.A.12 Seabed <u>F</u>features of <u>A</u>archaeological <u>P</u>potential <u>in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report</u>. They comprise seven wrecks, one possible wreck and four obstructions.

- Record **2004** describes the possible site of a dangerous wreck of the German submarine, UC21, one of the most lethal mine-laying submarines during the First World War, that was responsible for the sinking of 98 ships (Captured and Sunk the Story, 2024). Built in 1915, the submarine was last heard of after leaving Zeebrugge on 13 September 1917 for a mine-laying operation off the French coast and the UKHO record suggests it sank in mine nets. Since 1970, the UKHO describes this record as 'dead' as the wreck has not been identified in surveys, however buried remains may still exist in the area. The site is located just outside the extent of the Offshore Scheme and is unlikely to be impacted by the Proposed Project.
- Record **2008** relates to a small wooden landing craft, LCP 586, lost in June 1946 whilst alongside the steamship *Asa Lothrop*. The UKHO record indicates that the wreck was not located during a survey undertaken in September 2019 and the associated record was amended to 'dead', however earlier surveys state that the craft may have become buried in the sand, andsand and therefore remains may still exist in the area. The archaeological review of geophysical data undertaken for Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm includes a record (71130) for a rectangular object approximately 51 m southeast of the UKHO record for **2008** (Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd., 2018b).
- Four records relate to recent losses. Record **2003** relates to the dangerous wreck of a fishing vessel, *Patrick Michael*, that sank in 1975 during passage from Ipswich to Dundee after striking an underwater object. Since 1995 the UKHO record has been amended to 'dead' as it has not been identified on surveys since that time. It is still possible that buried remains of this vessel still exist at this location.
- Record **2007** describes a barge that foundered in August 1986 whilst under tow. The UKHO record states that the barge was raised in November 1986, however material may still exist in the area.
- Record **2009** describes the remains of a fishing vessel, *Pisces*, that was lost just outside Pegwell Bay in December 1995. The record has been amended to 'dead' as the wreck was not located during the latest survey in 2019. Buried material associated with the wreck could still pose a seabed hazard.
- Record **2006** refers to the dangerous wreck of *Laurl Coin*, a sailing vessel that sank in 2019 following a fire onboard with the safe recovery of all the crew. The wreck is described as sitting upright and is approximately 11 m in length and would be considered a significant seabed hazard.
- One further record relates to an unidentified dangerous wreck site. Record **2002** relates to an amorphous rounded shape possibly concealing debris or a wreck below located beyond the extent of the Offshore Scheme.
- Also beyond the extent of the Offshore Scheme, record **2012** describes a large section of worked timber thought to be from a possible wreck site. The material, discovered in 2012, was identified as 'deadwood', which connects the keel to the rudderpost. The site was recommended for designation in 2019, however Historic England concluded there was insufficient evidence at that time to do so, the wreck having been covered by shifting sands.
- Three records relate to 'live'² seabed obstructions: an anchor, chain and modern rope (20012), the remains of a former beacon tower (2011) and a 24_ft steel tank originally identified in 1974 (2010).

Formatted: English (United States)

² 'Live' wrecks are considered to exist by the UKHO.

6.5.73 The final record (**2005**) relates to a 'dead' seabed obstruction believed to be a fisherman's fastener. Although it was not located during the most recent survey in 1996, buried material could still be present in the area.

Setting and Value of Seabed Features

- 6.5.74 This section will assess the setting and value of the known and identified seabed features of a maritime nature since there are no recorded aircraft crash sites identified within the study areaStudy Area. The value of the potential discovery of further maritime sites and aircraft crash sites will also be included.
- The perceived setting and value assigned to an individual site is, to a large degree, site specific. A vessel or aircraft may be considered of special interest on the basis of based on any number of interrelating integral and relative factors, as discussed in the methodology section of this document.
- The setting and value of the known, named wrecks can be taken into consideration. All sites have limited views due to being underwater, although some have been explored by divers. The underwater setting of wrecks that are potentially buried or considered 'dead' by the UKHO (e.g. 2003, 2004, 2008, 2009, 7494 and 7495) is further limited.
- Furthermore, five-six records relate to modern wrecks and features (2001, 2003, 2006 and 2009-2011) and are not considered to have associated archaeological value. Three of the records refer to modern vessels lost between 1975 and 2019 and although these sites do not have archaeological value they still retain social and cultural value, and value and would also be considered navigational hazards for the Proposed Project. Despite their lack of archaeological value, these modern records will be retained in the gazetteer of seabed features.
- Thirteen of the named vessels were lost during the First or Second World Wars (2004, 6 5 78 2008, 7173, 7269, 7346, 7414, 7472, 7494, 7495, 70117, 70174, 70516 and 70568), and therefore their non-visual setting is within the wider First World War and Second World War military landscape of the study areaStudy Area and beyond. The project, East Coast War Channels in the First and Second World War (Fjordr, 2014), researched the spatial extent of navigation channels and minefields between the Thames and the Scottish border during both wars, and evaluated the heritage assets that are associated with these channels. All these wreck sites are considered to have high archaeological value due to the importance of their military involvement during the wars. The East Coast War Channels could be considered heritage assets with value in their own right, as they can be spatially represented. The significance of the value of their setting, specifically within the area of study areaStudy Area, may also become apparent through the assessment of the collective military landscape and seascape, encompassing recorded onshore defence infrastructure and known losses or documented losses of maritime vessels or aircraft during the First and Second World Wars.
- The specific loss events of these 13 vessels also provide information to how their positional setting can be understood: nine vessels sank having struck a mine (7173, 7269, 7346, 7472, 7494, 7495, 70117, 70174, 70568), one vessel was torpedoed by a submarine (7414), one vessel was lost following an attack by a German aircraft (70516), and one submarine is recorded as being caught in mine nets and sinking (2004). While it is possible that the vessels could have drifted before sinking, it is also possible that the position on the seabed is in close proximity tonear the wrecking event. Each of these losses is very much a product of its location at the time of loss. For example, those nine vessels that sank following striking a mine, were lost due to their unfortunate

position within a mine field, and therefore reflects not only the circumstances of the war, but also the specific methods being used to target ships, and, depending on whether the ship drifted following the event, its position on the seabed could even still be in relatively close proximity to the mine or mine field. The submarine UC11 (7269) sank as result of striking one of its own mines, which also demonstrates the hazardous nature of laying mines

- It is not possible to assess the setting of the 12 un-named wrecks, three obstructions, 1394 A2 geophysical anomalies (A2_h and A2_l) or the multiple A1 records for debris and debris fields associated with wreck sites, however, should further information come to light regarding their character, their associated setting and value should be reviewed. It is possible that these are associated with First World War or Second World War military maritime or aviation activity, and therefore become part of the broader military landscape that exists in the region, however without further information to identify these wrecks it is impossible to confirm. At present, the setting associated with these assets cannot be experienced from land or within a wider marine landscape, and due to the generally limited visibility within UK waters, the experience of setting at their locations is likely to be limited to the immediate vicinity.
- Furthermore, all wreck sites must be considered to have archaeological value, to a greater or lesser degree and, in accordance with the precautionary approach, the unnamed wrecks are therefore considered as high value assets. Similarly, as the value of potential wrecks cannot be evaluated until they are discovered, potential wrecks of all periods should be expected to be of high archaeological value.
- As there is insufficient information to assess the value of each individual unidentified anomaly located during the geophysical assessment (A2_h and A2_l), all these additional anomalies (totallingtotaling 1394) must be considered to have high archaeological value until more information becomes available. It is possible that any of the A2 geophysical anomalies located within the study areaStudy Area could relate to maritime sites or aircraft crash sites and therefore, there is the potential for wreck or wreck debris to exist on the sea floor of the study areaStudy Area.
- Aircraft are considered to have significance for remembrance and commemoration, but also have an implicit heritage value as historic artefacts, providing information on the aircraft itself and also the circumstances of its use and loss (English Heritage, 2002, p. 2). On this basis, all potential aircraft sites are considered to be are of high archaeological value.
- 6.5.84 Additionally, the <u>archaeological</u> value and setting of any currently unrecorded wrecks (maritime or aviation) discovered during pre-construction or construction activities for the Proposed Project would also be unknown and would need to be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.
- Derived artefacts are likely to be of limited archaeological value as individual discoveries. However, the occurrence of a number of seemingly isolated objects within a particular area has the potential to indicate shipping routes or maritime battlegrounds, or possibly even indicate the presence of a hitherto unknown wreck site. Isolated maritime finds are, therefore, regarded as being of medium archaeological value. Isolated aircraft finds are considered as being of medium archaeological value as they may provide insight into patterns of historical aviation across the study area Study Area or indicate the presence of uncharted aircraft crash sites.

Maritime and Aviation Archaeological Potential

Introduction

- 6.5.86 The assessment of potential for the discovery of shipwreck, shipwreck-derived, aircraft and aircraft-derived material within the study-Area draws on the results of the desk-based research combined with further research of the wider area.
- There is potential for discoveries of maritime craft from the Mesolithic to the modern period. Post-medieval and modern wrecks, as they were generally made of more substantial material, are more likely to have been discovered through surveys undertaken by the UKHO and ethers, andothers and thus recorded in the archaeological record. However, there is still potential for the discovery of previously unrecorded wreck sites, particularly of wooden wrecks, broken up wrecks or partially buried wrecks that are more difficult to detect through geophysical survey or have not been recorded as they do not represent a hazard to navigation.
- There is also potential for 20th century aircraft, particularly in relation to the Second World War. Aircraft crash sites are also difficult to identify through archaeological assessments of geophysical surveysurveys, although experience indicates material from the site, such as engines or other material may be recorded as small obstructions or anomalies.

Navigational hazards, seabed morphology and potential for preservation

- A project entitled Enhancing our Understanding: Mapping Navigational Hazards as Areas of Maritime Archaeological Potential, undertaken by Bournemouth University (Merritt, Parham, & McElvogue, 2007) assessed historical records of navigational hazards to interpret and characterise the marine historic environment. Areas assessed to be hazardous were considered alongside a model of the preservation potential of marine sediments with the purpose of identifying areas where there was not only a high potential for ship losses, but where there was also a high potential for the preservation of archaeological remains. These areas were coined as Areas of Maritime Archaeological Potential (AMAPs).
- 6.5.90 The project records several navigational hazards within, and in proximity to, the study areaStudy Area, as follows:
 - Sizewell Bank, Suffolk bank hazard;
 - Aldeburgh Napes, Suffolk bank hazard;
 - Aldeburgh Ridge bank hazard;
 - Shipwash, Suffolk bank hazard;
 - Kentish Knock sandbank hazard:
 - Goodwin Sands sandbank hazard;
 - Brake, Kent mobile sandbank hazard; and
 - Sandwich Bay anchorage hazard.
 - The study areaStudy Area traverses several coastal and offshore AMAPs (generally associated with the navigational hazards above) that are all defined as having fine-grained sediments and therefore a high potential of preservation. The remaining study areaStudy Area covered by the project assessment comprises a mixture of the high

potential fine-grained sediments and also further offshore, more coarse-grained sediments that have a lower potential of preservation.

The study areaStudy Area is generally considered to be an exposed coastal area with offshore banks that, at the Kent landfall, may provide shelter. The northern element of the study areaStudy Area is characterised as being particularly exposed to northeasterly and easterly winds with shallow muddy foreshore and banks inshore. The study areaStudy Area also traverses through an offshore area that is considered to be exposed to all wind directions, which is proven by the substantial number of Recorded Losses for vessels that foundered as a result of poor weather conditions.

In addition to those hazards described above, the mudflats of Pegwell Bay and Sizewell Bank also present a considerable navigational hazard at both landfalls. Furthermore, based on two of the Recorded Losses discussed in the Recorded Losses section below, Ramsgate pier was a considerable navigational hazard with ships colliding with the structure and being lost.

The Goodwin Sands, lying just off the coast of Kent and stretching from Ramsgate in the north to Kingsdown in the south, represents a significant navigational hazard as an area of mobile sandbanks, yet is combined with a high potential for preservation. Over 800 wrecks have been recorded on the Goodwin Sands, either as Recorded Losses or as wreck remains (Cant, 2013, p. 15). The sands act as a barrier running north to south and form an enclosed area of sheltered water known as the Downs. The Goodwin Sands are exposed at low water and due to the constant shifting of the sands, it has not been possible to mark the area with a lighthouse, and as a result, the area has become a ship graveyard over the centuries (Merritt, Parham, & McElvogue, 2007). The mobility of the Goodwin Sands posed additional challenges (Cant, 2013, p. 17). It is possible that vessels that stranded on the Goodwins Sands, but were refloated on a higher tide, could have been lost due to damage within the study areaStudy Area. The Goodwin Sands are considered to have international and localised significance, not only as the gateway to the Continent, and as a major navigational hazard, but also for the way they have become embedded in historical narratives of the area and in present consciousness, through art and literature (Cant, 2013, p. 20). The boundary of the Offshore Scheme corresponds with the edge of the Goodwin Sands MCZ, however the additional 100 m buffer forming the study areaStudy Area means an area of the Goodwin Sands is incorporated into this technical report. Although there are numerous geophysical seabed anomalies identified within this area, no impact will occur as they are located beyond the extent of the Proposed Project's Order Limits.

Due to this region being a heavily used shipping route around the UK, into London and internationally, another hazard to maritime vessels is collision. This is recorded on several records associated with Recorded Losses across the study-area-Study-Area.

6.5.95

Recorded Losses

Introduction

As discussed in the methodology section, Recorded Losses refer to ships and aircraft that are recorded as having been lost, but for which the exact locations are not knownknown, and no material has been encountered on the seabed within the Named Location. The records for these losses provide additional documentary evidence for the potential discovery of sites and material relating to maritime and aviation activity within the study areaStudy Area.

A list of all maritime and aircraft Recorded Losses in the vicinity of the study areaStudy
Area are summarised in Annex 6.A.9 and Annex 6.A.10 and in Table 6.15Table 6.15.
The NMHR, Suffolk HER (SHER) and KHER datasets have 61 records of Recorded Losses located within three Named Location areas that intersect with the boundary of the study areaStudy Area. This total comprises 61 ships and one aircraft. Suffolk and Essex data haves been merged together as the marine boundary between the two counties is unclear and often NMHR records within the same Named Location are givengiven to a different county.

Table 6.15 Summary of Recorded Losses by date and county

| Date | Number of records of ship losses | | Number of records of aircraft losses | |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | Suffolk and Essex waters | Kent waters | Suffolk and Essex waters | Kent waters |
| Pre-1500 | - | 1 | - | - |
| 1501-1815 | 3 | 18 | - | - |
| 1816-1913 | 21 | 8 | - | - |
| 1914-1945 | 10 | - | - | 1 |
| Post-1945 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 34 | 27 | 0 | 1 |

The Recorded Losses are categorised based on the date ranges used in the Selection Guide: Boats and Ships in Archaeological Contexts (Wessex Archaeology, 2008b). Few ship losses are recorded prior to the beginning of the post-medieval period (c.1500), reflecting not only a significant increase in shipping from the post-medieval period onwards but also a general improvement in record keeping. Although the number of early Recorded Losses are low, their presence suggests the potential for the discovery of material relating to those early periods.

Maritime Recorded Losses

The Recorded Losses date from the early 13th century to the modern period, cover a wide range of vessel types and provide information about the causes of loss and reason for travel. The earliest record (NMHR_1572769) relates to an unknown number of French vessels that were sunk by the English during the Battle of Sandwich in 1217,

- while the most recent records for losses in 1917 all foundered following striking a mine during the First World War.
- 6.5.100 Of the 61 vessels lost, a total of 46 records refer to named ships, which could allow for further research to be undertaken to perhaps better understand the location of these vessels now.
- Records for 22 ships provide a date of build, all of which were built in the 19th and 20th centuries when more accurate records were being maintained and archived. There is still potential for earlier vessels to be discovered in the study area Study Area whose loss was simply not recorded.
- Many of the records do not state a reason for the loss, giving only stranded, foundered or wrecked as a cause. The cause of loss can indicate whether there is potential for the remains of vessels to be discovered within the study area, butarea but also provides an indication of how vessels that were not recorded may also have been lost. A majority of Most of the Recorded Losses that do indicate a reason for the loss were caused by poor weather conditions and beaching or grounding. With regards to these remaining records, the most common cause of loss was due to poor weather conditions, including gales and snowstorms, clearly showing the hazardous nature of this coastline and the weather conditions endured by maritime travellerstravelers.
- 6.5.103 Other cited reasons for loss include collision, which clearly indicates both the density of maritime traffic present along this coastline and the dangerous nature of maritime travel at this time.
- Ten vessels were lost during the First World War, nine of which due to either being mined or torpedoed and the lastmined or torpedoed and the last were due to stranding. The remains of such vessels on the seabed could pose a significant hazard. Although no Second World War Recorded Losses are recorded, there is still a high potential for wrecks, debris or associated cargo and ordnance to be discovered within the study areaStudy Area.
- 6.5.105 Trade routes are also provided on many of the records for most of the losses and show that vessels were travelling not only domestically around the coast but were also travelling further afield, for example to Denmark, France and the USA.

Aviation Recorded Losses

- 6.5.106 Aircraft Recorded Losses are particularly important as any aircraft lost while in military service is automatically protected under the Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 (Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 c.35), and therefore the discovery of remains from any of these aircraft will be protected.
- One aviation Recorded Loss is recorded close to the Kent coast (NMHR_1602379). This relates to an American B-17G Flying Fortress, however the remains for this aircraft have since been discovered to the south of the study areaStudy Area on Sandwich Flats. A walkover survey was undertaken in 2017 to inform the Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm and the remaining material associated with the aircraft were located on Sandwich Flats to the south of Pegwell Bay (Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd, 2018a)(Ref 7.56). The NMHR record for this site has not since been updated and therefore the position for the aircraft is incorrect and does not impact the Proposed Project. Due to the high density of military aircraft over this area of the coast during the Second World War, there is still high potential for wreckage, debris or associated ordnance to be discovered within the study areaStudy Area.

- Records of a large number of aircraft crashes off the east and south coasts are evident on Air/Sea Rescue Services maps (1942-1945) (Air Ministry (A.H.B), 1952), some of which could potentially intersect with the study areaStudy Area since these maps indicate that multiple rescues occurred in and around the vicinity of the study areaStudy Area. These maps further indicate the potential for associated aircraft material to exist.
- The Aircraft Crash Sites at Sea scoping project (Wessex Archaeology, 2008a) illustrates the density of aircraft crash sites along the east and south coasts. Although no records are located within the study-areaStudy-area, the results of this study indicate the high potential for aircraft related material to be found on the seabed during works associated with the Proposed Project.

Potential for unrecorded maritime archaeology

- A maritime site may comprise an articulated or partially articulated shipwreck and/or associated debris of infrastructure. Debris can comprise a single artefact through tethrough an entire scatter of material that was either accidentally or deliberately lost from a vessel. As an island nation, the UK has a long maritime history and as such there is potential for archaeological evidence of maritime sites since the area started to become inundated during the Mesolithic period through to the present day within the study areaStudy Area.
- Many vessels were lost without a record being made and sometimes even records that were created have since been lost (Cant, 2013). Consequently, in addition to the charted seabed features and Recorded Losses discussed above, there is also the considerable potential for the discovery of archaeological material of a maritime nature, currently uncharted, to exist within the marine study areaStudy Area spanning from the Mesolithic period to the present day.
- The exploitation of the marine environment could have begun in the Mesolithic (10,000-4000 BC) as the landscape of the study areaStudy Area would have been inundated from a terrestrial surface over multiple transgressions until the final gradual inundation mid-way through the Mesolithic when the study areaStudy Area would have become completely submerged.
- The evidence for Mesolithic maritime craft is very sparse with the earliest example in Northern Europe coming in the form of a logboat from Pesse, Netherlands (c. 7920-6740 BC) (McGrail, 2004, p. 173). The landscape of the study areaStudy Area would have been subject to a great change during the inundation of the Mesolithic period and undoubtedly would have provided a wetland/seascape suitable for logboats.
- By the Neolithic (4000-2400 BC), the coastline and sea level was very similar to that of the present day. Marine traffic passing through the study areaStudy Area would most likely have been related to trade and the movement of people and domesticated animals, using such craft as logboats and hide boats. The discovery of a dugout boat thought to date to the Late Neolithic, at Westgate-on-Sea, Kent (Perkins, 1997, p. 7) highlights the potential for early maritime activity.
- The Bronze Age (2400-700 BC) saw technological advances within Britain and North-West Europe that brought greater human interaction, resulting in the transference of materials, belief, concept, traditions and ideas, either reciprocal or forced (Agbe-Davies & Bauer, 2010, pp. 15-20). The maritime industry and boat building technology also advanced significantly during this period. The evidence for continental trade during this period is vast and widespread. suggesting that regular organised crossings of the open ocean around Britain occurred during this time. It is possible that the Bronze

Age sewn plank boat recovered from Dover, Kent is an example of the type of vessel that could have been involved within this seafaring trade network (Clark, 2004, p. 210). Equally, the discovery of a small jet plaque object from a multi-period occupation site at South Lowestoft suggests that large scale trade networks already existed with the north of England (Wessex Archaeology, 2010, p. 52). Pegwell Bay, Kent has been an important landing place for thousands of years, evidenced by the discovery of 363 Bronze Age objects at Langdon Bay, Dover, believed to relate to a shipwreck (Fenwick & Gale, 1998, p. 26).

- There is very little evidence for seafaring within Britain during the Iron Age (700 BC-AD 43), however, the distribution of artefact types and the variety of examples found across North-West Europe suggests a high level of cross-channel trade and it is clear that from from at least the Iron Age onwards, seagoing vessels passed through the study area Study Area. Thanet, Kent has also been described as a 'Gateway Community' during the Bronze Age and Iron Age (Perkins, 1997, p. 9) as it was a prehistoric centrecenter of social and economic activity.
- The Romano-British period (AD 43-410) brought with it considerable changes in many 6.5.117 aspects of life within Britain. The evidence of this is widespread and can be seen in the archaeological record by way of the influx of new styles and materials. This is also believed to be the case in terms of maritime technology, which included the development of more substantial wooden vessels (Nayling & McGrail, 2004). The north Kent coastline is where Caesar and Claudius launched the Roman invasion of Britain in the 1st century AD, and following this, London became the political and economic centre of Roman Britain, whereby the Thames became the main access route to the continental empire crossing the study areaStudy Area. The construction of Roman forts at Richborough and Reculver, at either end of the Wantsum Channel, a straight separating the Isle of Thanet from the rest of Kent, and connecting the English Channel and the Thames Estuary, suggests the importance of trade through the Wantsum Channel during the Romano-British period. The potential for Roman (and later) wrecks in the area is highlighted by the presence of a Roman lighthouse at Dover, which suggests that the dangers of the Goodwin Sands and the approaches to Dover were already considered hazardous by this time (Cant, 2013, p. 15).
- 6.5.118 With regards the northern element of the study Area, the more substantial construction of vessels together with the increase in maritime traffic visiting the developed ports on the Suffolk coasts and rivers, would suggest that there is certainly potential for Romano-British material to be recovered from within the study areaStudy Area.
- Along with the scale and variety of maritime activity that was being undertaken within North-West Europe, some of the most important maritime technological advances occurred during the Anglo-Saxon and medieval periods (AD 410—1500). For instanceinstance, the development of several phases of specialised boat building techniques, each of which came from the influence of foreign technologies and ideas. Vessel types included logboats for transport along inland waterways, to larger planked boats propelled by oar or sail and used for estuary, coast or cross-channel work (Milne, 2003, p. 37). Remains of a 7th century dugout have been found at Walthamstow and dated to the 7th century AD (Marsden, 1996, p. 222), and remains of a clinker-built seagoing vessel have been identified at Graveney (Care-Evans & Fenwick, 1971, pp. 89-96), other clinker-built vessels include the boat burials of Sutton Hoo and Snape (Care-Evans & Fenwick, 1971).

- 6.5.120 During the medieval period, towns and ports along the Suffolk, Essex and Kent coasts continued to be a major focus for maritime trade and shipbuilding throughout the medieval and later periods. The growth of these towns and ports indicates the high level of trade and the influence this had on the wider region. In fact, Norfolk and Suffolk established larger fleets than any other region of England at this time (Williams, 1988, p. 257) and Sandwich, Kent became an important Cinque Port in the 12th century.
- The Battle of Sandwich (1217) between the French and English in a decisive battle of the First Barons' War took place off Pegwell Bay. The French fleet set out from Calais, and consisted of around 80 vessels, including ten fighting ships and various supply and support vessels. The English fleet comprised only 40 vessels, but they managed to gain the advantage. French vessels were captured and boarded, and an unknown number of vessels were lost (NMHR_1572769).
- 6.5.122 Within a century the advance in shipbuilding technological capabilities and cheap ordnance meant that conflicts at sea became organised, larger in scale and more destructive. For instance, the marine battles of the Anglo-Dutch wars off Suffolk, including the Battle of Lowestoft (1665) and the Battle of Sole Bay (1672).
- The post-medieval and modern periods are undoubtedly the most dramatic in terms of 6.5.123 development in shipbuilding. It was during this period that metal became prevalent in ship construction, starting as composite vessels where metal replaced some of the wooden parts to vessels being built entirely of iron or steel. In parallel to this physical development was the change from sail to firstly steam power then later diesel engines as new technologies provided the means of propulsion that powered the vessels of the Industrial Revolution. During this time, the Broads of Norfolk and Suffolk transformed into a patchwork of model farms. Local industries of ironworks, lime works (for building and fertilising) and brickworks emerged in order to supply the demand for local developments. Much of this had to be transported by water, until a reliable railway network was developed by the 1860s (Gould, 1997). Additionally, most of the goods being traded around the UK were associated with the industrial industrial output and included bulk cargos of fuel and raw materials. The East Ceoast was especially prevalent within the coal trade as the towns and cities of the North East Northeast supplied London with its coal.
- Furthermore in 1744, Sandwich was chosen as a haven of refuge for ships in the Downs, the strip of sea between the coast of Kent and the Goodwin Sands. However, following the great storm of 1748, the haven was moved to Ramsgate, where many of the vessels had sought safety from the storm. The threat of loss from storms are is highlighted by the number of vessels that were recorded as being lost during storm conditions.
- The development of the steam-ship brought a new type of maritime traffic to ports. Ships were no longer at the mercy of wind and tide, and new industries and leisure activities were developing. By 1831, about 120,000 passengers travelled annually from London to Margate, and seaside towns became day excursion destinations. Chosen for its sheltered environment, the construction of the Ramsgate International Hoverport Terminal in 1969 in Pegwell Bay, considered futuristic in concept, provided a faster cross-channel journey to Calais than the more traditional ferries from Dover Harbour.
- The modern period is also characterised by the two World Wars of the 20th century, which saw a sudden rise in military activity for two relatively short periods. As the region encompassing the study areaStudy Area had trade from London passing through it, it

attracted intensive enemy action throughout both wars. This took the form of attacks by submarinesubmarines, aircraft and most commonly mines.

Both conflicts developed separate strategies with which to disrupt shipping, based around the available technologies of the time, with the East Coast witnessing a large proportion of maritime wartime casualties during both conflicts. For instance, great defensive belts of mines were laid during both World Wars to defend the Eeast eCoast and coastal shipping and the entrance to the Thames estuary. Additionally, the First World War saw the introduction of coastal convoys, whereby steaming merchant vessels were escorted in groups by warships (Hewitt, 2008, p. 17). The first convoys began on the eEast eCoast, and their use continued into the Second World War to transform the east coastal trade route into an indestructible highway (Hewitt, 2008, pp. 17-23). The East Coast War Channels were also created during both the First and Second World War (see Fjordr's East Coast War Channels in the First and Second World War (2014)). These were carefully defined routes that were swept clear of mines allowing the movement of civilian shipping and local fishing vessels to move around the country to meet the UK's domestic requirements.

Potential for unrecorded aviation archaeology

- Within the study areaStudy Area, there is considerable potential for the presence of aircraft crash sites and associated aviation material and debris dating from the early 20th century until more recent times, with a concentration dating to the World Wars, particularly the Second World War, 1939-45 (Wessex Archaeology, 2008a). The aviation Recorded Losses section above indicates the quantity of aircraft loste in the area combined with their general distribution from maps of the Second World War Air/Sea Rescue operations (Air Ministry (A.H.B), 1952). This provides some indications of the potential for aircraft material to be discovered within the study areaStudy Area.
- Aircraft that crash over the sea tend to break up on impact, spreading wreckage over a wider area. Similarly, where two aircraft collide in mid-air, and both are subsequently lost at sea, the recorded site of the loss can incorporate a larger debris field, stretching hundreds of metresmeteres in diameter. However, controlled ditching or sunken aircraft (such as flying boats lost at their moorings) may remain considerably more intact. An aircraft crash site in the marine zone may comprise an articulated or partially articulated aircraft and/or associated debris or infrastructure. Debris can comprise a single artefact through tothrough an entire scatter of material.
- 6.5.130 Prior to the First World War there was limited commercial civil aviation, however the First World War saw the early development of military aviation and the beginnings of naval aviation. During this period, aircraft were lightweight, and lightweight and made of wood and other light materials. In the inter-war years, there was increasing cross-channel services to various European and worldwide destinations, and metal largely replaced wood in airframe construction.
- By the Second World War, aircraft technology had developed considerably. Luftwaffe attacks on the UK early in the war were the predominant reason for flights over the English Channel. By the middle of the war, this emphasis had changed_changed, and the Allies were attacking Continental Europe, principally by bomber fleets based in eastern England and maritime patrols. There was mass production of aircraft, leading to considerable quantities of aircraft, and a significant amount of flying occurred over the sea.
- 6.5.132 Most aircraft losses at sea are attributed to military aircraft and date from the Second World War, most of which occurred along the south and east coasts of England. The

county of Kent and its coast was a major focus of the Battle of Britain and equally Suffolk's airfields were heavily used during the Allied strategic bombing effort of the later stages of the War, initially by the RAF and later the USAF. Furthermore, the proximity of the study Area to the approaches to the reaStudy Area which would have been a natural navigation marker to pilots, suggests that the activity close by and possibly directly over the study Area would have been intense.

- As the study areaStudy Area is located within a known wartime shipping route, from the North of England to London, it is likely that this would have added to the level of aircraft activity in the area. The likely intensity of aviation activity highlights the high potential for aircraft remains to be recovered from within the study areaStudy Area, which is also highlighted by analyses of UK-wide records (Wessex Archaeology, 2008a).
- 6.5.134 From-Since the end of the Second World War, civilian air travel has expanded significantly. Military aviation during this period, up until the 1990s, was largely shaped by the Cold War. Aircraft losses from this era are generally well-documented and accurately located. Although no recorded losses within the study areaStudy Area relate to post-war aircraft, there remains a potential for such material to be present, the end of the war to the present, civilian air travel has increased. Military aircraft was, until the 1990s, dominated by the Cold War. These aircraft crash events are more likely to have been accurately recorded and positioned, and whilst there are no Recorded Losses in the study area pertaining to post-Second World War aircraft, there is still potential for such material to exist.

6.6 Marine Archaeological Assessment: Coastal and Intertidal Heritage Assets

Introduction

- The following assessment of the intertidal and terrestrial archaeological baseline resource is based on records of known features in the NMHR, SHER, KHER and CITIZAN databases, together with the results of the archaeological walkover surveys at each landfall
- A full assessment of terrestrial historic environment and cultural heritage will be presented in the corresponding documents: Application Document 6.2.2.3 Part 2 Suffolk Chapter 3 Cultural Heritage for Suffolk and Application Document 6.2.3.3 Part 3 Kent Chapter 3 Cultural Heritage for Kent. The marine study areaStudy Area overlaps with the terrestrial historic environment and cultural heritage study areaStudy Area between the MHWS and MLWS marks.
- The records located within the study areaStudy Area are presented in Annex 6.A.11 and on Figure 6.4.4.6.A.31 Coastal and Intertidal Features of Archaeological Potential in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical ReportCoastal and intertidal features of archaeological potential.—The contrepoints centre points of polygons and lines have been used to generate the coordinate location in the gazetteer, which may be located outside the study areaStudy Area, but the extents of the polygons are shown on the figure.

Walkover Surveys

The Suffolk intertidal walkover survey was undertaken on 12 September 2023 in fair weather conditions in coordination with the marine ecology team from APEM.

- The first Kent intertidal walkover survey was undertaken on 14 June 2023 in fair weather conditions and in coordination with the marine ecology team from APEM.
- The second Kent intertidal walkover survey was undertaken on 22 and 23 August 2024 in dull, rainy and very windy weather conditions.

Protected Sites

There are no designated terrestrial sites within the coastal and intertidal areas of the Offshore Scheme.

Known Coastal and Intertidal Heritage Assets

- A total of 41 features, structures, obstructions, findspots and a magnetic anomaly were recorded within the intertidal zone of the Offshore Scheme at each landfall from NMHR, SHER, KHER and CITiZAN databases, together with the results of the archaeological walkover surveys (1001-1041, Annex 6.A.11). A further 141 geophysical anomalies recorded by SEP in 2024 are also located between the MLWS and MHWS mark at the Kent landfall.
- All the records and anomalies are presented on Figure 6.4.4.6.A.31 Coastal and intertidal features of archaeological potential in Application Document 6.4.4.6.A ES Figures Marine Archaeological Technical Report.

6.6.9

Suffolk

- One terrestrial site located within the intertidal zone of the Offshore Scheme at the Suffolk landfall is recorded from Suffolk HER data. This record (1001) relates to an extensive length of Second World War beach scaffolding, part of a much longer stretch of anti-invasion defence along the Eeast eCoast. These sites are no longer visible, however, it is possible that material from these features could remain, buried, although, any material is likely to be fragmentary. No remains of this structure were evident during the archaeological walkover survey undertaken in September 2023.
- During the walkover survey a single piece of small modern metal gas/water pipe was visible at the lowest extent of the tide (1002). The item appeared to be mobile modern debris based on its condition, degree of corrosion, and the biofouling present along the beach.

Kent

Walkover records

Six-A total of 17 terrestrial features located within the intertidal zone of the Offshore Scheme at the Kent landfall are recorded from Kent HER, UKHO, NMHR and CITiZAN data (1003-1006, 1019, 1020, 1028 and 1031-1041). Three features were only identified during the first walkover survey (1017, 1021 and 1025). Four features were identified during both surveys (1012, 1015, 1018 and 1026) and an additional 13-14 features were identified during the second walkover (1007-1011, 1013, 1014, 1016, 1022-1024, 1027 and 1029-1030). One feature was identified from Channel Coastal Observatory aerial

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5 cm, No bullets or numbering

<u>images (1028).</u> The following description of the records is in chronological order, where known.

- Three records relate to findspots dating from the Neolithic to a Romano-British period, all of which have since been moved from their find location. Record 1039 describes a late Neolithic to early Bronze Age flint lithic implement created from an irregularly secondary flake of dense flint; record 1038 describes an Iron Age to early Romano-British ceramic closed-mouth jar; and 1041 refers to a 1st century Romano-British Samian cup that was discovered in 1902.
- A possible medieval/post-medieval large fish trap has been recorded in the mud of Pegwell Bay (1020) visible on aerial photographs from 1950. No remains were identified during the walkover surveys and it is not visible on modern aerial imagery (using Google Earth and Coastal Channel Observatory aerial photographs), therefore its current condition and extent is unknown.
- A possible fish trap or keddle net (1028) is visible on Channel Coastal Observatory aerial images from 2008, comprising a line of regularly spaced wooden stakes that extend in a NNW-SSE direction for around 300 m before turning and continuing in a WSW direction for around 12 m. A shorter length of posts is visible around 5 m to the east at the apex of the weir and is assumed to be related. It is possible that some of the posts were visible during the second walkover (as part of 1029), however due to the unstable mud it was not possible to reach them. Numerous fish traps or keddle nets have already been recorded along Sandwich Bay to the south of the study areaStudy Area by CITiZAN (CITiZAN, 2022; CITiZAN, 2023) and the Nautical Archaeology Society's Sandwich Flats Foreshore Fieldwork project (Nautical Archaeology Society, 2024) and appear to be similar in size, construction and orientation to 1028.
- Records **1003** and **1037** relate to rifle ranges that extend offshore into Pegwell Bay and were first visible on 1st Edition Ordnance Survey mapping from 1877. The northern range (**1003**) was noted on the Kent HER record that it was no longer in use on the 1908 edition. The site comprised four posts on land and two in the intertidal zone. The eastern range (**1037**) was no longer present on the 1899 OS edition. Although no longer visible on aerial mapping, there may still be material associated with these sites including ammunition present in and around their vicinity, although nothing was identified during the walkover surveys.
- Several records relate to Second World War coastal defence measures, including two lengths of wire obstacles along the coast (1004 and 1005) and an alignment of 81 posts located in the intertidal zone preventing airborne and seaborn invasion (1006), all of which were recorded from aerial photographs. These sites are no longer visible on modern aerial imagery, however, it is possible that material from these features could remain buried, although any material is likely to be fragmentary. A linear alignment of 14 wooden posts (1007) werewas identified during the second walkover that are in the same alignment as 1006 and are therefore presumably an extension of this feature. A further ten wooden posts (1008), some of which align with the polygons that form 1006, are also present and are assumed to be associated with this military feature.
- A Second World War 20 mm fired shell case was identified on top of the sand (1017) and has likely been moved around the area with the tide. Information on the headstamp confirms it was made in 1942 at the Raleigh Cycle Co. factory in Nottingham. The case was not retained following the methodology of the archaeological survey.
- Several records relate to elements of unidentified metal structure located less thant 17_m apart and may be associated. Record **1012** measures approximately 5 m by 3 m

Formatted: Font: Bold

and **1018** measures approximately 4 m by 3 m. The elements are composed of metal and wood, and their origin is unknown but could relate to Second World War coastal infrastructure. Feature **1012** is surrounded by other unidentified metal objects submerged into the seabed, including two metal posts (**1013** and **1014**) and two metal hawsers (**1015** and **1016**). The remains of structures **1012** and **1018** are visible on Channel Coastal Observatory aerial images dating to **2020** and **2022**, however they appear to already be in a dilapidated state in these images.

- The concrete hard standing and metal structural remains of Ramsgate International Hoverport Terminal (**1036**) are still present within the Study AreaStudy Area. The hoverport opened in 1969 and operated until 1982/3. The site was later demolished between 1987 and 1999. The remains of a concrete landing skirt are located within the Order Limits.
- Other features thought to be modern in date include **1009**, **1010**, **1022** and **1030**. Record **1009** relates to an unidentified narrow linear object located during the second walkover survey, was made of metal and identified around 35 m seaward of the saltmarsh. The object measures approximately 4.5 m long and 0.1 m wide and was underwater when surveyed and densely covered with seaweed. The nature and date of this object is unknown.
- 6.6.22 Another unidentified feature (**1010**) located during the second walkover survey comprised a flat rectangular piece of concrete measuring 0.24 m x 0.28 m with three metal posts, measuring approximately 0.1 m x 60 mm, extending from it. The modern feature appears to be <u>isolatedjsolated</u>, and its function is currently unknown.
- The record for **1022** comprises six regularly situated square wooden posts measuring around 60 mm x 60 mm and upstanding from the seabed around 70 mm. The posts are positioned 10 m apart, each of which are 10 m apart forming a rectangle. It is unknown what these modern posts were originally intended for so far offshore (over 600 m), but due to the regularity of their positioning they could have been used for some sort of structure or platform, perhaps related to fishing.
- Record **1030** relates to an alignment of three metal posts (possibly associated), each measuring approximately 40 mm in diameter and upstanding a maximum of 0.12 m from the seabed. The posts are located within 45 m of each other.
- Five records relate to findspots of unidentified modern material and include an compressed metal cylinder measuring 0.36 m long, 0.28 m wide and 0.17 m high (1011), an small metal object upstanding approximately 30 mm from the seabed (1023), an mangled and corroded metal object upstanding approximately 0.22 m from the seabed and measuring 0.18 m in length (1024) and modern debris that is cylindrical in shape (1025). Record 1019 relates to a findspot from the CITiZAN dataset for a circular metal rim that was found protruding from the sand. The final record, 1040, describes the discovery of a findspot for a mould-produced ceramic Diya from the Indian subcontinent, likely to be of relatively modern date.
- Several features and findspots of material with an unknown date were also recorded during the walkover surveys. These include two areas comprising multiple wooden posts, some of which appear to be aligned (1026 and 1029). It is possible that some of these posts could relate to the fish weir described in record 1016. One findspot of unknown date relates to an unidentified upstanding timber structure with metal attachments located close to the current edge of the River Stour, and for this reason could have perhaps been used as a mooring post in the past. The final five records relate to UKHO records including three areas of foul ground identified in aerial

photographs (1031-1033) and two records for a possible former beacon (1034) and a destroyed beacon (1035), both located on the edge of the River Stour.

A large magnetic anomaly (1021) was identified by the APEM, whilst surveying the foreshore using a magnetometer at the same time as the first archaeological walkover survey. There was no surface expression and therefore the identity of the anomaly is unknown. If a UXO survey of the foreshore is undertaken, it is recommended that the results of the assessment are reviewed and integrated as necessary.

Geophysical records

- A total of 143 anomalies (of the total 1451 geophysical anomalies), located in the intertidal area of Pegwell Bay between the MLWS and MHWS marks, were identified during the SEP magnetometer survey in 2024. These are fully discussed in the geophysical seabed features assessment above.
- 6.6.29 The 143 anomalies comprise:
 - one A1 magnetic anomaly (70858), a large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile line, interpreted as possible ferrous debris; debris.
 - fifty-four A2_h anomalies comprising two areas of debris and 52 magnetic anomalies; and
 - eighty-eight A2 I magnetic anomalies.
- One geophysical anomaly (**70854**) is located within the polygon relating to a lengththe length of Second World War wire and as the anomaly is interpreted as possible ferrous debris, these features could be related, although no visible material were identified at this location during the walkover survey. Another anomaly is located within 1.5 m of a wooden post identified as part of feature **1008**, however since the anomaly interpreted as possible ferrous debris (**70849**), these features are unlikely to be related.
- No other geophysical anomalies with surface expression were identified during the walkover survey. However, the SEP survey did not cover the entirety of the area forming the Order Limits as defined for the Statutory Consultation stage, and therefore it is unknown what sub-surface anomalies are present beyond the extent of the survey.

Setting and value

- This section provides a brief assessment based on the criteria set out in the methodology section and on guidance from Historic England (Historic England, 2017a; Historic England, 2017b). The assessment focusses on each individual site, site; however these sites should not be seen in isolation, but rather within the wider archaeological and cultural heritage landscape.
- The fish weir identified on aerial photographs (1028) and the earthworks associated with a possible fish trap (1020) visible in the exposed mud of Pegwell Bay both have setting since their positions were deliberately selected as good locations for trapping fish as the tidal waters left the bay. These two records have relationships with one another together with other such features that are located beyond the study-Area
 (NMHR_1625661) and further south in Sandwich Bay. Extensive views around the bay from these features would also have been enjoyed, and their non-visual setting includes their use as a method for fishing, making best use of the tidal water of the bay. The setting of such features would be integral to their archaeological value. If these features are confirmed as medieval fish traps they would be of high archaeological value.

- Several of the Second World War features in the intertidal zone have since been removed after the war (1001 and 1004-1006) or washed away with tide (1017), and therefore these features do not have setting as they have been removed from their context. Features identified during the walkover surveys that are believed to be associated with the Second World War (1007, 1008, 1012-1016 and 1018) have setting relating to their function of preventing enemy airborne and seaborne invasion within the bay, which is a large expanse of flat land at low tide and presumably an attractive location for invasion. If any further Second World War material is discovered during works associated with the Proposed Project, these would have to be assessed within the wider setting of military events and coastal defences defences. However, the value of such material, if discovered, would be of low archaeological value as it will relate to a modern site which were a common occurrence on most coastlines of south and east Britain during the war.
- The setting of the hoverport terminal (**1036**) is integral to its function and hard standing and structural remains are still clearly visible despite not being in use for over 40 years. The archaeological value, however, of these remains is considered to be are low.
- For features where it is unknown whether any material still survives, for instance the targets associated with the rifle ranges (1003 and 1037), these features would have setting in line with other buried features. The archaeological value of the rifle ranges is low since ranges of this type were common across Britain during the 19th century.
- The-The archaeological significance of the remaining features, obstructions, findspots, and the identified magnetic anomaly cannot currently be determined due to insufficient information regarding their extent, function, or age. Consequently, their value and associated setting remain unconfirmed. Should additional data become available, a reassessment of their archaeological importance and contextual setting will be archaeological value of the remaining features, obstructions, findspots and the magnetic anomaly cannot be ascertained at present since not enough is known to confirm their extent, function or age, and therefore their archaeological value and associated setting. If further information is derived for these records, their archaeological value and setting will require reanalysis.required.

Potential for Heritage Assets within the Coastal and Intertidal Zone

- The presence of known archaeological remains from the intertidal and coastal areas suggests the potential for the discovery of further material that was terrestrial but is now submerged due to sea level rise or erosion and also and material relating to human use of the intertidal zone including fish traps and jetties. Any such discoveries would have to be assessed on a case-by-case basis, within the wider landscape framework, but in general, finds from the Neolithic period onwards are likely to provide evidence of the changing coastline over time and of activities in the intertidal zone.
- The present sea levels were reached during the medieval period and post-Romano_British marine transgression led to the deposition of deep-alluvial layers. As a result, there is potential for now buried material from the Palaeolithic to the Romano-British period. The north Kent and Suffolk coasts have also seen considerable erosion, through high levels of wave action, inclement weather and rising sea levels, and it is possible that terrestrial material could have reached the intertidal zone due to erosion of terrestrial sites. This was recognised in the Suffolk Coastal National Mapping Programme project (Hegarty & Newsome, 2005) as exampled by the medieval town of

6.6.38

Dunwich being lost to the sea. Therefore, there is potential for derived evidence from the Palaeolithic to the modern period located within the intertidal zone of the landfalls.

- In the landscape around the Suffolk landfall, there is evidence of prehistoric and medieval flint scatters and earthworks comprising round barrows and other types of enclosures and field boundaries, and extensive evidence of industry in the form of post-medieval brickworks, quarries and clay extraction pits. There are also records for early forms of sea defences in the form of a relict sea bank.
- At the Kent landfall, the presence of Romano-British pottery discovered from within the study areaStudy Area together with other material dating to between the 17th and 19th centuries recovered from the foreshore of Sandwich Flats (CITiZAN, 2022) suggests that further material dating since the Romano-British period could be uncovered from within the study areaStudy Area. The number of Recorded Losses, the proximity of the Kent landfall to Goodwin Sands, a notorious navigational hazard, along with the Nautical Archaeology Society's records of 14 known wrecks in Sandwich Bay also suggest the potential for maritime remains to be uncovered within the study areaStudy Area. Extensive evidence of keddle net fishing in Sandwich Bay has been recorded by CITiZAN since 2018 (CITiZAN, 2022; Cvetkovic & Band, 2022). Additionally, the intertidal zone at the Kent landfall has the potential to include material relating to settlement and activity of the margins of the Wantsum Channel, and depending on their nature and preservation, could be of high significance and archaeological value.
- Although the multiple features on the coast and in the intertidal zone relating to the Second World War were removed by the middle of the 20th century at both landfalls, there is still some potential for remnant material from these features, and fragmentary material that was associated with them. Features included searchlight and anti-aircraft batteries, practice trenches, extensive lines of different types of coastal defences and pillboxes.

6.7 Assessment of Historic Seascape Character

- The assessment of the HSC within the study areaStudy Area was undertaken using the results of LUC's 2107 Historic Seascape Characterisation (HSC): Consolidating the National HSC Database, which consolidated the eight existing HSC implementation projects (undertaken between 2008 and 2015) into a single national database (Land Use Consultants, 2017).
- The method assesses and defines areas with HSC types that promote an understanding of historic trends and processes, to inform the sustainable management of change over time. This is achieved by addressing the multi-level character of the sea, by splitting the marine zone into five tiered levels: the coastal area, the sea surface, the water column, the sea floor and the subsea floor. The characterisation is GIS based, enabling key characteristics to be identified.
- 6.7.3 The study areaStudy Area has been characterised as having the following elements:
 - reclaimed land (from tidal marsh);
 - cultural topography landward (wetland);
 - recreation (open ground, wildlife watching);
 - reclaimed land (from tidal marsh);
 - settlement (urban);

- recreation (parks and gardens; wildlife watching);
- reclaimed land (from tidal marsh);
- fishing (bottom trawling, drift netting, potting);
- maritime safety (buoyage, safety area);
- navigation (wreck hazard, hazardous water, navigation route, shoals and flats, ferry crossing);
- ports and docks (dockyard, harbour);
- recreation (leisure beach, leisure sailing, wildlife watching);
- cultural topography landward (wetland);
- cultural topography marine (palaeochannel);
- energy industry (submarine power cable, renewable energy installation (wind)); and
- telecommunications (submarine telecommunications cable).
- 6.7.4 The HSC for the study areaStudy Area already includes submarine power cables and therefore the Proposed Project will not cause additional impact on the HSC of the study areaStudy Area.

Value and Setting

- 6.7.5 The HSC of the study areaStudy Area is of medium archaeological value, due to the region's important and prolonged maritime history and its continued use today.
- The study areaStudy Area is already characterised by a broad category of industry including renewable energy installations for wind and submarine cables. Therefore, the overall character of the area, including its setting, will remain predominantly the same while the Proposed Project is in operation.

6.8 Summary of Results and Overall Sensitivity

Introduction

- Based on information available to date and the baseline assessments above, the marine archaeological baseline environment for the study-Area can be considered to comprise known sites, together with the potential for discovering material relating to palaeogeography, maritime archaeology and aviation archaeology.
- The nature of the archaeological resource is such that there is a high level of uncertainty concerning the distribution of potential, unknown archaeological remains on the seabed. It is often the case that data concerning the nature and extent of sites is out of date, extremely limited or entirely lacking. As a precautionary measure, unknown potential cultural heritage assets are therefore considered to be of high <u>archaeological</u>
- 6.8.3 All archaeological receptors have the potential to be physically damaged, destabilised or destroyed if they are directly or indirectly impacted. Furthermore, all damage to archaeological sites or material is permanent and recovery is limited to stabilisation or reburial to limit further impact. Archaeological receptors have no recoverability if they

are affected by a direct or indirect physical impact. As such, all potential receptors should be regarded as having high sensitivity to direct and indirect physical impacts.

Palaeogeography Assessment

The assessment of the geophysical data within the study areaStudy Area resulted in a total of 29 features of palaeogeographic interest. These are summarised as follows:

- a total of nine channels, four channel complexes and one cut and fill were assigned an P1 archaeological rating; and
- a total of 13 cut and fill features and two areas of acoustic blanking were assigned an P2 archaeological rating.

Features given a P1 archaeological rating are considered of high archaeological potential as they comprise terrestrial features interpreted as having been deposited during periods of likely human occupation. Those features with a P2 discrimination are considered of medium archaeological potential, partly due to the uncertainty of features their formation and fill. Further geoarchaeological work as described in Table 6.6Section 6.2 below, would aid in refining the interpretation and therefore help determine the archaeological potential of the identified features.

Geoarchaeological Assessment

The Stage 1 review of geotechnical data for 53 vibrocores, obtained by MMT in 2021, located across the study-Area resulted in the preparation of five deposit modelling transects to highlight the distribution of Quaternary deposits and relationship with palaeolandscape features identified in the sub-bottom profiler data. Some of the acquired vibrocores were located within identified palaeogeographic features and were able to confirm the features as of terrestrial in origin, such alluvium deposits recovered from VC-061 in channel 75032 and peat identified in VC-S6-005 from within channel 75006.

Peat

6.8.4

6.8.6

6.8.7 Peat was recovered in a single vibrocore (VC-S6-005) and was assigned high priority status, as it has high potential to preserve suitable palaeoenvironmental and dating material.

Alluvium - organic and minerogenic

Alluvium characterised by minerogenic and organic material have been recovered in vibrocores in the study areaStudy Area. These fine-grained and laminated deposits have been interpreted as being tidally influenced and are likely associated with increasing marine conditions under the influence of early Holocene rising sea level. Both organic and minerogenic deposits are considered to have medium priority status given their potential to preserve organic and inorganic microfossils, respectively.

Shallow marine to coastal

Given coasts, estuaries and wetlands are key landscape elements in the submerged prehistoric record (Ransley, Sturt, Dix, Adams, & Blue, 2013) sandy deposits with frequent fine-grained laminations, including laminae of organic material, are assigned

medium priority status. These deposits have the potential to contain material suitable for palaeoenvironmental analysis.

Seabed Features

- 6.8.10 With regards seabed features, the <u>study areaStudy Area</u> can be considered to comprise:
 - fifty-three geophysical anomalies of anthropogenic origin of archaeological interest (A1) including 17 recorded wrecks;
 - 1,394 geophysical anomalies of likely/possible anthropogenic origin (A2);
 - four historic records of possible archaeological interest with no corresponding geophysical anomaly;
 - twelve additional seabed features including wrecks and obstructions;
 - potential for the discovery of shipwreck material from the late Mesolithic to the present; and
 - potential for the discovery of 20th century aircraft material, particularly from the Second World War.

Coastal and Intertidal Heritage Assets

- There are a total of 41 records located within the coastal and intertidal zones of the study areaStudy Area relating to features, structures, obstructions, findspots and a magnetic anomaly recorded from NMHR, SHER, KHER and CITiZAN databases, together with the results of the archaeological walkover surveys and assessment of aerial images. A further 143 geophysical anomalies, were also recorded by SEP in 2024, are also located between the MLWS and MHWS marks at the Kent landfall.
- 6.8.12 There is also potential for the discovery of remains dating from the Palaeolithic to the modern periods (especially Second World War related infrastructure).

Historic Seascape Character

The historic seascape of the study areaStudy Area has a varied character ranging from recreational activities to offshore industry and navigation. Since the area already contains submarine power cables, the impact from the Proposed Project is limited.

6.9 References

- Agbe-Davies, A. S., & Bauer, S. S. (2010). Rethinking Trade as a Social Activity: An Introduction. Routledge.
- Air Ministry (A.H.B). (1952). The Second World War 1939-1945 Royal Air Force Air/Sea Rescue, Restricted Air Publication 3232.
- Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 c.46. (1979). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1979/46/contents
- Ashton, N., Lewis, S. G., Parfitt, S. A., Penkman, K. E., & Coope, G. R. (2008). New evidence for complex climate change in MIS 11 from Hoxne, Suffolk, UK. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 27(7-8), p.652-668.
- Bicket, A., & Tizzard, L. (2015). A Review of the Submerged Prehistory and Palaeolandscapes of the British Isles. *Proceedings of the Geologist's Association*, 126, Issue 6, pp.643-663.

- Boismier, W., Gamble, C., & Coward, F. (2012). *Neanderthals among Mammoths: Excavations at Lynford Quarry, Norfolk, UK.* English Heritage.
- Bridgland, D. R. (2006). The Middle and Upper Pleistocene sequence in the Lower Thames: a record of Milankovitch climatic fluctuation and early human occupation of southern Britain. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association: Henry Stopes Memorial Lecture* 2004, (pp. 281-305).
- Bridgland, D. R., & d'Olier, B. (1995). The Pleistocene evolution of the Thames and Rhine drainage systems in the southern North Sea Basin. *Geological Society Special Publications* (pp. 96(1), p.27-45). London: Geoogical Society.
- Bridgland, D., & Gibbard, P. (1997). Quaternary river diversions in the London Basin and the eastern English Channel. *Géographie physique et Quaternaire*, 51(3), 337-345.
- Brown, A., & Russell, J. (2019). *Mesolithic geoarchaeological investigations in the Outer Thames Estuary*. Salisbury: Wessex Archaeology.
- Bynoe, R. (2018). The submerged archaeology of the North Sea: Enhancing the Lower Palaeolithic record of northwest Europe. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 191, pp.1-14.
- Cameron, T. D., Crosby, A., Balson, P. S., Jeffery, D. H., Lott, G. K., Bulat, J., & Harrison, D. J. (1992). *The Geology of the Southern North Sea.* London, HMSO: British Geological Survey, United Kingdom Offshore Regional Report.
- Candy, I., Silva, B., & Lee, J. (2011). Climates of the Early Middle Pleistocene in Britain: Environments of the Earliest Humans in Northern Europe. In N. Ashton, S. G. Lewis, & C. Stringer, *The Ancient Human Occupation of Britain* (pp. 11-22). Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier B.V.
- Cant, S. (2013). England's Shipwreck Heritage: from logboats to U-boats. English Heritage. Captured and Sunk the Story. (2024). Retrieved September 03, 2024, from Hartlepool History Then and Now: https://www.hhtandn.org/notes/652/captured-and-sunk-the-story
- Care-Evans, A., & Fenwick, V. H. (1971). The Graveney Boat. Antiquity, 89-96.
- Chartered Institute for Archaeologists. (2020a). Standard and guidance for archaeological advice by historic environment services. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/ClfAS%26GArchadvice_4.pdf
- Chartered Institute for Archaeologists. (2020b). Standard and guidance for historic environment desk-based assessment. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/ClfAS%26GDBA 4.pdf
- Chartered Institute for Archaeologists. (2022). Code of conduct: professional ethics in archaeology. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/Code%20of%20conduct%20revOct2022.pdf
- Chartered Institute for Archaeologists. (2023, December). *Universal guidance for archaeological field evaluation*. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/Universal%20guidance%20for%20archaeological%20field%20evaluation.pdf
- CITiZAN. (2022). Retrieved july 09, 2024, from Searching Sandwich Bay: https://citizan.org.uk/blog/2022/Aug/17/searching-sandwich-bay/
- CITiZAN. (2023). Coastal Map. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://citizan.org.uk/interactive-coastal-map/
- Clark, P. (2004). The Dover Bronze Age Boat. Swindon: English Heritage.
- Cohen, K. M., MacDonald, K., Joordens, J. C., Roebroeks, W., & Gibbard, P. L. (2012). The Earliest Occupation of North-West Europe: a Coastal Perspective. *Quaternary International*, 271, p.70-83.
- Coles, B. J. (1998). Doggerland: a speculative survey. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*, Vol. 64, pp. 45-81.
- Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 c.48. (1988). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1988/48/contents

- Council of Europe. (1992). Convention for the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage of Europe (revised) (Valletta, 1992). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.coe.int/en/web/culture-and-heritage/valletta-convention
- Council of Europe. (2000). European Landscape Convention. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://rm.coe.int/1680080621
- Cvetkovic, T., & Band, L. (2022). (Don't Let it) Slip through the net: CITiZAN investigations of fish traps at at Sandwich Bay, 2019-2022. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5284/1109688
- Department for Energy Security and Net Zero. (2023a). Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/65bbfbdc709fe1000f637052/overarching-nps-for-energy-en1.pdf
- Department for Energy Security and Net Zero. (2023b). *National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3)*. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/64252f5f2fa848000cec0f52/NPS_EN-3.pdf
- Department for Energy Security and Net Zero. (2023c). *National Policy Statement for Electrical Networks Infrastructure (EN-5)*. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/64252f852fa848000cec0f53/NPS_EN-5.pdf
- Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. (2009). Our Seas A shared resource: High level marine objectives. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment data/file/182486/ourseas-2009update.pdf
- Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. (2011). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from UK Marine Policy Statement: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a795700ed915d042206795b/pb3654-marine-policy-statement-110316.pdf
- Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. (2014). East Inshore and East Offshore Marine Plans. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment data/file/312496/east-plan.pdf
- Department for Environment, Food, and Rural Affairs. (2021). South East Inshore Marine Plan.
 Retrieved July 09, 2024, from
 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment
 _data/file/1004493/FINAL_South_East_Marine_Plan__1_pdf
- Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities. (2023). *National Planning Policy Framework*. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/65a11af7e8f5ec000f1f8c46/NPPF_December 2023.pdf
- Dix, D., & Sturt, F. (2011). *The Relic Palaeo-landscapes of the Thames Estuary.* Southampton: University of Southampton for MALSF.
- Dover District Council. (2022). *Dover District Local Plan to 2040*. Retrieved October 15, 2024, from https://www.doverdistrictlocalplan.co.uk/uploads/pdfs/dover-district-local-plan-regulation-19-submission-document-oct-20221.pdf
- East Suffolk Council. (2020). Suffolk Coastal Local Plan Adopted 2020. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/Planning/Planning-Policy-and-Local-Plans/Suffolk-Coastal-Local-Plan/Adopted-Suffolk-Coastal-Local-Plan/East-Suffolk-Council-S
- Emu Ltd. (2009). *Outer Thames Estuary Regional Environmental Characterisation*. MALSF, Crown Copyright 2009, ISBN 978-00907545-28-9.

- English Heritage. (1998). *Identifying and Protecting Palaeolithic Remains: Archaeological Guidance for Planning Authorities and Developers*. Swindon: English Heritage.
- English Heritage. (2000). Managing Lithic Scatters: Archaeological Guidance for planning authorities and developers. Swindon: English Heritage.
- English Heritage. (2002). *Military Aircraft Crash Sites: Archaeological guidance on their significance and future management.* Swindon: English Heritage.
- English Heritage. (2008). Conservation Principles, Policies and Guidance for the sustainable management of the historic environment. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/conservation-principles-sustainable-management-historic-environment/conservationprinciplespoliciesandguidanceapril08web/
- English Heritage. (2011). Environmental Archaeology: A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post-excavation (second edition). Swindon: English Heritage.
- Fenwick, V., & Gale, A. (1998). *Historic Shipwrecks: Discovered, Protected and Investigated.*Tempus Publishing Ltd.
- Fjordr. (2014). East Coast War Channels in the First and Second World War. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://historicengland.org.uk/research/results/reports/7017/EastCoastWarChannelsinthe FirstandSecondWorldWars
- Gaffney, V., Thomson, K., & Fitch, S. (2007). *Mapping Doggerland: The Mesolithic Landscapes of the Southern North Sea*. Oxford: Oxford Archaeopress.
- Godwin, H., & Godwin, M. E. (1933). British Maglemose Harpoon Sites. *Antiquity*, 7, pp.36-48. Gould, S. (1997). Research and Archaeology: A Framework for the Eastern Counties, 1. *East Anglian Archaeology, Occasional Paper no. 3*, pp. 73-79.
- GOV.UK. (2019). Historic environment: Advises on enhancing and conserving hte historic environment. Retrieved July 18, 2024, from https://www.gov.uk/guidance/conserving-and-enhancing-the-historic-environment
- GOV.UK. (2024). Planning Practice Guidance: The National Planning Policy Framework and relevant planning practice guidance. Retrieved July 18, 2024, from https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/planning-practice-guidance
- Gribble, J., & Leather, S. (2011). Offshore Geotechnical Investigations and Historic Environment Analysis: Guidance for the Renewable Energy Sector. Southampton: Emu.
- Heamagi, C. (2017). Gunfleet Sands 3 Demonstration Project Stage 4 Geoarchaeological Analysis Report. Retrieved July 23, 2024, from Archaeology Data Service: https://doi.org/10.5284/1043488
- Hegarty, C., & Newsome, S. (2005). The Archaeology of the Suffolk Coast and Inter-tidal Zone. A report for the National Mapping Programme. Bury St Edmunds/Swindon: Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service/ English Heritage.
- Hewitt, N. (2008). Coastal Convoys 1939-1945: The Indestructible Highway. Norwich: Pen and Sword Maritime.
- Historic England. (2015). Managing Significance in Decision-Taking in the Historic Environment: Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning 2. Swindon: Historic England.
- Historic England. (2015a). Geoarchaeology: Using Earth Sciences to Understand the Archaeological Record. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/geoarchaeology-earth-sciences-to-understand-archaeological-record/heag067-geoarchaeology/
- Historic England. (2016). Preserving Archaeological Remains: Decision-taking for Sites under Development. Swindon: Historic England.
- Historic England. (2017a). The Setting of Heritage Assets Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning: 3. Swindon: Historic England.

- Historic England. (2017b). Conservation Principles for the Sustainable Management of the Historic Environment. Consultation Draft 10 November 2017. Swindon: Historic England.
- Historic England. (2017c). Ships and Boats: Prehistory to Present Selection Guide. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/dsg-ships-boats/heag143-ships-and-boats-prehistory-to-present-sg/
- Historic England. (2019). Statements of Heritage Significance: Analysing Significance in Heritage Assets: Historic England Advice Note 12. Swindon: Historic England.
- Historic England. (2020). Deposit Modelling and Archaeology Guidance for Mapping Buried Deposits. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/deposit-modelling-and-archaeology/heag272-deposit-modelling-and-archaeology/
- Historic England. (2023). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from Characterising Historic Seascape: https://historicengland.org.uk/research/methods/characterisation/historic-seascapes/
- Historic England. (2023). Curating the Palaeolithic. Swindon: Historic England.
- Housley, R. A. (1991). AMS Dates from the Late Glacial and Early Postglacial in North-West Europe: A Review. In N. Barton, A. J. Roberts, & D. Roe, *The Late Glacial in North-West Europe: Human Adaptation and Environmental Change at the End of the Pleistocene* (pp. 25-36). London: Council for British Archaeology.
- Hublin, J.-J., Weston, D., & Gunz, P. (2009). Out of the North Sea: the Zeeland Ridges Neandertal. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 57, 777-785.
- Jacobi, R., & Higham, T. (2011a). The Later Upper Palaeolithic Recolonisation of Britain: New Results from AMS Radiocarbon Dating. In N. Ashton, S. G. Lewis, & C. Stringer, *The Ancient Human Occupation of Britain* (pp. Vol. 14, pp.223–247). Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier B.V.
- Jacobi, R., & Higham, T. (2011b). The British earlier Upper Palaeolithic: settlement and chronology. *Developments in Quaternary Sciences*, 14, pp.181-222.
- Joint Nautical Archaeology Policy Committee. (2006). Code of Practice for Seabed Developers, Joint Nautical Archaeology Policy Committee. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://jnapc.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/10/code-of-practice-second-edition.pdf
- Land Use Consultants. (2017). Historic Seascape Characterisation (HSC): Consolidating the National HSC Database.
- Lewis, S. G., Ashton, N., & Jacobi, R. (2011). Testing Human Presence during the Last Interglacial (MIS 5e): A Review of the British Evidence. In N. Ashton, S. G. Lewis, & C. Stringer, *The Ancient Human Occupation of Britain* (pp. Vol.14, pp.125-247). Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier.
- Limpenny, S. E., Barrio Froján, C., Cotterill, C., Foster-Smith, R. L., Pearce, B., Tizzard, L., . . . Law, R. J. (2011). *The East Coast Regional Environmental Characterisation*. MEPF.
- Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 c.23. (2009). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2009/23/contents
- Marsden, P. (1996). Ships of the Port of London, Twelfth to seventeenth centuries AD. English Heritage Archaeological Report 5.
- McGrail, S. (2004). Boats of the World: From the Stone Age to medieval Times. Oxford: University Press.
- Merchant Shipping Act 1995 c.21. (1995). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1995/21/contents
- Merritt, O., Parham, D., & McElvogue, D. (2007). Enhancing our Understanding of the Marine Historic Environment. Navigational Hazards Project Final Report for English Heritage. Bournemouth University: Aggregates Levy Sustainability Fund.
- Milne, G. (2003). The Port of Medieval London. Oxbow.
- Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government. (2024). *National Planning Policy Framework (under consultation*). Retrieved October 15, 2024, from

- $https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/66acffddce1fd0da7b593274/NPPF_with_footnotes.pdf$
- MMT. (2021). South East Anglia Link Marine Survey Geophysical Survey. Reading: unpublished report ref. 103748-NAT-MMT-SUR-REP-SURVEYRE.
- Momber, G., Tomalin, D., Scaife, R., Satchell, J., Gillespie, J., & Heathcote, J. (2011).
 Mesolithic Occupation at Bouldnor Cliff and the Submerged Prehistoric Landscapes of the Solent. Retrieved July 23, 2024, from Archaeological Data Service: https://doi.org/10.5284/1081835
- National Grid. (2022). Sea Link: Environmental Impact Assessment Scoping Report. National Grid. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://national-infrastructure-consenting.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/EN020026/documents
- National Grid. (2023a). Sea Link: Preliminary Environmental Information Report. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.nationalgrid.com/the-great-grid-upgrade/sea-link/document-library
- National Grid. (2023b). Sea Link. Landfall Archaeological Walkover Surveys: Risk Assessment Method Statement.
- National Grid. (2023c). Sea Link. Landfall Archaeological Walkvoer Surveys: Risk Assessment Method Statement.
- National Grid. (2024). Sea Link. Kent landfall Archaeological Walkover Survey: Risk Assessment Method Statement.
- National Heritage Act 2002 c.14. (2002). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2002/14/contents
- Natural England. (2010). All Landscapes Matter.
- Nautical Archaeology Society. (2024, September 03). Sandwich Flats Foreshore Fieldwork. Retrieved from https://www.nauticalarchaeologysociety.org/sandwich-flats-foreshore-fieldwork
- Nayling, N., & McGrail, S. (2004). *The Barlands Farm Romano-Celtic Boat. CBA Research Report 138.* Council for British Archaeology.
- Next Geo. (2024). Sea Link Marine Cable Route Survey, Volume 6 Geophysical Operations Report . Unpublished survey report, ref: P2097-010-REP-006.
- Parfitt, S. A., Ashton, N. M., Lewis, S. G., Abel, R. L., Coope, G. R., Field, M. H., . . . Stringer, C. B. (2010). Early Pleistocene Human Occupation at the Edge of the Boreal Zone in Northwest Europe. *Nature*, 466(7303), p.229-33.
- Parfitt, S. A., Barendregt, R. W., Breda, M., Candy, I., Collins, M. J., Coope, G. R., . . . Stuart, A. J. (2005). The Earliest Record of Human Activity in Northern Europe. *Nature*, 438(7070), p. 1008-12.
- Perkins, D. (1997). An Island Gateway. In M. Cats, & D. Chamberlain, *The Maritime Heritage of Thanet. East Kent Maritime Trust* (pp. 4-16). East Kent Maritime Trust.
- Pettitt, P., & White, M. J. (2012). The British Palaeolithic: Human Societies at the Edge of the Pleistocene World. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 c.9. (1990). Retrieved July 17, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1990/9/contents
- Planning Act 2008 c.29. (2008). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2008/29/contents
- Plets, R., Dix, J., & Bates, R. (2013). Marine geophysics data acquisition, processing and interpretation: Guidance notes. London: English Heritage.
- Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 c.35. (1986). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1986/35/contents
- Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 c.33. (1973). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1973/33/contents

- Ransley, J., Sturt, F., Dix, J., Adams, J., & Blue, L. (2013). *People and the Sea: A Maritime Archaeological Research Agenda for England.* York: Council for British Archaeology Research Report 171.
- Reid, C. (1913). Submerged Forests. London: Cambridge University Press.
- Scott, B., & Ashton, N. (2011). The Early Middle Palaeolithic: The European Context. In N. Ashton, S. G. Lewis, & C. Stringer, *The Ancient Human Occupation of Britain.* (pp. Volume 14, 91–112). Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier B.V.
- SEP Hydrographic. (2024). SEP Hydrographic 2024, Sea Link Land Geophysical Survey, Operations and Field Report. Unpublished report, ref: 2023-022.
- Shennan, I., & Horton, B. (2002). Holocene land- and sea-level changes in Great Britain. *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 17(5-6), pp.511-526.
- Stoker, M. S., Balson, P. S., Long, D., & Tappin, D. R. (2011). An overview of the lithostratigraphic framework for the Quaternary deposits of the United Kingdom continental shelf. British geological Survey Research Report, 48.
- Sturt, F., & Dix, J. K. (2009). The Outer Thames Estuary Regional Environmental Characterisation. Published through the ALSF.
- Sturt, F., Garrow, D., & Bradley, S. (2013). New models of North West European Holocene palaeogeography and inundation. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 40, 3963-3976.
- Sumbler, M. G. (1996). British Regional Geology; London and the Thames Valley. London: HMSO
- Tappin, D. R., Pearce, B., Fitch, S., Dove, D., Gearey, B., Hill, J. M., . . . Fielding, H. (2011). *The Humber Regional Environmental Characterisation*. British Geological Survey Open Report OR/10/54.
- Thanet District Council. (2020). Local Plan Adopted July 2020. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.thanet.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/LP-adjusted.pdf
- The Crown Estate. (2021). Archaeological Written Schemes of Investigation for Offshore Wind Farm Projects. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.thecrownestate.co.uk/media/3917/guide-to-archaeological-requirements-for-offshore-wind.pdf
- Tizzard, L., Bicket, A. R., Benjamin, J., & De Loecker, D. (2014). A Middle Palaeolithic Site in the Southern North Sea: Investigating the Archaeology and Palaeogeography of Area 240. *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 29, pp.698–710.
- Tizzard, L., Bicket, A. R., Benjamin, J., & De Loecker, D. (2015). A Middle Palaeolithic Site in the Southern North Sea: Investigating the Archaeology and Palaeogeography of Area 240. Salisbury: Wessex Archaeology Monograph 35.
- UNESCO. (2021). *Underwater Cultural Heritage 2001 Convention*. Retrieved November 04, 2025, from https://en.unesco.org/underwater-heritage/2001
- Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd. (2018a). Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm. Annex 13-1: Marine Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment Technical Report. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010084/EN010084-000646-6.4.13.1 TEOW MarineArchDBA.pdf
- Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd. (2018b). Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm. Annex 13-2:
 Archaeological Review of Geophysical and Geotechnical Data. Retrieved September 05, 2024, from https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010084/EN010084-000647-6.4.13.2 TEOW MarineArchGeo.pdf
- Wessex Archaeology. (2006). On the Importance of Shipwrecks. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://doi.org/10.5284/1000313
- Wessex Archaeology. (2007). *Historic Environment Guidance for the Offshore Renewable Energy Sector.* COWRIE Ltd. Retrieved October 16, 2024, from

- https://www.wessexarch.co.uk/sites/default/files/field_file/COWRIE_2007_Wessex_%20-%20archaeo %20quidance Final 1-2-07.pdf
- Wessex Archaeology. (2008a). Aircraft Crash Sites at Sea: A Scoping Study. Archaeological Desk-based Assessment. Salisbury: unpublished report ref. 666410.02.
- Wessex Archaeology. (2008b). Selection Guide: Boats and Ships in Archaeological Contexts. Salisbury: unpublished report.
- Wessex Archaeology. (2008c). Marine Class Descriptions and Principles of Selection in Aggregate Areas. Retrieved August 06, 2024, from Historic England: https://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archiveDS/archiveDownload?t=arch-856-1/dissemination/pdf/ALSF Marine Selection Report 050208.pdf
- Wessex Archaeology. (2010). AODA Marine Aggregate Regional Environmental Assessment.

 Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment: Final Report. Salisbury: unpublished report ref. 73330.02.
- Wessex Archaeology. (2013). Audit of Current State of Knowledge of Submerged Palaeolandscapes and Sites. Salisbury: Wessex Archaeology.
- Wessex Archaeology. (2016). Nemo Link Stage 4 Analysis. Salisbury: Wessex Archaeology.
- Wessex Archaeology. (2021). Aggregate Area 528: Palaeolandscape assessment of geophysical and geotechnical data. Salisbury: Wessex Archaeology.
- White, M. J., & Jacobi, R. M. (2002). Two sides to every story: bout coupé handaxes revisited. Oxford Journal of Archaeology, 21(2), pp.109-133.
- White, M. J., Scott, B., & Ashton, N. (2006). The Early Middle Palaeolithic period in Britain: archaeology, settlement history and human behaviour. *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 21(5), pp.525–42.
- Williams, N. J. (1988). *Maritime Trade of the East Anglian Ports 1550-1590*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Wymer, J. J. (1999). *The Lower Palaeolithic Occupation of Britain*. Salisbury: Wessex Archaeology and English Heritage.
- Agbe-Davies, A. S., & Bauer, S. S. (2010). Rethinking Trade as a Social Activity: An Introduction. Routledge.
- Air Ministry (A.H.B). (1952). The Second World War 1939-1945 Royal Air Force Air/Sea Rescue, Restricted Air Publication 3232.
- Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 c.46. (1979). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1979/46/contents
- Ashton, N., Lewis, S. G., Parfitt, S. A., Penkman, K. E., & Coope, G. R. (2008). New evidence for complex climate change in MIS 11 from Hoxne, Suffolk, UK. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 27(7-8), p.652-668.
- Bicket, A., & Tizzard, L. (2015). A Review of the Submerged Prehistory and Palaeolandscapes of the British Isles. *Proceedings of the Geologist's Association*, 126, Issue 6, pp.643-663.
- Boismier, W., Gamble, C., & Coward, F. (2012). Neanderthals among Mammoths: Excavations at Lynford Quarry, Norfolk, UK. English Heritage.
- Bridgland, D. R. (2006). The Middle and Upper Pleistocene sequence in the Lower Thames: a record of Milankovitch climatic fluctuation and early human occupation of southern Britain. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association: Henry Stopes Memorial Lecture* 2004, (pp. 281-305).
- Bridgland, D. R., & d'Olier, B. (1995). The Pleistocene evolution of the Thames and Rhine drainage systems in the southern North Sea Basin. *Geological Society Special Publications* (pp. 96(1), p.27-45). London: Geoogical Society.
- Bridgland, D., & Gibbard, P. (1997). Quaternary river diversions in the London Basin and the eastern English Channel. *Géographie physique et Quaternaire*, 51(3), 337-345.
- Brown, A., & Russell, J. (2019). *Mesolithic geoarchaeological investigations in the Outer Thames Estuary*. Salisbury: Wessex Archaeology.

- Bynoe, R. (2018). The submerged archaeology of the North Sea: Enhancing the Lower Palaeolithic record of northwest Europe. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 191, pp.1-14.
- Cameron, T. D., Crosby, A., Balson, P. S., Jeffery, D. H., Lott, G. K., Bulat, J., & Harrison, D. J. (1992). *The Geology of the Southern North Sea.* London, HMSO: British Geological Survey, United Kingdom Offshore Regional Report.
- Candy, I., Silva, B., & Lee, J. (2011). Climates of the Early Middle Pleistocene in Britain:
 Environments of the Earliest Humans in Northern Europe. In N. Ashton, S. G. Lewis, & C. Stringer, *The Ancient Human Occupation of Britain* (pp. 11-22). Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier B.V.
- Cant, S. (2013). England's Shipwreck Heritage: from logboats to U-boats. English Heritage.
- Captured and Sunk the Story. (2024). Retrieved September 03, 2024, from Hartlepool History Then and Now: https://www.hhtandn.org/notes/652/captured-and-sunk-the-story
- Care-Evans, A., & Fenwick, V. H. (1971). The Graveney Boat. Antiquity, 89-96.
- Chartered Institute for Archaeologists. (2020a). Standard and guidance for archaeological advice by historic environment services. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/ClfAS%26GArchadvice 4.pdf
- Chartered Institute for Archaeologists. (2020b). Standard and guidance for historic environment desk-based assessment. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/ClfAS%26GDBA_4.pdf
- Chartered Institute for Archaeologists. (2022). Code of conduct: professional ethics in archaeology. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/Code%20of%20conduct%20revOct2022.pdf
- Chartered Institute for Archaeologists. (2023, December). *Universal guidance for archaeological field evaluation*. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/Universal%20guidance%20for%20archaeological%20field%20evaluation.pdf
- CITiZAN. (2022). Retrieved july 09, 2024, from Searching Sandwich Bay: https://citizan.org.uk/blog/2022/Aug/17/searching-sandwich-bay/
- CITiZAN. (2023). Coastal Map. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://citizan.org.uk/interactive-coastal-map/
- Clark, P. (2004). The Dover Bronze Age Boat. Swindon: English Heritage.
- Cohen, K. M., MacDonald, K., Joordens, J. C., Roebroeks, W., & Gibbard, P. L. (2012). The Earliest Occupation of North-West Europe: a Coastal Perspective. *Quaternary International*, 271, p.70-83.
- Coles, B. J. (1998). Doggerland: a speculative survey. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*, Vol. 64, pp. 45-81.
- Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 c.48. (1988). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1988/48/contents
- Council of Europe. (1992). Convention for the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage of Europe (revised) (Valletta, 1992). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.coe.int/en/web/culture-and-heritage/valletta-convention
- Council of Europe. (2000). European Landscape Convention. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://rm.coe.int/1680080621
- Cvetkovic, T., & Band, L. (2022). (Don't Let it) Slip through the net: CITiZAN investigations of fish traps at at Sandwich Bay, 2019-2022. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5284/1109688
- Department for Energy Security and Net Zero. (2023a). Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/65bbfbdc709fe1000f637052/overarching-nps-for-energy-en1.pdf

- Department for Energy Security and Net Zero. (2023b). National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/64252f5f2fa848000cec0f52/NPS_EN-3.pdf
- Department for Energy Security and Net Zero. (2023c). *National Policy Statement for Electrical Networks Infrastructure (EN-5)*. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/64252f852fa848000cec0f53/NPS_EN-5.pdf
- Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. (2009). Our Seas A shared resource:

 High level marine objectives. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from

 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment
 data/file/182486/ourseas-2009update.pdf
- Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. (2011). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from UK Marine Policy Statement: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a795700ed915d042206795b/pb3654-marine-policy-statement-110316.pdf
- Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. (2014). East Inshore and East Offshore Marine Plans. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment data/file/312496/east-plan.pdf
- Department for Environment, Food, and Rural Affairs. (2021). South East Inshore Marine Plan. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment data/file/1004493/FINAL South East Marine Plan 1 .pdf
- Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities. (2023). *National Planning Policy Framework*. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/65a11af7e8f5ec000f1f8c46/NPPF_December 2023.pdf
- Dix, D., & Sturt, F. (2011). *The Relic Palaeo-landscapes of the Thames Estuary*. Southampton: University of Southampton for MALSF.
- Dover District Council. (2022). *Dover District Local Plan to 2040*. Retrieved October 15, 2024, from https://www.doverdistrictlocalplan.co.uk/uploads/pdfs/dover-district-local-plan-regulation-19-submission-document-oct-20221.pdf
- East Suffolk Council. (2020). Suffolk Coastal Local Plan Adopted 2020. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/Planning/Planning-Policy-and-Local-Plans/Suffolk-Coastal-Local-Plan/Adopted-Suffolk-Coastal-Local-Plan/East-Suffolk-Council-S
- Emu Ltd. (2009). *Outer Thames Estuary Regional Environmental Characterisation*. MALSF, Crown Copyright 2009, ISBN 978-00907545-28-9.
- English Heritage. (1998). *Identifying and Protecting Palaeolithic Remains: Archaeological Guidance for Planning Authorities and Developers*. Swindon: English Heritage.
- English Heritage. (2000). Managing Lithic Scatters: Archaeological Guidance for planning authorities and developers. Swindon: English Heritage.
- English Heritage. (2002). Military Aircraft Crash Sites: Archaeological guidance on their significance and future management. Swindon: English Heritage.
- English Heritage. (2008). Conservation Principles, Policies and Guidance for the sustainable management of the historic environment. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/conservation-principles-sustainable-management-historic
 - environment/conservationprinciplespoliciesandguidanceapril08web/

- English Heritage. (2011). Environmental Archaeology: A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post-excavation (second edition). Swindon: English Heritage.
- Fenwick, V., & Gale, A. (1998). *Historic Shipwrecks: Discovered, Protected and Investigated.*Tempus Publishing Ltd.
- Fjordr. (2014). East Coast War Channels in the First and Second World War. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://historicengland.org.uk/research/results/reports/7017/EastCoastWarChannelsinthe FirstandSecondWorldWars
- Gaffney, V., Thomson, K., & Fitch, S. (2007). Mapping Doggerland: The Mesolithic Landscapes of the Southern North Sea. Oxford: Oxford Archaeopress.
- Godwin, H., & Godwin, M. E. (1933). British Maglemose Harpoon Sites. *Antiquity*, 7, pp.36-48. Gould, S. (1997). Research and Archaeology: A Framework for the Eastern Counties, 1. *East Anglian Archaeology, Occasional Paper no. 3*, pp. 73-79.
- GOV.UK. (2019). Historic environment: Advises on enhancing and conserving hte historic environment. Retrieved July 18, 2024, from https://www.gov.uk/guidance/conserving-and-enhancing-the-historic-environment
- GOV.UK. (2024). Planning Practice Guidance: The National Planning Policy Framework and relevant planning practice guidance. Retrieved July 18, 2024, from https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/planning-practice-guidance
- Gribble, J., & Leather, S. (2011). Offshore Geotechnical Investigations and Historic Environment Analysis: Guidance for the Renewable Energy Sector. Southampton: Emu.
- Heamagi, C. (2017). Gunfleet Sands 3 Demonstration Project Stage 4 Geoarchaeological Analysis Report. Retrieved July 23, 2024, from Archaeology Data Service: https://doi.org/10.5284/1043488
- Hegarty, C., & Newsome, S. (2005). *The Archaeology of the Suffolk Coast and Inter-tidal Zone. A report for the National Mapping Programme*. Bury St Edmunds/Swindon: Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service/ English Heritage.
- Hewitt, N. (2008). Coastal Convoys 1939-1945: The Indestructible Highway. Norwich: Pen and Sword Maritime.
- Historic England. (2015). *Managing Significance in Decision-Taking in the Historic Environment:*Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning 2. Swindon: Historic England.
- Historic England. (2015a). Geoarchaeology: Using Earth Sciences to Understand the Archaeological Record. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/geoarchaeology-earth-sciences-to-understand-archaeological-record/heag067-geoarchaeology/
- Historic England. (2016). Preserving Archaeological Remains: Decision-taking for Sites under Development. Swindon: Historic England.
- Historic England. (2017a). The Setting of Heritage Assets Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning: 3. Swindon: Historic England.
- Historic England. (2017b). Conservation Principles for the Sustainable Management of the Historic Environment. Consultation Draft 10 November 2017. Swindon: Historic England.
- Historic England. (2017c). Ships and Boats: Prehistory to Present Selection Guide. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/dsg-ships-boats/heag143-ships-and-boats-prehistory-to-present-sg/
- Historic England. (2019). Statements of Heritage Significance: Analysing Significance in Heritage Assets: Historic England Advice Note 12. Swindon: Historic England.
- Historic England. (2020). Deposit Modelling and Archaeology Guidance for Mapping Buried Deposits. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/deposit-modelling-and-archaeology/heag272-deposit-modelling-and-archaeology/

- Historic England. (2023). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from Characterising Historic Seascape: https://historicengland.org.uk/research/methods/characterisation/historic-seascapes/
- Historic England. (2023). Curating the Palaeolithic. Swindon: Historic England.
- Housley, R. A. (1991). AMS Dates from the Late Glacial and Early Postglacial in North-West Europe: A Review. In N. Barton, A. J. Roberts, & D. Roe, *The Late Glacial in North-West Europe: Human Adaptation and Environmental Change at the End of the Pleistocene* (pp. 25-36). London: Council for British Archaeology.
- Hublin, J.-J., Weston, D., & Gunz, P. (2009). Out of the North Sea: the Zeeland Ridges Neandertal. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 57, 777-785.
- Jacobi, R., & Higham, T. (2011a). The Later Upper Palaeolithic Recolonisation of Britain: New Results from AMS Radiocarbon Dating. In N. Ashton, S. G. Lewis, & C. Stringer, *The Ancient Human Occupation of Britain* (pp. Vol. 14, pp.223–247). Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier B.V.
- Jacobi, R., & Higham, T. (2011b). The British earlier Upper Palaeolithic: settlement and chronology. *Developments in Quaternary Sciences*, 14, pp.181-222.
- Joint Nautical Archaeology Policy Committee. (2006). Code of Practice for Seabed Developers, Joint Nautical Archaeology Policy Committee. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://jnapc.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/10/code-of-practice-second-edition.pdf
- Land Use Consultants. (2017). Historic Seascape Characterisation (HSC): Consolidating the National HSC Database.
- Lewis, S. G., Ashton, N., & Jacobi, R. (2011). Testing Human Presence during the Last Interglacial (MIS 5e): A Review of the British Evidence. In N. Ashton, S. G. Lewis, & C. Stringer, *The Ancient Human Occupation of Britain* (pp. Vol.14, pp.125-247). Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier.
- Limpenny, S. E., Barrio Froján, C., Cotterill, C., Foster-Smith, R. L., Pearce, B., Tizzard, L., . . Law, R. J. (2011). *The East Coast Regional Environmental Characterisation*. MEPF.
- Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 c.23. (2009). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2009/23/contents
- Marsden, P. (1996). Ships of the Port of London, Twelfth to seventeenth centuries AD. English Heritage Archaeological Report 5.
- McGrail, S. (2004). Boats of the World: From the Stone Age to medieval Times. Oxford: University Press.
- Merchant Shipping Act 1995 c.21. (1995). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1995/21/contents
- Merritt, O., Parham, D., & McElvogue, D. (2007). Enhancing our Understanding of the Marine Historic Environment. Navigational Hazards Project Final Report for English Heritage. Bournemouth University: Aggregates Levy Sustainability Fund.
- Milne, G. (2003). The Port of Medieval London. Oxbow.
- Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government. (2024). *National Planning Policy Framework (under consultation)*. Retrieved October 15, 2024, from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/66acffddce1fd0da7b593274/NPPF_with_f ootnotes.pdf
- MMT. (2021). South East Anglia Link Marine Survey Geophysical Survey. Reading: unpublished report ref. 103748-NAT-MMT-SUR-REP-SURVEYRE.
- Momber, G., Tomalin, D., Scaife, R., Satchell, J., Gillespie, J., & Heathcote, J. (2011).

 Mesolithic Occupation at Bouldnor Cliff and the Submerged Prehistoric Landscapes of the Solent. Retrieved July 23, 2024, from Archaeological Data Service: https://doi.org/10.5284/1081835
- National Grid. (2022). Sea Link: Environmental Impact Assessment Scoping Report. National Grid. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://national-infrastructure-consenting.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/EN020026/documents

- National Grid. (2023a). Sea Link: Preliminary Environmental Information Report. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.nationalgrid.com/the-great-grid-upgrade/sea-link/document-library
- National Grid. (2023b). Sea Link. Landfall Archaeological Walkover Surveys: Risk Assessment Method Statement.
- National Grid. (2023c). Sea Link. Landfall Archaeological Walkvoer Surveys: Risk Assessment Method Statement.
- National Grid. (2024). Sea Link. Kent landfall Archaeological Walkover Survey: Risk Assessment Method Statement.
- National Heritage Act 2002 c.14. (2002). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2002/14/contents
- Natural England. (2010). All Landscapes Matter.
- Nautical Archaeology Society. (2024, September 03). Sandwich Flats Foreshore Fieldwork.

 Retrieved from https://www.nauticalarchaeologysociety.org/sandwich-flats-foreshore-fieldwork
- Nayling, N., & McGrail, S. (2004). *The Barlands Farm Romano-Celtic Boat. CBA Research Report 138.* Council for British Archaeology.
- Next Geo. (2024). Sea Link Marine Cable Route Survey, Volume 6 Geophysical Operations Report . Unpublished survey report, ref: P2097-010-REP-006.
- Parfitt, S. A., Ashton, N. M., Lewis, S. G., Abel, R. L., Coope, G. R., Field, M. H., . . . Stringer, C. B. (2010). Early Pleistocene Human Occupation at the Edge of the Boreal Zone in Northwest Europe. *Nature*, 466(7303), p.229-33.
- Parfitt, S. A., Barendregt, R. W., Breda, M., Candy, I., Collins, M. J., Coope, G. R., . . . Stuart, A. J. (2005). The Earliest Record of Human Activity in Northern Europe. *Nature*, 438(7070), p. 1008-12.
- Perkins, D. (1997). An Island Gateway. In M. Cats, & D. Chamberlain, *The Maritime Heritage of Thanet. East Kent Maritime Trust* (pp. 4-16). East Kent Maritime Trust.
- Pettitt, P., & White, M. J. (2012). The British Palaeolithic: Human Societies at the Edge of the Pleistocene World. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 c.9. (1990). Retrieved July 17, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1990/9/contents
- Planning Act 2008 c.29. (2008). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2008/29/contents
- Plets, R., Dix, J., & Bates, R. (2013). *Marine geophysics data acquisition, processing and interpretation: Guidance notes.* London: English Heritage.
- Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 c.35. (1986). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1986/35/contents
- Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 c.33. (1973). Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1973/33/contents
- Ransley, J., Sturt, F., Dix, J., Adams, J., & Blue, L. (2013). *People and the Sea: A Maritime Archaeological Research Agenda for England.* York: Council for British Archaeology Research Report 171.
- Reid, C. (1913). Submerged Forests. London: Cambridge University Press.
- Scott, B., & Ashton, N. (2011). The Early Middle Palaeolithic: The European Context. In N. Ashton, S. G. Lewis, & C. Stringer, *The Ancient Human Occupation of Britain*. (pp. Volume 14, 91–112). Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier B.V.
- SEP Hydrographic. (2024). SEP Hydrographic 2024, Sea Link Land Geophysical Survey, Operations and Field Report. Unpublished report, ref: 2023-022.
- Shennan, I., & Horton, B. (2002). Holocene land- and sea-level changes in Great Britain. *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 17(5-6), pp.511-526.

- Stoker, M. S., Balson, P. S., Long, D., & Tappin, D. R. (2011). An overview of the lithostratigraphic framework for the Quaternary deposits of the United Kingdom continental shelf. British geological Survey Research Report, 48.
- Sturt, F., & Dix, J. K. (2009). The Outer Thames Estuary Regional Environmental Characterisation. Published through the ALSF.
- Sturt, F., Garrow, D., & Bradley, S. (2013). New models of North West European Holocene palaeogeography and inundation. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 40, 3963-3976.
- Sumbler, M. G. (1996). British Regional Geology; London and the Thames Valley. London: HMSO.
- Tappin, D. R., Pearce, B., Fitch, S., Dove, D., Gearey, B., Hill, J. M., . . . Fielding, H. (2011). *The Humber Regional Environmental Characterisation*. British Geological Survey Open Report OR/10/54.
- Thanet District Council. (2020). Local Plan Adopted July 2020. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.thanet.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/LP-adjusted.pdf
- The Crown Estate. (2021). Archaeological Written Schemes of Investigation for Offshore Wind Farm Projects. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://www.thecrownestate.co.uk/media/3917/guide-to-archaeological-requirements-for-offshore-wind.pdf
- Tizzard, L., Bicket, A. R., Benjamin, J., & De Loecker, D. (2014). A Middle Palaeolithic Site in the Southern North Sea: Investigating the Archaeology and Palaeogeography of Area 240. *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 29, pp.698–710.
- Tizzard, L., Bicket, A. R., Benjamin, J., & De Loecker, D. (2015). A Middle Palaeolithic Site in the Southern North Sea: Investigating the Archaeology and Palaeogeography of Area 240. Salisbury: Wessex Archaeology Monograph 35.
- UNESCO. (2021). *Underwater Cultural Heritage 2001 Convention*. Retrieved November 04, 2025, from https://en.unesco.org/underwater-heritage/2001
- Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd. (2018a). Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm. Annex 13-1: Marine Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment Technical Report. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010084/EN010084-000646-6.4.13.1 TEOW MarineArchDBA.pdf
- Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd. (2018b). Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm. Annex 13-2:
 Archaeological Review of Geophysical and Geotechnical Data. Retrieved September 05, 2024, from https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010084/EN010084-000647-6.4.13.2 TEOW MarineArchGeo.pdf
- Wessex Archaeology. (2006). On the Importance of Shipwrecks. Retrieved July 09, 2024, from https://doi.org/10.5284/1000313
- Wessex Archaeology. (2007). Historic Environment Guidance for the Offshore Renewable Energy Sector. COWRIE Ltd. Retrieved October 16, 2024, from https://www.wessexarch.co.uk/sites/default/files/field_file/COWRIE_2007_Wessex_%20-%20archaeo %20guidance Final 1-2-07.pdf
- Wessex Archaeology. (2008a). Aircraft Crash Sites at Sea: A Scoping Study. Archaeological Desk-based Assessment. Salisbury: unpublished report ref. 666410.02.
- Wessex Archaeology. (2008b). Selection Guide: Boats and Ships in Archaeological Contexts. Salisbury: unpublished report.
- Wessex Archaeology. (2008c). Marine Class Descriptions and Principles of Selection in Aggregate Areas. Retrieved August 06, 2024, from Historic England: https://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archiveDS/archiveDownload?t=arch-856-1/dissemination/pdf/ALSF Marine Selection Report 050208.pdf

- Wessex Archaeology. (2010). AODA Marine Aggregate Regional Environmental Assessment. Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment: Final Report. Salisbury: unpublished report ref. 73330.02.
- Wessex Archaeology. (2013). Audit of Current State of Knowledge of Submerged Palaeolandscapes and Sites. Salisbury: Wessex Archaeology.
- Wessex Archaeology. (2016). Nemo Link Stage 4 Analysis. Salisbury: Wessex Archaeology.
- Wessex Archaeology. (2021). Aggregate Area 528: Palaeolandscape assessment of geophysical and geotechnical data. Salisbury: Wessex Archaeology.
- White, M. J., & Jacobi, R. M. (2002). Two sides to every story: bout coupé handaxes revisited. Oxford Journal of Archaeology, 21(2), pp.109-133.
- White, M. J., Scott, B., & Ashton, N. (2006). The Early Middle Palaeolithic period in Britain: archaeology, settlement history and human behaviour. *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 21(5), pp.525–42.
- Williams, N. J. (1988). *Maritime Trade of the East Anglian Ports 1550-1590.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Wymer, J. J. (1999). *The Lower Palaeolithic Occupation of Britain*. Salisbury: Wessex Archaeology and English Heritage.

Annex 6.A.1: Terminology

Formatted: NG Appendix Title

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm

Glossary

The terminology used in this assessment follows definitions contained within Annex 2 of the UK's National Planning Policy Framework (Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities, 2023, pp. 67-76).

| Term | Definition |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Archaeological interest | There will be archaeological interest in a heritage asset if it holds, or potentially may hold, evidence of past human activity worthy of expert investigation at some point. Heritage assets with archaeological interest are the primary source of evidence about the substance and evolution of places, and of the people and cultures that made them. |
| Conservation (for heritage policy) | The process of maintaining and managing change to a heritage asset in a way that sustains and, where appropriate, enhances its significance. |
| Designated heritage assets | World Heritage Sites, Scheduled Monuments, Listed Buildings, Protected Wreck Sites, Registered Park and Gardens, Registered Battlefields and Conservation Areas designated under the relevant legislation. |
| Development Plan | This includes adopted Local Plans, neighbourhood plans and the London Plan, and is defined in section 38 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. |
| Environment Impact Assessment | A procedure to be followed for certain types of projects to ensure that decisions are made in full knowledge of any likely significant effects on the environment. |
| Heritage asset | A building monument, site, place, area or landscape identified as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions, because of its heritage interest. Heritage assets include designated heritage assets and assets identified by the local planning authority (including local listing). |
| Heritage coast | Areas of undeveloped coastline which are managed to conserve their natural beauty and, where appropriate, to improve accessibility for visitors. |
| Historic environment | All aspects of the environment resulting from the interaction between people and places through time, including all surviving physical remains of past human activity, whether visible, buried or submerged, and landscaped and planted or managed flora. |
| Historic environment record | Information services that seek to provide access to comprehensive and dynamic resources relating to the historic environment of a defined geographic area for public benefit and use. |

| Term | Definition | |
|--|---|--|
| Setting of a heritage asset | The surroundings in which a heritage asset is experienced. Its extent is not fixed and may change as the asset and its surroundings evolve. Elements of setting may make a positive or negative contribution to the significance of an asset, may affect the ability to appreciate that significance or may be neutral. | |
| Significance (for heritage policy) | The value of a heritage asset to this and future generations because of its heritage interest. That interest may be archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic. Significance derives not only from a heritage asset's physical presence, but also from its setting. | |
| Strategic environmental assessment | A procedure (set out in the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004) which requires the formal environmental assessment of certain plans and programmes which are likely to have significant effects on the environment. | |
| Value | An aspect of worth or importance. | |

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Chronology

Where referred to in the text, the main archaeological periods in Britain are broadly defined by the following date ranges:

| Prehistoric | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Palaeolithic | 970,000 - 9500 BCE |
| Lower Palaeolithic | 970,000 - 300,000 BCE |
| Middle Palaeolithic | 300,000 - 40,000 BCE |
| Upper Palaeolithic | 40,000 - 10,000 BCE |
| Late Upper Palaeolithic | 12,000 - 9500 BCE |
| Early Post-glacial | 9500 - 8500 BCE |
| Mesolithic | 8500 - 4000 BCE |
| Neolithic | 4000 - 2400 BCE |
| Bronze Age | 2400 - 700 BCE |
| Iron Age | 700 BCE - AD 43 |
| Historic | |
| Romano-British | AD 43 - 410 |
| Saxon | AD 410 - 1066 |
| Medieval | AD 1066 - 1500 |
| Post-medieval | AD 1500 - 1800 |
| 19th Century | AD 1800 - 1899 |
| Modern | AD 1900 - present day |

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm

Formatted Table

The geological and chronostratigraphic periods referred to in the text, including British chronostratigraphy and corresponding Marine Isotope Stages (MIS), are outlined as follows:

| Geological period | Chronostratigraphy | | Age (ka) | MIS |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| Holocene | Holocene intergla | cial | 11.7 – present | 1 |
| Late Pleistocene | Devensian Glaciation | Loch Lomond Stadial | 11.7 – 12.9 | 2 – 5d |
| | | Windermere Interstadial | 12.9 – 15 | |
| | | Dimlington Stadial | 15 – 26 | |
| | | Upton Warren Interstadial | 40 – 43 | |
| | | Early Devensian | 60 – 110 | |
| | Ipswichian interglacial | 115 – 130 | 5e | |
| Middle Pleistocene | | Unnamed cold stage | 130-374 | 6 |
| | | Avery interglacial | | 7 |
| | | Unnamed cold stage | | 8 |
| | | Purfleet interglacial | | 9 |
| | | Unnamed cold stage | | 10 |
| | Hoxnian interglacial | | 374 – 424 | 11 |
| | Anglian glaciation | | 424 – 478 | 12 |
| | Cromerian Complex | | 478 – 780 | 13 |

Formatted Table

Annex 6.A.2: Legislation, Policy and Guidance

Designated Heritage Assets

| Designation | Associated legislation | Overview | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| World Heritage Sites - | | The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) World Heritage Committee inscribes World Heritage Sites for their Outstanding Universal Value (OUV) describing these sites as having "cultural and/or natural significance which is so exceptional as to transcend national boundaries and to be of common importance for present and future generations of all humanity". England protects its World Heritage Sites and their settings, including any buffer zones or equivalent, through the statutory designation process and through the planning system. The National Planning Policy Framework sets out detailed policies for the conservation and enhancement of the historic environment, including World Heritage Sites, through both plan-making and decision-taking. | | | |
| Scheduled Monuments and Areas of Archaeological Importance | Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 (as amended) (Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 c.46) | Under the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 (as amended), the Secretary of State (DCMS) can schedule any site which appears to be of national importance because of its historic, architectural, traditional, artistic or archaeological interest. This Act is primarily land based, but in recent years it has also been used to provide some level of protection for underwater sites. Scheduled Monuments and Areas of Archaeological Importance (AAIs or their equivalent) are afforded statutory protection by the Secretary of State, and consent is required for any major works. The law is administered by Historic England and the Department of Culture, Media and Sport. Additional controls are placed upon works affecting Scheduled Monuments and Areas of Archaeological Importance under the Act. | | | |
| Protected Wreck Sites | Protection of Wrecks Act 1973: Section One and Two (Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 c.33) | The Protection of Wrecks Act 1973 allows the Secretary of State to designate a restricted area around a wreck to prevent uncontrolled interference. These statutorily protected areas are likely to contain the remains of a vessel, or its contents, which are of historical, artistic or archaeological importance. Section | | | |

Formatted: NG Appendix Title

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm

| Designation | Associated legislation | Overview |
|--|---|--|
| | | One of the Act protects wrecks and wreckage of historical, archaeological or artistic importance can be protected by way of designation, whereby it is an offence to undertake certain activities in a defined area surrounding a wreck that has been designated, unless a licence for those activities has been obtained. Section Two of the Act provides protection for wrecks that are designated as dangerous due to their contents and is administered by the Maritime and Coastguard Agency through the Receiver of Wreck. |
| Protected Places and Controlled Sites | Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 (Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 c.35) | The Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 provides protection for selected designated military vessels and for all aircraft that crashed while in military service. The Act provides two types of protection: Protected Places (wrecks designated by name and can be designated even if the location of the site is not known) and Controlled Sites (sites designated by location – covers wrecks within the last 200 years). It is illegal to disturb or remove anything from these sites. Protected Places can be visited by divers, but the rule is look but do not touch. For Controlled Sites it is illegal to conduct any operations (including diving or excavation) within the extent of the site unless licensed to do so by the Ministry of Defence. |
| Listed Buildings | Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 (Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 c.9) | In England, under Section 1 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, the Secretary of State is required to compile lists of buildings of special architectural or historic interest, on advice from English Heritage/Historic England. Works affecting Listed Buildings are subject to additional planning controls administered by Local Planning Authorities. Historic England is a statutory consultee in certain works affecting Listed Buildings. Under certain circumstances, Listed Building Consent is required for works affecting Listed Buildings. |
| Conservation Areas | Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 (Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 c.9) | A Conservation Area is an area which has been designated because of its special architectural or historic interest, the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance. In most cases, Conservation Areas are designated by Local Planning Authorities. Section 72 (1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 requires authorities to have regard to the fact that there is a Conservation Area when exercising any of their functions under the Planning Acts and to pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of Conservation Areas. |

| Designation | Associated legislation | Overview |
|--|--|---|
| | | Although a locally administered designation, Conservation Areas may nevertheless be of national importance and significant developments within a Conservation Area are referred to Historic England. |
| Registered Parks and Gardens and Registered Battlefields | National Heritage Act 1983 (National Heritage Act 2002 c.14) | The Register of Parks and Gardens was established under the National Heritage Act 1983. The Battlefields Register was established in 1995. Both Registers are administered by Historic England. These designations are non-statutory but are, nevertheless, material considerations in the planning process. Historic England and The Garden's Trust (formerly known as The Garden History Society) are statutory consultees in works affecting Registered Parks and Gardens. |

Other relevant Legislation and Policy

| Legislation/Policy | Overview |
|--|--|
| Marine and Coastal Areas Act 2009 (Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 c.23) | This Act is the primary legislation relevant to marine development plans, with the requirement for marine licensing. Under this legislation, marine plans must be consistent with the Marine Policy Statement (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, 2011), which outline high level objectives for marine planning and direct development of the Plan at a local level. Marine plans must also be in accordance with other relevant national policy. Under the Act, the England's inshore and offshore waters have been divided into 11 plan areas. Part 4 of the Act contains the framework for the regulatory regime for Marine Licensing, overseen by the MMO. |
| Merchant Shipping Act 1995 (Merchant Shipping Act 1995 c.21) | This Act sets out the procedures for determining the ownership of underwater finds that turn out to be 'wreck', defined as any flotsam, jetsam, derelict and lagan found in or on the shores of the sea or any tidal water. It includes ship, aircraft, hovercraft, parts of these, their cargo or equipment. If any such finds are brought ashore, the salvor is required to give notice to the Receiver of Wreck. This Act is administered by the Maritime and Coastguard Agency. |
| National Heritage Act 2002 (National Heritage Act 2002 c.14) | This Act extended the powers of the Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission (HBMC, more commonly known as Historic England) to encompass underwater archaeology within the territorial waters of the UK. |

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm

| Legislation/Policy | Overview |
|---|--|
| National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1) (Department for Energy Security and Net Zero, 2023a) | This National Policy Statement (NPS) sets out the national policy for energy infrastructure and the importance of archaeological assessment in the development process. The relevant section is Section 5.9: Historic Environment. |
| National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3) (Department for Energy Security and Net Zero, 2023b) | EN-3, taken together with the overarching NPS (EN-1), provides the primary basis for decisions by the Planning Inspectorate on renewable energy infrastructure development applications. It sets out the importance of the historic environment and the ways it can be impacted be development, outlines guidance for application assessments, Planning Inspectorate decision making and mitigation measures. Specific sections regarding the marine historic environment include paragraphs 2.8.165 to 2.8.177, paragraphs 2.8.252 to 2.8.258, paragraph 2.8.325, paragraphs 2.10.107 to 2.10.119 and paragraph 2.10.160. |
| National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-5) (Department for Energy Security and Net Zero, 2023c) | EN-5, taken together with the overarching NPS (EN-1) provides for decision making on above ground electricity lines of 132kv and over and other electricity networks associated with a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project e.g. substations and converted stations. Specific sections regarding the historic environment include 2.2.10 and 2.9.19. |
| UNESCO Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage (UNESCO, 2021) | The UNESCO Convention was concluded in 2001 and is a comprehensive attempt to codify the law internationally, with regards to underwater cultural heritage. The UK (including the Isle of Man and Bailiwick of Guernsey) abstained in the vote on the final draft of the Convention, however it has stated that it has adopted the Annex of the Convention, which governs the conduct of archaeological investigations, as best practice for archaeology. The Annex of the convention suggests preservation <i>in situ</i> as best archaeological |
| | practice. Although the UK is not a signatory, the Convention entered into force on 2nd January 2009, having been signed or ratified by 20 member states. To date, the Convention has been ratified by 71–80 countries. |
| Convention for the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage of Europe (revised), (Valletta Convention) (Council of Europe, 1992) | The UK including the Isle of Man ratified the Convention for the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage of Europe (revised), known as the Valletta Convention, in 2000, putting it into force the following year. The convention binds the State to implement protective measures for the archaeological heritage within the jurisdiction of each party, including sea areas. Insofar as the UK exerts jurisdiction over the Continental Shelf, then it would appear that the provisions of the Valletta Convention apply to that jurisdiction. The Articles of the Valletta Convention tackle various aspects. Article 1 deals with the inventorying and protection of sites and areas; Article 2 deals with the mandatory reporting of chance finds and providing for 'archaeological reserves' on land or underwater; Article 3 promotes high standards for all archaeological |

| Legislation/Policy | Overview |
|--------------------|--|
| | work undertaken by suitably qualified people; Article 4 requires the conservation of excavated sites and the safe-keeping of finds; and Article 5 is concerned with consultation that should take place between planning authorities and developers to avoid damage to archaeological remains. |

Annex 6.A.3: Paleogeographic Features of Archaeological Potential

^{*} metres below sea_floor

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological Discrimination | Depth Ran | ige (mBSF)* | Description |
|-------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------|---|
| | | | From | То | |
| 75009 | Acoustic blanking | P2 | 0.4 | 10.0 | An extensive area of acoustic blanking, the feature is seen to disrupt the surrounding horizons and is situated beneath modern seabed sediments. Potentially indicates the presence of shallow gas which may in turn indicate the presence of organic matter, although it potentially originates from deposits in the Red Crag Formation, which is not considered of archaeological interest. Therefore, the feature is not definitively of palaeoenvironmental or archaeological interest, however it has been retained as a precaution. |
| 75006 | Channel | P1 | 0.2 | 8.7 | A channel that has a fill characterised by strong parallel reflectors, it is sometimes chaotic and acoustically unstructured. The |

Formatted: NG Appendix Title

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological | Depth Ran | ge (mBSF)* | Description |
|-------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|------------|---|
| | | Discrimination | From | То | |
| | | | | | feature has areas of acoustic blanking visible (75007), that may indicate the microbial breakdown of organic matter. A vibrocore taken from the fill this feature indicates the presence of peat in VC-S6-005 between 1.74-2.1 m. The feature may represent a buried palaeochannel, and the infill deposits may contain material of palaeoenvironmental interest. |
| 5007 | Acoustic blanking | P2 | 0.7 | 3.2 | An area of acoustic blanking, the feature is seen to disrupt the surrounding horizons of channel 75006 and is situated beneath modern seabed sediments. The presence of shallow gas may indicate the microbial breakdown of organic matter, it appears that the feature may have originated from deposits in channel 75006, where peat has been identified in vibrocore VC-S6-005 between 1.74 - 2.16 m, suggesting the infill deposits may contain material of palaeoenvironmental interest. |

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological Discrimination | Depth Ran | nge (mBSF)* | Description |
|-------|----------------|----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|---|
| | | | From | То | |
| 75010 | Cut and fill | P2 | 0.4 | 2.5 | A simple cut and fill with a distinct and undulating basal reflector, the fill is acoustically unstructured and similar to the unit it cuts into, possibly London Clay. The feature is situated below a thin veneer of modern seabed sediments. May represent a remnant fluvial feature or it may be modern infilled sediments and of no archaeological or palaeoenvironmental interest; however, as the origin of the feature cannot be confirmed without further investigation, it has been retained as a precaution. |
| 75011 | Cut and fill | P2 | 0.3 | 2.0 | A simple cut and fill with steeply sloping sides and a distinct basal reflector, the feature has an acoustically unstructured fill much like the unit it cuts into, possible London Clay. May represent a remnant fluvial feature or it may be modern infilled sediments and of no archaeological or palaeoenvironmental interest; however, as the origin of the |

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological | Depth Ran | ige (mBSF)* | Description |
|-------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|---|
| | | Discrimination | From | То | |
| | | | | | feature cannot be confirmed without further investigation, it has been retained as a precaution. |
| 75012 | Cut and fill | P2 | 0.3 | 3.2 | A simple cut and fill with a slightly undulating, distinct basal reflector. The feature possibly has two fills, the lower fill is chaotic and upper more acoustically unstructured. The feature is situated below a thin veneer of modern seabed sediments and within possible London Clay. May represent a remnant fluvial feature or it may be modern infilled sediments and of no archaeological or palaeoenvironmental interest; however, as the origin of the feature cannot be confirmed without further investigation, it has been retained as a precaution. |
| 75013 | Cut and fill | P2 | 0.6 | 4.2 | A simple cut and fill with an undulating basal reflector, that is distinct on some lines. The fill is acoustically unstructured and similar to the unit it cuts into, possible London Clay. The feature is situated below a |

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological | Depth Ran | ge (mBSF)* | Description |
|-------|----------------|----------------|-----------|------------|--|
| | | Discrimination | From | То | |
| | | | | | thin veneer of modern seabed sediments. May represent a remnant fluvial feature or it may be modern infilled sediments and of no archaeological or palaeoenvironmental interest; however, as the origin of the feature cannot be confirmed without further investigation, it has been retained as a precaution. |
| 75036 | Cut and fill | P2 | 0.4 | 4.2 | A possible poorly defined cut and fill feature cut into the underlying stratigraphy. Characterised by a poorly defined basal reflector and a single phase of weakly acoustically layered fill. Overlain by a small sand bank. Potentially the remnants of an eroded fluvial feature, but could be internal reflectors. |
| 75037 | Channel | P1 | 0.2 | 5.6 | A distinct channel feature cut into the underlying London Clay Formation. Characterised by a distinct basal reflector and single phase of generally acoustically layered fill. The upper layer is erosive and in one place the feature has been |

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological | Depth Ran | ige (mBSF)* | Description |
|-------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|--|
| | | Discrimination | From | То | |
| | | | | | partially scoured and infilled by marine sediment, and in another is scoured out around wreck 70117 on the surface. This indicates a fill softer than the surrounding London Clay. Vibrocore VC-021, taken outside the current study areaStudy Area but from within what is interpreted to be the same feature, identified soft slightly sandy silty clay with thin laminations of organic matter at 1.47 - 1.64 m. Probably a buried fluvial channel - based on regional bathymetry data, this appears to be the offshore extension of the River Stour (Suffolk), which has been identified within numerous offshore development areas within the region. |
| 75018 | Channel | P1 | 0.2 | 5.4 | A small section of a larger channel feature mainly identified outside the current study areaStudy Area to the southwest. The feature has a distinct, undulating basal reflector two fills in places, the lower fill is chaotic and the upper fill has parallel internal |

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological | Depth Range (mBSF)* | | Description |
|-------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|-----|--|
| | | Discrimination | From | То | |
| | | | | | reflectors. The upper fill is visible between 0.2 - 1.9 m BSB. The feature is situated below a thin veneer of modern seabed sediments and cuts into London Clay. The feature has the potential to represent a buried palaeochannel, and the infill deposits may contain material of palaeoenvironmental interest. |
| 75019 | Cut and fill | P2 | 0.2 | 2.1 | A simple cut and fill feature with an undulating basal reflector, the fill is acoustically unstructured. The feature is situated below a thin layer of modern seabed sediments and cutting into London Clay. May represent a remnant fluvial feature or it may be modern infilled sediments and of no archaeological or palaeoenvironmental interest; however, as the origin of the feature cannot be confirmed without further investigation, it has been retained as a precaution. |
| 75021 | Channel | P1 | 0.3 | 6.7 | A channel with an undulating, distinct basal reflector and two fills. The lower fill is generally |

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological | Depth Ran | nge (mBSF)* | Description |
|-------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|---|
| | | Discrimination | From | То | |
| | | | | | chaotic and the upper fill more acoustically unstructured. The upper fill of the feature is present between 0.4 and 3.2 m BSB. The feature is cutting into London Clay and situated below a thin layer of seabed sediments. The feature has the potential to represent a buried palaeochannel, and the infill deposits may contain material of palaeoenvironmental interest. Located within a bathymetric low visible within regional bathymetry data, potentially associated with channel 75020 and part of the same underfilled channel system. |
| 75020 | Channel | P1 | 0.3 | 3.9 | A channel feature with a distinct basal reflector, the fill is characterised by parallel internal reflectors. The feature cuts into London Clay and situated below modern seabed sediments. In the MBES data this feature is visible as a channel orientated north to south. The feature has the potential to represent a buried palaeochannel, and the infill deposits may contain material |

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological | Depth Ran | ge (mBSF)* | Description |
|-------|----------------|----------------|-----------|------------|--|
| | | Discrimination | From | То | |
| | | | | | of palaeoenvironmental interest. Located within a bathymetric low visible within regional bathymetry data, potentially an underfilled channel. |
| 75022 | Channel | P1 | 0.3 | 6.1 | A channel with an indistinct basal reflector, the fill is generally chaotic and the feature has two possible high amplitude reflectors within it, that may contain sediments containing a high percentage of organic material, or peat. The lower reflector is present at 0.6 - 2.0 m and the upper reflector is present at 0.4 - 1.2 m. The feature is cutting into London Clay and situated below a layer of modern seabed sediments. The feature has the potential to represent a buried palaeochannel, and the infill deposits may contain material of palaeoenvironmental interest. Located close to channel features 75020 and 75021 and may be related. |
| 75023 | Cut and fill | P2 | 0.2 | 4.6 | A complex cut and fill that contains two fills, both fills are |

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological | Depth Ran | nge (mBSF)* | Description |
|-------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|---|
| | | Discrimination | From | То | |
| | | | | | acoustically unstructured and sometimes chaotic. The upper fill of the feature is present between 0.3 and 2.2 m BSB. The feature is cutting into London Clay. Vibrocore VC-043 taken within the fill of this feature contains oxidised shallow marine sands (1.0 - 4.7 m) below seabed sediments. May represent a remnant fluvial feature or it may be an internal feature and of no archaeological or palaeoenvironmental interest; however, as the origin of the feature cannot be confirmed without further investigation, it has been retained as a precaution. |
| 75024 | Cut and fill | P1 | 0.3 | 4.6 | A simple cut and fill feature with a chaotic fill and an indistinct basal reflector. The feature is cutting into London Clays. Vibrocore VC-046 taken within the fill of this feature contains alluvium - fine grained organic material between 0.3 - 2.3 m and is considered of medium potential. May represent a remnant fluvial |

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological | Depth Ran | ge (mBSF)* | Description | |
|-------|----------------|----------------|-----------|------------|--|--|
| | | Discrimination | From | То | | |
| | | | | | feature infilled with deposits of palaeoenvironmental potential | |
| 75026 | Cut and fill | P2 | 0.3 | 2.0 | A simple cut and fill feature with a fill characterised by a chaotic fill and a distinct basal reflector. The feature is cutting into London Clay. May be an internal feature and of no archaeological or palaeoenvironmental interest; however, has the potential of being remnant of a fluvial feature and therefore retained as a precaution. | |
| 75025 | Cut and fill | P2 | 0.3 | 2.9 | A simple cut and fill feature with a fill characterised by a fill that is chaotic and acoustically unstructured on some lines. The feature has a distinct basal reflector and is cutting into London Clay. May be an internal feature and of no archaeological or palaeoenvironmental interest; however, has the potential of being remnant of a fluvial feature and therefore retained as a precaution. | |
| 75028 | Cut and fill | P2 | 0.2 | 3.1 | A simple cut and fill with an indistinct basal reflector and a | |

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological | Depth Ran | ige (mBSF)* | Description |
|-------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|--|
| | | Discrimination | From | То | |
| | | | | | chaotic fill. The feature has an undulating basal reflector and is cutting into London Clay. The feature has the potential to represent a buried palaeochannel, and the infill deposits may contain material of palaeoenvironmental interest. |
| 75027 | Channel | P1 | 0.3 | 4.2 | A channel feature predominantly characterised by a chaotic fill, but parallel internal reflectors are visible in some places. The feature has a distinct undulating basal reflector cutting into an acoustically unstructured unit, and is situated below a thin layer of seabed sediments. Vibrocore VC-050 taken within the fill of this feature contains low strength grey slightly sandy silty clay with thin to thick laminae of black organic silty clay and bivalves between 2.9 - 3.5 m and greenish brown silty sand with few shell fragments between 4.0 - 4.4 m. Both units are classified as medium palaeoenvironmental potential. The feature has the potential to represent a buried |

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological | Depth Ran | nge (mBSF)* | Description |
|-------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|--|
| | | Discrimination | From | То | |
| | | | | | palaeochannel, and the infill deposits may contain material of palaeoenvironmental interest. |
| 75029 | Channel complex | P1 | 1.3 | 11.0 | A complex channel that has a fill characterised by distinct parallel internal reflectors The edges of the channel fill in places are more chaotic and some parts of the channel are acoustically unstructured. The feature is situated below modern seabed sediments and cuts into an acoustically unstructured unit. Two vibrocores taken within the fill of this feature contain shallow marine to coastal sediments including very thin beds of soft silty clay and thin laminae of black organic silt and shell fragments (VC-052 and VC-053) classified as medium palaeoenvironmental potential between 0.5 - 5.3 m and 0.3 - 5.5 m respectively. The feature has the potential to represent a buried palaeochannel, and the infill deposits may contain material of palaeoenvironmental interest. Located within the Outer |

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological | Depth Ran | ige (mBSF)* | Description |
|-------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|---|
| | | Discrimination | From | То | |
| | | | | | Thames estuary and potentially part of the offshore route of the Thames/Medway river system. |
| 75030 | Channel complex | P1 | 1.2 | 12.3 | A complex channel that has multiple fills, some parts of the feature are characterised by distinct and indistinct parallel internal reflectors, other parts are acoustically unstructured. The basal reflector is undulating and in parts distinct. In the basal fill of the feature on the channel edges some possible infilled depressions are visible. The feature is situated below modern seabed sediments and cuts into an acoustically unstructured unit. Two vibrocores taken within the fill of this channel contain shallow marine to coastal deposits of medium palaeovironmental potential in VC-057 and VC-058. May represent a possible fluvial braid plain feature of archaeological potential, and the infill deposits may contain material of palaeoenvironmental interest. Located within the Outer |

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological | Depth Ran | nge (mBSF)* | Description |
|-------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|---|
| | | Discrimination | From | То | |
| | | | | | Thames estuary and potentially part of the offshore route of the Thames/Medway river system. |
| 75031 | Channel complex | P1 | 0.8 | 7.9 | A complex channel feature with a distinct, undulating basal reflector, the feature has a lower fill that is characterised by distinct parallel internal reflectors, the upper fill is more chaotic and some parts of the fill are acoustically unstructured. The feature is situated below modern seabed sediments and cuts into an acoustically unstructured unit. Vibrocore 060 taken from the fill of this feature contains light brown soft slightly sandy silty clay with organic debris at 4.6 - 5.2 m and shallow marine to costal deposits of medium palaeoenvironmental potential. The feature has the potential to represent a buried palaeochannel, and the infill deposits may contain material of palaeoenvironmental interest. Located within the Outer Thames estuary and potentially part of the offshore |

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological | Depth Ran | nge (mBSF)* | Description |
|-------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|--|
| | | Discrimination | From | То | |
| | | | | | route of the Thames/Medway river system. |
| 75032 | Channel | P1 | 0.3 | 7.1 | A channel feature that has a single fill characterised by distinct parallel internal reflectors, the feature is cutting into bedrock and situated below a layer of seabed sediments. Vibrocore VC-061, taken within the fill of this feature contains alluvium - fine grained organic deposits between 2.7 - 3.6 m and is considered to be of medium palaeoenvironmental potential. The feature has the potential to represent a buried palaeochannel, and the infill deposits may contain material of palaeoenvironmental interest. Located within the Outer Thames estuary and potentially part of the offshore route of the Thames/Medway river system. |
| 75033 | Cut and fill | P2 | 0.3 | 4.5 | A simple cut and fill feature with an undulating basal reflector, the fill is characterised by indistinct parallel internal reflectors, the feature is situated below a thin |

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological | Depth Ran | ige (mBSF)* | Description |
|-------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|--|
| | | Discrimination | From | То | |
| | | | | | layer of modern seabed sediments and cutting into bedrock. Potentially the remnants of an eroded fluvial system. |
| 75038 | Cut and fill | P2 | 0.2 | 3.3 | A possible poorly defined cut and fill feature cut into the underlying stratigraphy. Characterised by a poorly defined basal reflector and a single phase of weakly acoustically layered fill. Potentially the remnants of an eroded fluvial feature, but could be internal reflectors. |
| 75034 | Channel | P1 | 0.1 | 5.6 | A channel feature that has a single fill characterised by distinct parallel internal reflectors, the feature is cutting into chalk and situated below a layer of seabed sediments. The feature is visible in the MBES data as a distinct depression at its southern end. The feature has the potential to represent an underfilled palaeochannel, and the infill deposits may contain material of palaeoenvironmental interest |

| WA ID | Classification | Archaeological Discrimination | Depth Ran | nge (mBSF)* | Description |
|-------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------|---|
| | | | From | То | |
| 75035 | Channel complex | P1 | 0.2 | 9.9 | A large channel feature, potentially containing at least three different phases of cut and fill, but these are difficult to trace between survey lines. Generally characterised by a well-defined basal reflector with multiple phases of acoustically layered fill, although one phase appears unstructured. A small area of acoustic blanking within one of the later phases of fill potentially indicates the presence of organic material. The feature splits into two separate channels towards the northern edge of the study areaStudy Area, and the western extent is difficult to define and likely extends further than mapped. Likely remnant buried fluvial system, potentially an offshore extension of the River Stour (Kent), but this is uncertain. |

Annex 6.A.4: Vibrocore Locations

^{*} metres above ordnance datum

| Hole ID | ETR | S89 UTM31N | Elevation (m OD)* |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Easting (m) | Northing (m) | |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-S6-003 | 407549 | 5778802 | 15.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-S6-005 | 408108 | 5777807 | 22.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-011 | 408968 | 5774386 | 20.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-012A | 408608 | 5772195 | 20.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-013A | 408437 | 5770653 | 20.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-014 | 408698 | 5769272 | 22.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-015 | 408428 | 5767534 | 23.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-016 | 408196 | 5765566 | 26.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-017 | 407808 | 5764818 | 25.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-025b | 406243 | 5751056 | 23.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-026A | 406305 | 5749979 | 24.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-027A | 406808 | 5748164 | 25.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-031 | 413282 | 5746234 | 32.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-032 | 414050 | 5745097 | 34.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-033 | 414789 | 5743037 | 40.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-034 | 415366 | 5741526 | 45.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-035 | 415765 | 5740468 | 31.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-036 | 415859 | 5738582 | 28.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-037 | 415549 | 5736756 | 27.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-038 | 414646 | 5734870 | 33.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-039 | 413320 | 5733983 | 32.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-040 | 411879 | 5733422 | 38.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-041 | 411046 | 5731990 | 31.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-042 | 410084 | 5730215 | 26.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-043 | 409750 | 5729598 | 22.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-044 | 408541 | 5727666 | 22.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-045 | 407486 | 5726030 | 23.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-046A | 407234 | 5725168 | 23.0 |

| Hole ID | ETR | S89 UTM31N | Elevation (m OD)* |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Easting (m) | Northing (m) | |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-047 | 406777 | 5723630 | 25.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-048 | 405871 | 5720647 | 21.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-049 | 405729 | 5720256 | 24.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-050 | 404873 | 5718438 | 23.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-051 | 404044 | 5716819 | 28.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-052 | 403536 | 5715780 | 26.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-053 | 402683 | 5714039 | 30.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-054 | 401776 | 5712188 | 30.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-055A | 401331 | 5711276 | 28.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-056 | 400227 | 5709139 | 25.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-057 | 399584 | 5707828 | 23.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-058 | 400097 | 5706548 | 22.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-059 | 399972 | 5704733 | 21.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-060 | 399846 | 5702932 | 23.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-061 | 399727 | 5701283 | 22.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-062A | 399606 | 5699519 | 23.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-063 | 399727 | 5697762 | 20.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-064 | 399873 | 5696774 | 20.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-065 | 400166 | 5694791 | 19.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-066 | 400142 | 5692539 | 16.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-067 | 399798 | 5692062 | 15.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-068 | 398891 | 5690693 | 13.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-069 | 398052 | 5689049 | 11.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-073 | 394487 | 5685006 | 12.0 |
| 748-NAT-NB-VC-075 | 392365 | 5684743 | 14.0 |

Annex 6.A.5: Stage 1 Geotechnical Review

| Hole ID | Depth from (m) | Dept h to (m) | Description | Interpretatio n/ Unit | Priority |
|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|---|----------|
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-S6- 003 | 0 | 1.37 | Slightly silty sand with thin to thick laminae of sandy silty clay | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-S6- 003 | 1.37 | 2.06 | Soft sandy silty clay with thin to thick laminae of silty sand | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-S6- 003 | 2.06 | 2.54 | Silty sand with thin to thick laminae of sandy silty clay | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-S6- 003 | 2.54 | 3.55 | Very soft sandy silty clay with thin to thick laminae of silty sand | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-S6- 003 | 3.55 | 3.71 | Silty sand with shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-S6- 003 | 3.71 | 4.1 | Green thickly laminated sandy silt | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-S6- 005 | 0 | 0.86 | Soft slightly sandy silty clay with thick laminae of sand and thin lamination of fibrous organic material | Alluvium - fine grained organic | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-S6- 005 | 0.86 | 1.52 | Silty sand with thick laminae to very thin beds of soft slightly sandy silty clay | Alluvium - fine grained minerogenic | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-S6- 005 | 1.52 | 1.74 | Slightly silty sand with thick laminae of silty clayey sand and shell fragments | Alluvium - fine grained minerogenic | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-S6- 005 | 1.74 | 2.16 | Firm dark brown peat | Peat | High |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-S6- 005 | 2.16 | 3.09 | Silty sand with occasional pockets of fibrous organic material | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-S6- 005 | 3.09 | 3.24 | Soft slightly sandy silty clay with occasional fibrous organic material | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |

| Hole ID | Depth from (m) | Dept h to (m) | Description | Interpretatio n/ Unit | Priority |
|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------|----------|
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-S6- 005 | 3.24 | 3.76 | Dense greenish grey silty sand with shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-011 | 0 | 0.89 | Silty gravelly sand with shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-011 | 0.89 | 3.94 | Silty sand with many shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-011 | 3.94 | 5.47 | Light greenish grey clayey very silty sand with shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 012A | 0 | 0.14 | Slightly silty gravelly sand with shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 012A | 0.14 | 3.99 | Greenish grey silty sand with shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 012A | 3.99 | 5.04 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 013A | 0 | 0.2 | Silty very sandy gravel with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 013A | 0.2 | 2.98 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay with few medium gravel sized siltstone | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-014 | 0 | 2.02 | Slightly silty gravelly sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-014 | 2.02 | 4.6 | Very stiff sandy silty clay with siltstone | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-015 | 0 | 0.28 | Slightly silty gravelly sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-015 | 0.28 | 2.74 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay with planar fissures | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-016 | 0 | 0.21 | Very stiff slightly sandy slightly gravelly silty clay with shell fragments | Reworked/we athered bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-016 | 0.21 | 5.02 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay with planar fissures | Bedrock | Low |

| Hole ID | Depth from (m) | Dept h to (m) | Description | Interpretatio n/ Unit | Priority |
|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------------|----------|
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-017 | 0 | 0.12 | Orangish brown slightly silty very gravelly sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-017 | 0.12 | 3.16 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 025b | 0 | 0.05 | Orangish brown clayey slightly gravelly sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 025b | 0.05 | 4.84 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay with siltstone | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 026A | 0 | 0.02 | Orangish brown gravel | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 026A | 0.02 | 4.76 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay with mudstone | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 027A | 0 | 0.02 | Orangish brown slightly sandy gravel with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 027A | 0.02 | 4.7 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-031 | 0 | 0.15 | Orangish brown slightly sandy gravel with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-031 | 0.15 | 3.73 | Stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-032 | 0 | 0.25 | Very stiff slightly gravelly sandy silty clay with shell fragments | Reworked/we athered bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-032 | 0.25 | 4.07 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-033 | 0 | 0.18 | Very silty gravelly sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-033 | 0.18 | 2.66 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-034 | 0 | 3.9 | Very stiff silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-035 | 0 | 0.05 | Orangish brown slightly silty gravelly sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |

| Hole ID | Depth from (m) | Dept h to (m) | Description | Interpretatio n/ Unit | Priority |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|---|----------|
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-035 | 0.05 | 3.63 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-036 | 0 | 0.22 | Slightly silty gravelly sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-036 | 0.22 | 4.07 | Stiff to very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-037 | 0 | 0.11 | Clayey gravelly sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-037 | 0.11 | 4.14 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-038 | 0 | 0.16 | Very soft sandy silty clay | Alluvium - fine grained minerogenic | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-038 | 0.16 | 0.24 | Silty sand with shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-038 | 0.24 | 4 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-039 | 0 | 0.16 | Firm slightly sandy silty clay with pockets of gravelly sand and shell fragments | Reworked/we athered bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-039 | 0.16 | 3.39 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-040 | 0 | 8.0 | Silty very sandy gravel with shell fragments | Fluvial gravel | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-040 | 8.0 | 1.82 | Very sandy gravel with shell fragments | Fluvial gravel | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-040 | 1.82 | 3.82 | Slightly silty very sandy gravel with shell fragments | Fluvial gravel | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-040 | 3.82 | 4.04 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-041 | 0 | 0.12 | Very soft slightly gravelly sandy silty clay with few shell fragments | Reworked/we athered bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-041 | 0.12 | 0.18 | Slightly clayey sandy coarse gravel of siltstone | Reworked/we athered bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-041 | 0.18 | 4.8 | Very stiff brown slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |

| Hole ID | Depth from (m) | Dept h to (m) | Description | Interpretatio n/ Unit | Priority |
|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------|
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-042 | 0 | 0.18 | Orangish brown silty gravelly sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-042 | 0.18 | 3.61 | Stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-043 | 0 | 0.22 | Slightly silty very sandy gravel with few shell fragments and few pockets of silty sand | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-043 | 0.22 | 0.45 | Slightly silty sand with many shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-043 | 0.45 | 0.98 | Silty gravelly sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-043 | 0.98 | 3.82 | Orangish brown slightly silty sand with few shell fragments | Oxidised shallow marine sand | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-043 | 3.82 | 4.71 | Orangish brown silty slightly gravelly sand with many fine shell fragments | Oxidised shallow marine sand | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-043 | 4.71 | 5.53 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-044 | 0 | 0.69 | Brown silty gravelly sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-044 | 0.69 | 3.77 | Stiff to very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-045 | 0 | 0.15 | Silty gravelly sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-045 | 0.15 | 3.17 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 046A | 0 | 0.09 | Silty gravelly sand | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 046A | 0.09 | 0.32 | Dark brownish grey slightly gravelly very clayey sand with few organic fragments | Alluvium - fine grained organic | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 046A | 0.32 | 1.25 | Slightly sandy silty clay with few fine shell fragments | Alluvium - fine grained organic | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 046A | 1.25 | 1.51 | Slightly sandy organic silt with fine bivalves | Alluvium - fine grained organic | Medium |

| Hole ID | Depth from (m) | Dept h to (m) | Description | Interpretatio n/ Unit | Priority |
|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|---|----------|
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 046A | 1.51 | 2.23 | Slightly sandy clayey silt with thin streaks of organic matter | Alluvium - fine grained organic | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 046A | 2.23 | 2.36 | Very clayey slightly gravelly sand with many fine shell fragments | Reworked/we athered bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 046A | 2.36 | 3.96 | Very stiff silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-047 | 0 | 0.07 | Orangish brown slightly silty gravelly sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-047 | 0.07 | 4.72 | Firm to very stiff silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-048 | 0 | 0.16 | Silty gravelly sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-048 | 0.16 | 4.63 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-049 | 0 | 0.1 | Slightly gravelly silty sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-049 | 0.1 | 3.37 | Firm to very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-050 | 0 | 0.46 | Orangish brown silty gravelly sand with coarse shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-050 | 0.46 | 2.93 | Light greyish brown slightly gravelly silty sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-050 | 2.93 | 3.5 | Low strength grey slightly sandy silty clay with thin to thick laminae of black organic silty clay and bivalves | Alluvium - fine grained organic | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-050 | 3.5 | 4.04 | Light orangish brown slightly sandy clayey silt | Alluvium - fine grained minerogenic | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-050 | 4.04 | 4.39 | Greenish brown silty sand with few shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-051 | 0 | 0.47 | Slightly silty gravelly sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-051 | 0.47 | 0.61 | Soft slightly sandy silty clay with thin partings of silt | Alluvium - fine grained minerogenic | Medium |

| Hole ID | Depth from (m) | Dept h to (m) | Description | Interpretatio n/ Unit | Priority |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------|----------|
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-051 | 0.61 | 1.69 | Silty sand with shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-051 | 1.69 | 3.9 | Silty sand with shell fragments and thick laminae of silty clay | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-051 | 3.9 | 4.48 | Silty sand with few shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-052 | 0 | 0.48 | Orangish brown gravelly silty sand with many shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-052 | 0.48 | 1.1 | Brown slightly silty sand with shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-052 | 1.1 | 3.91 | Brownish grey silty slightly gravelly sand with shell fragments and very thin beds of soft silty clay | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-052 | 3.91 | 5.29 | Dense grey very silty sand with fine shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-053 | 0 | 0.29 | Orangish brown silty sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-053 | 0.29 | 0.79 | Greyish brown silty sand with many shell fragments and pockets of black silty sand | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-053 | 0.79 | 3.44 | Brownish grey silty sand with many fine to medium shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-053 | 3.44 | 5.53 | Grey silty sand with few shell fragments with thick laminae of silty clay | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-054 | 0 | 0.75 | Orangish brown silty sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-054 | 0.75 | 0.97 | Brownish grey silty sand with shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-054 | 0.97 | 5.06 | Slightly silty sand with thick laminae of silty clay | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |

| Hole ID | Depth from (m) | Dept h to (m) | Description | Interpretatio n/ Unit | Priority |
|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------|
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 055A | 0 | 0.45 | Dark grey soft silty clay with thin laminae of black organic silt and shell fragments | Alluvium - fine grained organic | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 055A | 0.45 | 0.58 | Slightly silty sand with fine shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 055A | 0.58 | 4.41 | Brownish grey silty sand with thin to thick laminae of silty clay | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-056 | 0 | 0.4 | Dark grey silty sand with thin beds of silty clay | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-056 | 0.4 | 3.42 | Greyish brown silty sand with shell fragments and thin beds of silty clay | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-056 | 3.42 | 4.14 | Silty sand with fine to medium shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-056 | 4.14 | 4.24 | Grey slightly sandy clayey silt | Reworked/we athered bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-056 | 4.24 | 5.53 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-057 | 0 | 1.92 | Dark greyish black silty sand with shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-057 | 1.92 | 2.76 | Grey silty sand | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-057 | 2.76 | 4.41 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-058 | 0 | 0.97 | Orangish brown silty sand with a very thin bed of black silty sand | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-058 | 0.97 | 1.43 | Black silty fine sand | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-058 | 1.43 | 1.86 | Light greyish brown silty sand with shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |

| Hole ID | Depth from (m) | Dept h to (m) | Description | Interpretatio n/ Unit | Priority |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|---|----------|
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-058 | 1.86 | 5.53 | Grey sandy silt with fine shell fragments and pockets of organic matter | Reworked/we athered bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-059 | 0 | 1.95 | Dark greyish black silty sand with shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-059 | 1.95 | 3.8 | Brownish grey silty sand | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-059 | 3.8 | 4.43 | Greyish brown silty sand with thick laminations | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-059 | 4.43 | 5.53 | Grey slightly sandy clayey silt with thin beds of silty sand and bivalves | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-060 | 0 | 1.96 | Very silty sand with shell fragments and bivalves | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-060 | 1.96 | 4.16 | Dark brown fine silty sand with shell fragments | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-060 | 4.16 | 4.55 | Soft dark blackish grey silty clay | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-060 | 4.55 | 5.22 | Light brown soft slightly sandy silty clay with organic debris | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-060 | 5.22 | 5.55 | Brown mottled greenish brown silty sand | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-061 | 0 | 0.23 | Silty sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-061 | 0.23 | 2.71 | Firm slightly sandy silty clay with few shell fragments | Alluvium - fine grained minerogenic | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-061 | 2.71 | 3.05 | Dark brown organic silty clay | Alluvium - fine grained organic | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-061 | 3.05 | 3.55 | Light greyish brown slightly sandy clayey silt with thin laminae of organic matter | Alluvium - fine grained organic | Medium |

| Hole ID | Depth from (m) | Dept h to (m) | Description | Interpretatio n/ Unit | Priority |
|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------|----------|
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-061 | 3.55 | 4.27 | Greenish brown silty sand | Non-marine sand | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 062A | 0 | 1.35 | Orangish brown silty sand with occasional pockets of sand and clay | Non-marine sand | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 062A | 1.35 | 2.26 | Light brownish grey silty sand with very thin laminae of dark grey sand | Non-marine sand | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 062A | 2.26 | 3.15 | Grey silty sand | Non-marine sand | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC- 062A | 3.15 | 4 | Dark grey silty sand with many fine shell fragments and abundant bivalves | Shallow marine to coastal | Medium |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-063 | 0 | 4.55 | Structureless chalk | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-064 | 0 | 5.14 | Structureless chalk | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-065 | 0 | 0.15 | Dark greyish brown slightly gravelly silty sand with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-065 | 0.15 | 0.96 | Light greenish grey sandy gravel | Reworked/we athered bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-065 | 0.96 | 5.05 | Structureless chalk | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-066 | 0 | 0.68 | Dark orangish brown silty gravelly sand with few shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-066 | 0.68 | 1.45 | Grey and orangish brown gravelly sandy silt | Reworked/we athered bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-066 | 1.45 | 4.8 | Structureless chalk | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-067 | 0 | 0.13 | Brown silty sandy gravel with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-067 | 0.13 | 1.66 | Very silty gravelly sand | Reworked/we athered bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-067 | 1.66 | 4.9 | Structureless chalk | Bedrock | Low |

| Hole ID | Depth from (m) | Dept h to (m) | Description | Interpretatio n/ Unit | Priority |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------------|----------|
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-068 | 0 | 1.04 | Orangish brown silty sandy gravel with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-068 | 1.04 | 4.69 | Structureless chalk | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-069 | 0 | 0.91 | Greyish brown silty sand with few shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-069 | 0.91 | 1.37 | Orangish brown silty sandy gravel with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-069 | 1.37 | 5.09 | Structureless chalk | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-073 | 0 | 0.45 | Dark brown silty sandy fine to coarse gravel with shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-073 | 0.45 | 5.5 | Structureless chalk | Bedrock | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-075 | 0 | 0.43 | Orangish grey silty very gravelly sand with few shell fragments | Seabed sediments | Low |
| 748-NAT- NB-VC-075 | 0.43 | 3.26 | Very stiff slightly sandy silty clay | Bedrock | Low |

Formatted: NG Appendix Title

Annex 6.A.6: Stage 2 Recommendations

| Hole ID | Depth (m) | Description | Priority |
|--------------------------|-----------|--|----------|
| 748-NAT-NB- VC-S6-005 | 0-3.74 | Minerogenic and organic alluvium over peat and shallow marine/coastal deposit | High |
| 748-NAT-NB- VC-005 | 0-4.49 | Possible organic alluvium overlying laminated shallow marine/coastal deposit | Medium |
| 748-NAT-NB- VC-008A | 0-3.92 | Minerogenic alluvium overlying shallow marine/coastal deposit | Medium |
| 748-NAT-NB- VC-021 | 1.47-1.64 | Alluvium with possible organics | Medium |
| 748-NAT-NB- VC-022 | 0-1.93 | Minerogenic alluvium overlying shallow marine/coastal deposit | Medium |
| 748-NAT-NB- VC-046A | 0.09-2.23 | Possible organic deposits | Medium |
| 748-NAT-NB- VC-050 | 2.93-4.39 | Possible minerogenic and organic alluvium overlying shallow marine/coastal deposit | Medium |
| 748-NAT-NB- VC-055A | 0-4.41 | Possible organic alluvium overlying laminated shallow marine/coastal deposit | Medium |
| 748-NAT-NB- VC-061 | 0.23-3.55 | Possible minerogenic and organic deposits | Medium |
| 748-NAT-NB- VC-061 | 3.55-4.27 | Unknown deposit, possible non-marine sand | Medium |
| 748-NAT-NB- VC-062A | 0-3.15 | Unknown deposit, possible non-marine sand | Medium |
| 748-NAT-NB- VC-062A | 3.15-4.00 | Very shelly shallow marine/coastal deposit | Medium |

Annex 6.A.7: Seabed Features of Archaeological Potential

Notes: Coordinates are in ETRS89 UTM31N; positional accuracy estimated ±10 m

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7080 | Debris field | 405146 | 5780671 | A2_h | 12.0 | 6.3 | 0.3 | - | A distinct group of mounds, the northwestern feature is a hollow circular mound that measures 4.8 x 4.4 x 0.3 m, the objects to the southeast are slightly elongate and appear associated, may be a broken up larger feature. The debris field is situated close to an area of outcropping geology but appears anthropogenic. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible non-ferrous debris field. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7084 | Dark reflector | 405169 | 5780631 | A2_I | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | An indistinct, elongate dark reflector with a bright shadow that forms a slight right angle, may be two objects. The feature is distinct to the surrounding seabed and may relate to nearby feature (7085) situated 5 m southeast. Visible as a low-lying mound in the MBES dataset. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7087 | Magnetic | 405203 | 5780629 | A2_h | - | - | - | 612 | A very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, also visible on adjacent profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7085 | Debris field | 405175 | 5780625 | A2_h | 8.6 | 2.1 | 0.6 | - | A group of fairly distinct dark reflectors with bright shadows, the objects are curvilinear and angular and situated within an area of mobile sediments, the full extent of the feature may be buried. Also identified in the MBES dataset as a group of three distinct mounds, with slightly more indistinct mounds surrounding these, the largest mound measures 2.9 x 2.8 x 0.6 and has steeply sloping sides and a rounded peak. The other objects are slightly angular and the feature appears slightly anomalous to the surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible non-ferrous debris field. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7081 | Linear debris | 405107 | 5780575 | A2_I | 11.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | A distinct curvilinear dark reflector with a bright, short shadow across its length. The feature is situated on an uneven area of seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as possible linear debris, such as a length of non-ferrous rope or chain | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7086 | Magnetic | 405101 | 5780532 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 7082 | Seabed disturbance | 405019 | 5780516 | A2_h | 12.7 | 7.2 | 0.3 | - | Fairly distinct area of disturbed seabed comprising irregular, elongate and sub-rounded dark reflectors with associated shadows, situated within mobile sediments. The largest discernible object measures 3.9 x 3.1 x 0.3 m. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7088 | Magnetic | 405149 | 5780515 | A2_h | - | - | - | 103 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, also visible on adjacent profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70000 | Mound | 404953 | 5780437 | A2_I | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.1 | - | A sub-rounded, slightly elongate, mound located 2 m northeast of a similar feature (70001). The northwest slope of the mound is taller and steeper, leading to a small (2 x 2 m) depression or scour. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible partially buried nonferrous debris. | | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70001 | Mound | 404950 | 5780436 | A2_I | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.2 | - | A sub-rounded, slightly elongate, mound located 2 m southwest of a similar feature (70000). The northwest slope of the mound is taller and steeper, leading to a small (2 x 3 m) depression or scour. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible partially buried nonferrous debris. | | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7089 | Magnetic | 405169 | 5780419 | A2_h | - | - | - | 58 | A medium, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data, also visible on adjacent profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7092 | Magnetic | 405260 | 5780415 | A2_h | - | - | - | 265 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70002 | Magnetic | 405142 | 5780413 | A2_h | - | - | - | 65 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line, also visible on adjacent profile lines. Part of an area of high background magnetic variability. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7083 | Mound | 404937 | 5780398 | A2_h | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 122 | Identified in the MBES data as an isolated rounded mound. Observed in the Mag. data as a large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70003 | Mound | 405054 | 5780389 | A2_I | 2.3 | 1.3 | 0.2 | - | An angular, slightly elongate distinct mound with a tall northwest slope. Appears more angular and isolated from other nearby rock outcropping. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 7090 | Mound | 405109 | 5780382 | A2_I | 4.5 | 1.2 | 0.1 | - | A slightly curvilinear mound with gently sloping sides and a rounded peak, situated within an area of outcropping geology. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2024 | - |
| 70004 | Magnetic | 405105 | 5780361 | A2_h | - | - | - | 428 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line, also visible on adjacent profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7091 | Magnetic | 405140 | 5780358 | A2_h | - | - | - | 317 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line, also visible on adjacent profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70005 | Magnetic | 405212 | 5780355 | A2_h | - | - | - | 117 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70006 | Magnetic | 405155 | 5780340 | A2_h | - | - | - | 121 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Part of a larger irregular shaped trend of signals. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70007 | Magnetic | 404943 | 5780338 | A2_I | - | - | - | 27 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7093 | Mound | 405410 | 5780323 | A2_I | 3.9 | 1.6 | 0.1 | - | A very indistinct, elongate mound, with gently sloping sides and a rounded peak. The feature is situated in a slight depression and is situated 5.0 m northwest of a similar mound (7094) and may be related. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70008 | Magnetic | 405171 | 5780322 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7094 | Mound | 405415 | 5780321 | A2_I | 3.0 | 1.2 | 0.1 | - | An indistinct elongate mound with gently sloping sides and a rounded peak. The feature is situated 5.0 m southeast of a similar mound (7093) and may be related. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70009 | Magnetic | 405157 | 5780317 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Quite sharp and narrow though in an area of high background magnetic variability. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7099 | Magnetic | 405785 | 5780314 | A2_I | - | - | - | 32 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70010 | Magnetic | 405143 | 5780311 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7098 | Magnetic | 405735 | 5780310 | A2_I | - | - | - | 23 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70011 | Debris | 405285 | 5780297 | A2_h | 8.7 | 7.1 | 2.0 | - | An object with a rectangular outline, of uniform width, with the two north corners tallest and apparently most intact. Angular internal structures visible throughout, but become more degraded to the south. Appears to be lying on outcropping that extends further north. Likely related to 70012 located 7 m to the east. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70012 | Debris | 405297 | 5780293 | A2_h | 6.5 | 2.6 | 1.6 | - | An elongate object with a rectangular outline, oriented north-south, of uniform width, with the two north corners tallest and apparently most intact. Angular internal structures visible throughout, but become more degraded to the south. Very similar to 70011 located 7 m to the west, however is thinner, could be a detached part. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7105 | Magnetic | 405883 | 5780279 | A2_I | - | - | - | 44 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7095 | Magnetic | 405525 | 5780270 | A2_I | - | - | - | 35 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7103 | Magnetic | 405787 | 5780261 | A2_h | - | - | - | 56 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7100 | Magnetic | 405723 | 5780259 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70013 | Magnetic | 405318 | 5780257 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70014 | Dark reflector | 405499 | 5780243 | A2_I | 3.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | A distinct elongate dark reflector with a slight shadow in an area of largely featureless seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70015 | Seabed disturbance | 404974 | 5780226 | A2_I | 6.2 | 1.6 | 0.1 | - | A thin, elongate area of small angular mounds, oriented northeast-southwest. Individual objects are approximately 1.0 - 1.5 m along their longest axis. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 70016 | Magnetic | 405308 | 5780207 | A2_I | - | - | - | 57 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line, also visible on other profile lines. Form looks a bit natural. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7104 | Magnetic | 405782 | 5780203 | A2_h | - | - | - | 63 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70017 | Magnetic | 405312 | 5780196 | A2_I | - | - | - | 41 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Form looks a bit natural. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70018 | Magnetic | 405036 | 5780191 | A2_h | - | - | - | 79 | A medium, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. Part of a larger, busy area of signals. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7096 | Mound | 405473 | 5780190 | A2_h | 8.5 | 5.4 | 0.9 | - | A distinct oval, slightly elongate shaped mound with steeply sloping sides and an uneven peak. The feature appears slightly textured and has scouring to the north for 30 m and is -0.3 m deep, the feature may be a large rock/outcrop, however it doesn't follow the east-west bedding orientation of outcropping in the larger surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70019 | Magnetic | 405347 | 5780190 | A2_I | - | - | - | 35 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7097 | Magnetic | 405593 | 5780183 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70020 | Mound | 405139 | 5780181 | A2_I | 5.9 | 1.0 | 0.1 | - | An elongate slightly curvilinear low-lying mound with gently sloping sides orientated east to southwest, wider at the southwest end. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7101 | Magnetic | 405668 | 5780180 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70021 | Seabed disturbance | 405527 | 5780170 | A2_I | 7.7 | 3.5 | 0.1 | - | A seabed disturbance comprising at least three low-lying mounds, two are elongate and the southern mound is sub-rounded and measures 2.0 x 1.5 m. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70022 | Magnetic | 405469 | 5780166 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|---------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70023 | Linear debris | 405328 | 5780164 | A2_I | 11.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 23 | Identified in the SSS dataset as a distinct curvilinear dark reflector adjacent to rock outcropping with a second, less reflective linear slightly south (70025). There are a number of linear features in the area that appear as sand waves in adjacent lines. May be attached to a subrounded dark reflector (2.0 x 1.9 x 0.1 m). Observed in the Mag. dataset as a small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This is interpreted as a possibly ferrous modern feature such as fishing gear and therefore may not be of archaeological interest. However, as this cannot be confirmed without further investigation, the feature has been retained as a precaution. | | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70024 | Mound | 405169 | 5780162 | A2_I | 3.0 | 2.2 | 0.2 | - | A rounded mound, surrounded by depression-scour (total depression extent approx. 4.0 x 4.0 m). More rounded than outcropping to the south. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70025 | Linear debris | 405324 | 5780158 | A2_I | 8.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | A distinct curvilinear dark reflector adjacent to rock outcropping and another more reflective curvilinear dark reflector (70023). Possibly a sand ripple. May be connected to a sub rounded dark reflector (6.0 x 3.9 x 0.2 m). No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. This is interpreted as a possibly non-ferrous modern feature such as fishing gear and therefore may not be of archaeological interest. However, as this cannot be confirmed without further investigation, the feature has been retained as a precaution. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70026 | Magnetic | 405108 | 5780153 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70027 | Magnetic | 405238 | 5780132 | A2_h | - | - | - | 386 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. Quite strong across multiple lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70028 | Debris | 405143 | 5780129 | A2_h | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 47 | Identified in the MBES dataset as a rounded mound, surrounded by depression-scour (total depression extent approx. 5.0 x 3.0 m). More rounded than outcropping to the south, west, and east. Observed in the Mag. dataset as a small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Possible ferrous debris. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70029 | Magnetic | 405571 | 5780124 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70030 | Magnetic | 405386 | 5780120 | A2_h | - | - | - | 90 | A medium positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. Also visible on other profile lines. Largest magnitude of a linear trend of signals. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70031 | Dark reflector | 405035 | 5780116 | A2_I | 4.3 | 2.1 | 0.1 | - | A distinct elongate dark reflector with a slight shadow and scour adjacent to sand waves. Possibly sediment buildup with scour. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 7102 | Magnetic | 405638 | 5780100 | A2_I | - | - | - | 26 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, also visible on the adjacent profile. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70032 | Dark reflector | 405050 | 5780087 | A2_I | 12.8 | 12.7 | 0.0 | - | A distinct angular dark reflector with a slight scour. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70033 | Mound | 405136 | 5780086 | A2_I | 4.1 | 3.0 | 0.5 | - | A slightly elongate, rounded mound, within an area of outcropping. Form is far less angular than surrounding rocks and may just be large rounded rock. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible nonferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7108 | Magnetic | 406176 | 5780082 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7106 | Mound | 405723 | 5780072 | A2_I | 6.6 | 2.0 | 0.2 | - | An elongate mound with slightly bulbous ends, the feature has one steep side and one gently sloping side and is slightly anomalous to the surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70034 | Magnetic | 405144 | 5780051 | A2_I | - | - | - | 26 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70035 | Magnetic | 405040 | 5780042 | A2_I | - | - | - | 89 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Quite narrow, interesting. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70036 | Magnetic | 405192 | 5780041 | A2_I | - | - | - | 42 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Seems isolated and narrow, bit interesting a sharp. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70037 | Linear debris | 405181 | 5780018 | A2_h | 26.9 | 0.3 | -0.1 | - | Two distinct, immediately adjacent curvilinear dark reflectors, with slight scours, surrounded by boulders and larger rock outcropping. No clear join between the two features though may be interlinked scars. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70038 | Magnetic | 405866 | 5780002 | A2_I | - | - | - | 8 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70039 | Magnetic | 404972 | 5779984 | A2_h | - | - | - | 109 | A large, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. Part of a busy area of signals though this one is a little large and separate from the other signals. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 7107 | Magnetic | 406024 | 5779981 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70040 | Debris | 405141 | 5779955 | A2_h | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 581 | Identified in the MBES dataset as a sub-rounded mound within a shallow depression (approx. 2.0 x 2.0 m) which is much taller than any nearby mounds. Observed in the Mag. dataset as a very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line which is part of an irregular signal visible across multiple lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70041 | Magnetic | 404865 | 5779954 | A2_h | - | - | - | 507 | A very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. A very high, narrow anomaly in a busy trend of anomalies across a large area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This location was not covered by the MBES dataset. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70042 | Magnetic | 405217 | 5779951 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70043 | Debris | 404984 | 5779949 | A2_h | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | - | An elongate mound, oriented northwest-southeast. Uniform in shape and size along its length. Likely continues into 70044 to the southeast, only slight disturbance visible on the seabed between the two, and the immediately surrounding seabed is otherwise featureless. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70044 | Debris | 404988 | 5779943 | A2_h | 2.5 | 1.1 | 0.4 | - | An elongate mound, oriented northwest-southeast. Its profile is stepped on the southeast side. Likely continues into 70043 to the northwest, but nothing is visible on the seabed between the two, and the immediately surrounding seabed is otherwise featureless. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70045 | Magnetic | 405189 | 5779895 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7112 | Mound | 406522 | 5779882 | A2_I | 14.2 | 1.1 | 0.1 | - | A long linear low-lying mound that appears intermittent in the centre, it may be partially buried or two separate features. The feature is orientated north to south and is isolated on the seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70046 | Magnetic | 406118 | 5779865 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70047 | Magnetic | 405908 | 5779809 | A2_h | - | - | - | 64 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 70048 | Magnetic | 405548 | 5779800 | A2_I | - | - | - | 41 | A small, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7109 | Magnetic | 406208 | 5779797 | A2_h | - | - | - | 77 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line, visible across multiple profile lines. Seems quite isolated narrow and sharp, interesting. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7113 | Magnetic | 406702 | 5779782 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7111 | Magnetic | 406364 | 5779773 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70049 | Mound | 405638 | 5779773 | A2_I | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.6 | - | A slightly elongate, angular mound, oriented northeast-southwest, which has a stepped profile on its northeast slope. Tallest at the southwest extent. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70050 | Magnetic | 406173 | 5779763 | A2_I | - | - | - | 9 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7110 | Magnetic | 406304 | 5779741 | A2_I | - | - | - | 26 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70051 | Mound | 406397 | 5779734 | A2_I | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | - | An angular mound, much taller than others in the immediate survey area. Could be possible debris. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7114 | Debris | 406695 | 5779722 | A2_h | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 29 | Distinct angular and slightly elongate dark reflector with a bright, pointed shadow. The feature has some scour or seabed disturbance immediately to the northwest. Possibly associated with dark reflector (7115) 2 m to its southeast. Also identified in the MBES data as a distinct mound with steeply sloping sides and a pointed peak. The feature is situated in a depression measuring 4.7 x 4.2 x -0.3 m. Associated with a small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7115 | Dark reflector | 406697 | 5779721 | A2_I | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | - | A distinct, slightly elongate dark reflector with an asymmetric thin bright shadow. Possibly associated with an item of ferrous debris (7114) 2 m to its northwest. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location, however the Mag. anomaly associated with 7114 may also be associated with this. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70052 | Mound | 405859 | 5779702 | A2_I | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 6 | Identified in the MBES dataset as a rounded mound. Observed in the Mag. dataset as a small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature with a relatively high proportion of ferrous minerals, or possible ferrous debris. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70053 | Mound | 406015 | 5779637 | A2_I | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 6 | Identified in the MBES dataset as an isolated rounded mound, larger than other mounds in the extended area. Observed in the Mag. dataset as a small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature with a relatively high proportion of ferrous minerals, or possible ferrous debris. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70054 | Magnetic | 406554 | 5779599 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. Not entirely convinced. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7116 | Wreck | 407157 | 5779594 | A1 | 15.5 | 8.4 | 0.7 | - | A wreck that is visible in the SSS data as an indistinct spread of dark reflectors with slight shadows, multiple angular objects are visible within an area of disturbed seabed, the full extent of the feature may be buried. Also identified in the MBES data as a distinct mound with an uneven peak, that appears to be slightly textured, the feature has scour to the south approximately 25 m long and 0.5 m deep. The mound's edges are indistinct which may suggest it is partially buried. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Associated with a UKHO record for an unknown wreck, last surveyed in 2017 with MBES dimensions of 10.2 x 5.0 x 1.0 m. In the 2021 geophysical data the wreck has no distinguishable characteristics, the larger 2021 geophysical dimensions may indicate the wreck has become more exposed since 2018. Associated scouring and sediment accumulation also indicate it may periodically be buried. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | UKHO_87090 |
| 70055 | Magnetic | 406525 | 5779583 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70056 | Magnetic | 406394 | 5779519 | A2_I | - | - | - | 5 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70057 | Mound | 406483 | 5779496 | A2_I | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | - | An angular mound, much taller than others in the immediate survey area. Could be possible debris. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70058 | Seabed disturbance | 406573 | 5779434 | A2_I | 3.9 | 2.9 | 0.2 | - | An indistinct group of low-lying mounds within scour, may be partially buried, mounds are sub-angular and appears to be at least three tightly spaced together, though at the edge of data extents so may be larger. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70059 | Mound | 406898 | 5779406 | A2_I | 3.5 | 2.2 | 0.2 | - | An irregular, low-lying mound that appears to be at the end of a very slightly curvilinear mound which may be a seabed scar but looks slightly anomalous. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|---------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70060 | Mound | 407083 | 5779330 | A2_I | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.3 | - | An isolated rounded mound. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7117 | Magnetic | 407439 | 5779185 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7118 | Magnetic | 407357 | 5778828 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7119 | Magnetic | 407491 | 5778761 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7120 | Wreck | 407875 | 5778194 | A1 | 22.2 | 9.9 | 0.4 | 111 | An unrecorded wreck visible as a large oval shaped thin curvilinear dark reflector interpreted to be the hull with bright irregular shadows across its length, indicating uneven height. Internally indistinct angular and short and straight dark reflectors with shadows are visible that may be surviving deck structure. The wreck is situated in an area of mobile sediments. In the MBES data one end of the wreck is visible as a distinct curvilinear mound that appears to be mostly intact hull structure, internally indistinct linear mounds are visible suggesting the wreck is upright, there are some small angular mounds directly next to the hull that may be collapsed structure. The wreck is orientated east to west on the seabed and has scouring to the south for approximately 25.0 m and 0.7 m deep. The eastern end of the wreck is not visible and may be buried by sediments or highly degraded. Also associated with a large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. Interpreted as an unknown wreck, not recorded in the UKHO or NMHR records. | MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7121 | Magnetic | 408178 | 5778150 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7122 | Magnetic | 408099 | 5778119 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small, broad symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7123 | Mound | 407841 | 5777717 | A2_I | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.3 | - | A small angular mound in a depression measuring 11.1 x 5 x -0.3 m, the feature has scouring to the southwest. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This location was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7124 | Magnetic | 408189 | 5777354 | A2_h | - | - | - | 49 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7125 | Magnetic | 408393 | 5777227 | A2_I | - | - | - | 31 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7126 | Magnetic | 408384 | 5777255 | A2_h | - | - | - | 65 | A medium positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7127 | Magnetic | 408314 | 5777161 | A2_I | - | - | - | 29 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7128 | Magnetic | 408316 | 5777102 | A2_h | - | - | - | 111 | A large positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7129 | Magnetic | 408301 | 5777054 | A2_h | - | - | - | 47 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7130 | Magnetic | 408260 | 5777031 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7131 | Magnetic | 408274 | 5776986 | A2_h | - | - | - | 35 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7132 | Magnetic | 408730 | 5776756 | A2_h | - | - | - | 200 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7133 | Linear debris | 408769 | 5776492 | A2_h | 56.0 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 240 | Distinct curvilinear dark reflector oriented northeast to southwest on the seabed with a variable shadow and slight scour along its length. The feature has an angular object attached to its northern end, however it is situated within an area of mobile sediments and may be partially buried. Also identified in the MBES data as a long thin and indistinct in parts linear mound, appears to curve back on itself at the northern end. Also associated with a large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data at its northern end, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a partially ferrous debris field. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7134 | Magnetic | 408932 | 5776210 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7135 | Seabed disturbance | 409083 | 5776027 | A2_h | 18.1 | 5.4 | 0.5 | - | An area of disturbed seabed comprising an area of indistinct sub- rounded and irregular dark reflectors with irregular short shadows. In an area of seabed with mobile sediments to the SSW, the full extent may be buried. Visible in the MBES data as a textured area of seabed. This location was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature, or may be possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7137 | Linear debris | 408640 | 5775986 | A2_I | 70.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | - | A distinct curvilinear dark reflector with a short shadow across its length. The feature has some indistinct, rounded dark reflectors attached along its length. Also identified in the MBES data as a long, thin and curvilinear low-lying mound, with gently sloping sides and rounded peak, indistinct in parts. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible debris field, and may be fishing gear however, this can't be confirmed without visual inspection. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7138 | Linear debris | 408631 | 5775942 | A2_I | 16.9 | 1.3 | 0.2 | - | Distinct curvilinear dark reflector with a bright even shadow along its length. Visible in the MBES data as a low-lying curvilinear mound. Possibly associated with debris field (7137) situated 7 m north. This location was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible linear debris, such as a short length of rope or chain. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7139 | Linear debris | 408680 | 5775860 | A2_I | 23.7 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 21 | Distinct elongate and slightly curvilinear dark reflector with a bright shadow, uneven shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. Visible as an uneven mound in the MBES data. Also associated with a small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as partially ferrous linear debris, potentially a rope or chain. | SSS, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7140 | Magnetic | 408965 | 5775993 | A2_I | - | - | - | 8 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7141 | Magnetic | 408994 | 5775909 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7142 | Magnetic | 408782 | 5775887 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7143 | Linear debris | 408756 | 5775807 | A2_I | 116.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 54 | A long, thin and distinct linear dark reflector with short variable shadow along its length. Situated in a region of relatively featureless seabed. Visible as a low-lying linear mound in the MBES data, orientated northeast to southwest on the seabed. Associated with three Mag. anomalies with amplitudes ranging from 17 - 54 nT in the Mag. data where it crosses a Mag. line, indicating ferrous material is present. Interpreted as partially ferrous linear debris, such as a length of rope or chain. | SSS, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7144 | Debris | 408767 | 5775844 | A2_h | 4.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | - | Distinct linear dark reflector with a short bright shadow. Situated 5.0 m northwest of rope or chain 7143 and may be related. Visible as an elongate mound in the MBES data. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as non-ferrous linear debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7145 | Dark reflector | 408755 | 5775767 | A2_I | 6.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | - | An indistinct linear dark reflector with very short shadow. On a different alignment but possibly associated with the possible rope or chain 8 m west (7143). No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This location was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature, or may be possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7147 | Linear debris | 408750 | 5775430 | A2_I | 39.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 | - | A distinct slightly curvilinear dark reflector with a short shadow. The feature is situated in an area of mobile sediments and orientated north to south on the seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as possible non-ferrous linear debris, such as a length of rope or chain | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7148 | Magnetic | 409217 | 5775419 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7149 | Magnetic | 409291 | 5775358 | A2_h | - | - | - | 81 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7150 | Debris field | 408902 | 5775296 | A2_I | 7.0 | 6.2 | 0.7 | - | An area of disturbed seabed comprising indistinct curvilinear dark reflectors with associated bright shadows, possibly suggesting uneven height. Also identified in the MBES data as an indistinct mound or two smaller mounds very close together with sediment accumulation around the feature which may covering the features full extent and scour to the south for 9 m, the feature appears to be at the northern end of a linear mound that extents for over 40 m to the SSW, that may be a length of rope or chain, or scour. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible debris field, and may be fishing gear however, this can't be confirmed without visual inspection. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7152 | Magnetic | 408865 | 5775110 | A2_h | - | - | - | 33 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location, however it is situated in an area of outcropping geology. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7153 | Mound | 409160 | 5775131 | A2_I | 20.9 | 2.8 | 0.2 | - | A long and thin curvilinear mound, orientated northwest to southeast and perpendicular to the surrounding natural feature on this area of seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7154 | Linear debris | 408981 | 5775087 | A2_I | 64.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | A distinct slightly curvilinear dark reflector with a very slight shadow. The feature appears slightly intermittent across its extent and orientated NNE-SSW on the seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as possible non-ferrous linear debris, such as a length of rope or chain. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7155 | Magnetic | 409269 | 5774950 | A2_h | - | - | - | 101 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7156 | Magnetic | 408847 | 5774833 | A2_I | - | - | - | 9 | A small, broad symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7157 | Magnetic | 408895 | 5774711 | A2_I | - | - | - | 9 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7158 | Magnetic | 409140 | 5774559 | A2_h | - | - | - | 40 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7159 | Magnetic | 408756 | 5774331 | A2_h | - | - | - | 148 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7160 | Magnetic | 409052 | 5774068 | A2_I | - | - | - | 32 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7161 | Magnetic | 408983 | 5773598 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7162 | Seabed disturbance | 409031 | 5773571 | A2_I | 18.8 | 11.8 | 0.4 | - | An area of disturbed seabed situated within an area of sand waves. An irregularly shaped mound is visible with some scouring present to the south for approximately 7 m and -0.3 m deep. The feature may be partially buried. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7163 | Mound | 408613 | 5773554 | A2_I | 2.2 | 1.3 | 0.2 | - | A small and distinct rectangular mound with steeply sloping sides and an uneven peak. The feature is distinct and isolated on a relatively featureless seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7164 | Linear debris | 408771 | 5773495 | A2_I | 53.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | - | A distinct, slightly curvilinear dark reflector with a bright shadow across its length. The feature is orientated approximately northeast to southwest on the seabed. Visible as an indistinct low-lying mound in the MBES dataset. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as possible non-ferrous linear debris, such as a length of rope or chain. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7165 | Magnetic | 408707 | 5773472 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7166 | Linear debris | 408583 | 5773439 | A2_I | 87.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | - | An indistinct and intermittent in places slightly curvilinear dark reflector. The features has a bright, uneven shadow across its length, possibly suggesting uneven height. The feature is orientated approximately north to south on the seabed. Faintly visible as an indistinct low-lying mound in the MBES dataset. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as possible non-ferrous linear debris, such as a length of rope or chain. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7167 | Magnetic | 408993 | 5773374 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7168 | Mound | 408889 | 5773267 | A2_I | 2.5 | 1.2 | 0.4 | - | A small and distinct rectangular mound with steeply sloping sides and an uneven peak. The feature is situated in a depression that measures 10 x $5.6 \times -0.3 \text{m}$. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|----------|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | | | |
| 7169 | Magnetic | 408499 | 5773146 | A2_h | - | - | - | 67 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7170 | Mound | 408887 | 5772459 | A2_h | 12.6 | 1.6 | 0.3 | - | A slightly right-angled linear mound situated close to an area of sand mega ripples and on the southern edge of a likely natural outcropping. The feature has sediment accumulation and scour visible orientated to the south for 10 m and is 0.6 m deep, it may be partially buried. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7171 | Dark reflector | 408495 | 5772200 | A2_I | 8.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | A distinct curvilinear dark reflector with a short bright shadow, the shadow is slightly uneven which may indicate uneven height. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7172 | Magnetic | 408551 | 5772152 | A2_I | - | - | - | 29 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, also visible on other profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7173 | Wreck | 408301 | 5772170 | A1 | 36.8 | 15.2 | 0.6 | 34 | A large wreck that is visible as a series of distinct but dispersed dark reflectors with bright shadows. Short linear and angular objects are visible, some aligned as parallel objects. Associated debris field (7174) has been identified 4.0 m north, suggesting the wreck is degraded. The wreck extends beyond the SSS data range and so the dimensions should be considered a minimum, there may be significant scour that goes beyond the data range to the southeast. This position was not directly covered by the MBES data. Associated with a small, broad symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data on the closest line (46 m east), suggesting the wreck may be partially ferrous. Associated with a UKHO and NMHR record for HMS <i>Tervani</i> , a trawler ship built in 1914 with one boiler and a triple expansion engine, with build dimensions of 48.8 x 7.9 x 4.3 m. The vessel was sunk by mine in 1916. The wreck was last surveyed in 2017 with dimensions of 48.1 x 8.1 x 2.4 m recorded, the wreck was described as being intact and partially buried. | | MMT 2021 | UKHO_10249, NMHR_912686 |
| 7174 | Debris field | 408312 | 5772193 | A1 | 25.5 | 12.2 | 0.9 | - | A group of indistinct objects visible as small dark reflectors with shadows and bright reflectors. Angular objects are visible on a possible mound with a bright uneven shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. The features are situated directly to the northeast of wreck (7173) and may be associated debris. This position was not directly covered by the MBES or Mag. data, so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a debris field associated with wreck (7173). | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7175 | Debris | 408311 | 5772051 | A2_h | 2.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | - | A distinct, slightly elongate dark reflector with a bright, tapered shadow. The feature has some associated scour to the east and is situated 22 m to the southeast of wreck (7176). This position was not directly covered by the MBES or Mag. data, so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible debris associated with wreck (7176) | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7176 | Wreck | 408288 | 5772083 | A1 | 41.4 | 10.2 | 0.4 | - | A large wreck comprising multiple linear, curvilinear, elongate, and irregular dark reflectors with bright shadows. At the northeast of the wreck a distinctive curvilinear dark reflector measuring 23.7 x 1.3 m is visible that may be a part of the hull, however little structure or discernible features are distinguishable in the SSS data. Associated debris (7175, 7177-7181) has been identified in the vicinity, suggesting the wreck is degraded. The wreck extends beyond the SSS data range and so the dimensions should be considered a minimum and this position was not directly covered by the MBES or Mag. data, so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Associated with a UKHO record for an unknown wreck, last surveyed in 2017. It was described as a being largely intact and partially buried, with dimensions of 41.7 x 6.5 x 2.9 m. | SSS | MMT 2021 | UKHO_86578 |
| 7177 | Debris | 408291 | 5772108 | A1 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | - | A distinct, angular dark reflector with a long bright shadow. The feature is situated in a depression and is situated 4 m northeast of wreck (7176). This position was not directly covered by the MBES or Mag. data, so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as debris associated with wreck (7176). | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7178 | Debris field | 408294 | 5772085 | A1 | 40.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 | - | A group of distinct dark reflectors with shadows situated directly adjacent to the eastern edge of wreck 7176. The features are elongate, angular and irregularly shaped and may be situated in an area of depression, the largest object measures 3.6 x 1.3 m. This position was not directly covered by the MBES or Mag. data, so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a debris field associated with wreck (7176). | | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7179 | Debris | 408288 | 5772034 | A2_h | 3.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | - | A distinct, thin and elongate dark reflector with a bright, uneven shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. The feature is situated in a depression and is situated 33 m south of wreck 7176. This position was not directly covered by the MBES or Mag. data, so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible debris that may be associated with wreck 7176. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7180 | Debris field | 408302 | 5772011 | A2_h | 22.1 | 4.5 | 0.3 | - | A group of distinct dark reflectors with bright shadows orientated in a crescent shape on the seabed. The feature comprises elongate, curvilinear and angular objects. The feature is situated in a depression and is situated 46 m south of wreck 7176. This position was not directly covered by the MBES or Mag. data, so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible debris field that may be associated with wreck 7176. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7181 | Debris | 408295 | 5771999 | A2_h | 3.3 | 1.8 | 0.2 | - | A distinct, slightly curvilinear dark reflector with a bright, flared shadow. The feature is situated 8 m southwest of debris field 7180 and 68 m south of wreck 7176. This position was not directly covered by the MBES or Mag. data, so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as debris that may be associated with wreck 7176. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7182 | Debris | 408452 | 5772085 | A2_I | 8.6 | 5.4 | 0.1 | - | An irregularly shaped mound that may be multiple objects, a linear mound measuring 4.3 x 1.4 m is visible in the centre of the feature and two smaller slightly elongate mounds either side. The feature is distinct to the surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7183 | Debris field | 408443 | 5772054 | A2_I | 12.5 | 6.6 | 0.2 | - | A possible debris field comprising three straight mounds, individually these measure approximately 2.9 x 1.6 m. The features are relatively low lying but distinct to the surrounding seabed. No anomalous features | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible non-ferrous debris field. | | | |
| 7184 | Magnetic | 408389 | 5772026 | A2_h | - | - | - | 44 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7185 | Magnetic | 408617 | 5771809 | A2_I | - | - | - | 38 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7186 | Mound | 408832 | 5771817 | A2_I | 2.6 | 1.2 | 0.1 | - | A small but distinct, elongate mound situated on a featureless area of seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be nonferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7187 | Magnetic | 408456 | 5771702 | A2_I | - | - | - | 26 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7188 | Magnetic | 408509 | 5771587 | A2_h | - | - | - | 40 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. May relate to Mag. anomaly 7189 situated 30 m east. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7189 | Magnetic | 408540 | 5771587 | A2_h | - | - | - | 41 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. May relate to Mag. anomaly 7188 situated 30 m west. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7190 | Magnetic | 408698 | 5771628 | A2_h | - | - | - | 33 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7191 | Magnetic | 408732 | 5771440 | A2_I | - | - | - | 43 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7192 | Magnetic | 408469 | 5771273 | A2_h | - | - | - | 67 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7193 | Magnetic | 408456 | 5771208 | A2_I | - | - | - | 47 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7194 | Mound | 408220 | 5771113 | A2_I | 8.6 | 1.6 | 0.2 | - | An elongate mound with gently sloping sides and a rounded, uneven peak. The feature is orientated east to west on the seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | | | |
| 7195 | Dark reflector | 408197 | 5771091 | A2_h | 3.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | - | A distinct curvilinear dark reflector with a bright short shadow, the object may be broken up. This position was not directly covered by the MBES or Mag. data, so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7196 | Dark reflector | 408286 | 5771077 | A2_h | 6.7 | 1.1 | 0.5 | - | A distinct elongate dark reflector with an uneven shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. There is an area of disturbed seabed to the west of the feature. In the MBES data the feature is visible as an elongate mound with one steeply sloping side and the other gently sloping. The feature is possibly split in two near to its southern end, or slightly broken up and is orientated north to south on the seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7197 | Magnetic | 408275 | 5770970 | A2_I | - | - | - | 31 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7198 | Magnetic | 408666 | 5770865 | A2_I | - | - | - | 44 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7199 | Magnetic | 408354 | 5770781 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7200 | Magnetic | 408257 | 5770675 | A2_h | - | - | - | 67 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| '201 | Magnetic | 408631 | 5770494 | A2_h | - | - | - | 54 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7202 | Magnetic | 408225 | 5770154 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 203 | Magnetic | 408697 | 5769701 | A2_h | - | - | - | 67 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7204 | Magnetic | 408874 | 5769663 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7205 | Magnetic | 408777 | 5769617 | A2_I | - | - | - | 36 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7206 | Debris | 408848 | 5769623 | A2_h | 7.6 | 0.9 | 0.1 | - | A distinct, thin linear dark reflector with a bright shadow. The feature is isolated in an area of relatively featureless seabed. Faintly visible in the MBES dataset as an uneven area of seabed. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible linear item of debris | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7207 | Magnetic | 408842 | 5769435 | A2_h | - | - | - | 64 | A medium positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line, also visible on other profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7208 | Magnetic | 408860 | 5769377 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7209 | Magnetic | 408808 | 5769265 | A2_I | - | - | - | 27 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7210 | Linear debris | 408874 | 5769228 | A2_I | 13.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | A distinct, thin slightly curvilinear dark reflector with a bright, uneven shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. The feature is slightly intermittent and may be broken up or partially buried and is orientated NNW to SSE. Faintly visible in the MBES data as a low-lying linear mound. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag data at this location. Interpreted as possible non-ferrous linear debris, such as a length of rope or chain. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7211 | Magnetic | 408643 | 5769101 | A2_I | - | - | - | 30 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7212 | Magnetic | 408686 | 5768738 | A2_h | - | - | - | 47 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7213 | Magnetic | 408325 | 5768651 | A2_h | - | - | - | 37 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7214 | Magnetic | 408222 | 5768596 | A2_h | - | - | - | 49 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7215 | Magnetic | 408216 | 5768497 | A2_I | - | - | - | 28 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 7216 | Magnetic | 408211 | 5768319 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7219 | Dark reflector | 408243 | 5767563 | A2_h | 6.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | - | Two linear dark reflectors with short, bright shadows directly next to one another. The feature is isolated on a relatively featureless seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7220 | Dark reflector | Dark reflector | 5767564 | A2_I | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.1 | - | A distinct slightly angular dark reflector with a short shadow. Situated directly next to two linear dark reflectors (7219) and may be related. The feature is isolated on a relatively featureless seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7221 | Dark reflector | 408354 | 5767487 | A2_h | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.4 | - | A small but distinct angular dark reflector with a bright shadow, isolated in an area of otherwise featureless seabed in the SSS data. Also identified in the MBES dataset as a small, distinct angular mound with steeply sloping sides and a pointed peak situated in a slight depression with scouring to the southwest measuring 7.0 m and -0.3 m deep. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Associated with a UKHO record for a small unidentified feature, measuring 0.7 x 0.6 x 0.5 m, identified during a wreck investigation. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | UKHO_96272 |
| 7222 | Magnetic | 408405 | 5767012 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7223 | Magnetic | 408335 | 5766438 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7224 | Magnetic | 408218 | 5766091 | A2_h | - | - | - | 92 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70061 | Magnetic | 408394 | 5765590 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70062 | Mound | 408226 | 5765332 | A2_I | 2.3 | 1.4 | 0.2 | - | A slightly elongate and slightly angular mound, oriented east-west. Within an area of large sand waves. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70063 | Magnetic | 408402 | 5764964 | A2_h | - | - | - | 57 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 70064 | Magnetic | 408268 | 5764789 | A2_I | - | - | - | 8 | A small, broad symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Located approximately 62 m ENE of wreck 7231 and may be related, but this is uncertain. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70065 | Magnetic | 408164 | 5764788 | A2_h | - | - | - | 84 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Located approximately 44 m WNW of wreck 7231 and may be related, but this is uncertain. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7231 | Wreck | 408206 | 5764776 | A1 | 14.3 | 10.7 | 2.4 | 786 | Identified in the SSS dataset as two tightly constrained debris fields made up of multiple dark reflectors ranging from angular to sub rounded and linear, with shadows of varying lengths, interspersed with numerous smaller angular items of debris. Some larger pieces of debris are visible including a very large central dark reflector with a large, tall shadow. Observed in the MBES dataset as a slightly elongate, angular object with a stepped outline in profile, with the south extent forming the tallest and most angular part of the object. The north extents are increasingly rounded, appearing almost cylindrical. A scour is present to the west, north, and east and sits within the rectangular depression outline (25.0 x 15.0 m). Associated with a very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. dataset. Corresponds with the position of an unknown, recorded wreck in the UKHO data, last surveyed in 2019. The wreck was described as having two visible structures of a suspected wreck present and dimensions of 19.9 x 3.6 x 4.0 m from MBES data, orientated 215° on the seabed. Interpreted as a heavily broken up wreck visible as several tightly constrained debris fields interspersed and surrounded by several scattered items of ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | UKHO_92098 |
| 70066 | Debris field | 408199 | 5764763 | A1 | 11.8 | 4.4 | 0.8 | 786 | Identified in the MBES dataset as a crescent shaped, slightly elongate mound, curving from west to northeast (2.5 x 2.1 x 0.8 m). There are 3 small mounds 1 m to the northeast, each spaced approx. 1 m apart, oriented southwest-northeast. Sits within a rectangular depression (25.0 x 15.0 m). A further rounded mound, approx. 1.0 x 1.0 x 0.1 m, is located 2 m to the northeast. Observed in the Mag. dataset as a very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as a ferrous debris field, likely related to wreck 7231. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7230 | Magnetic | 407804 | 5764699 | A2_h | - | - | - | 120 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70067 | Magnetic | 408095 | 5764609 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70068 | Magnetic | 408356 | 5764432 | A2_I | - | - | - | 34 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70069 | Mound | 408160 | 5763464 | A2_I | 3.3 | 1.6 | 0.1 | - | A sub-rounded mound within a small depression (approx. 3.0 x 3.0 m) with an uneven peak. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70070 | Seabed disturbance | 408081 | 5762555 | A2_I | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 21 | Identified in the SSS dataset as a seabed disturbance made up of an area of high reflectivity, containing three rounded dark reflectors measuring approx. 0.4 m across, two of which have a distinct, tapered shadow. Observed in the MBES dataset as an isolated rounded mound, located within a rounded elongate depression (9.0 x 5.0 m max). Associated with a small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70071 | Magnetic | 407777 | 5762477 | A2_I | - | - | - | 9 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Quite sharp. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70072 | Magnetic | 407544 | 5762452 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70073 | Magnetic | 407955 | 5762362 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70074 | Magnetic | 407783 | 5762312 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70075 | Magnetic | 407730 | 5762087 | A2_I | - | - | - | 33 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70076 | Magnetic | 407576 | 5761930 | A2_h | - | - | - | 64 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Wide and odd form. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70077 | Magnetic | 407527 | 5761588 | A2_I | - | - | - | 33 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70078 | Magnetic | 407784 | 5761164 | A2_I | - | - | - | 9 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70079 | Magnetic | 407754 | 5760206 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70080 | Seabed disturbance | 407221 | 5760026 | A2_I | 6.9 | 6.5 | 0.6 | - | A pair of elongate sub-rounded mounds in a 'V' shape, oriented north-south and northeast-southwest, with an associated elongate depression immediately to the west oriented north-south. The easternmost mound is more variable in form but still appears rounded. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70081 | Mound | 407234 | 5759900 | A2_I | 3.5 | 1.3 | 0.1 | - | An elongate mound, oriented northwest-southeast. Comprises 3 individual peaks, however has minimal height, so each peak is <0.1 m in height. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70082 | Linear debris | 407568 | 5759758 | A2_I | 53.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | - | A curvilinear piece of linear debris with a very short shadow on a roughly northeast to southwest alignment. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. This is interpreted as a possibly non-ferrous modern feature such as fishing gear and therefore may not be of archaeological interest. However, as this cannot be confirmed without further investigation, the feature has been retained as a precaution. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70083 | Linear debris | 407555 | 5759747 | A2_I | 72.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | A length of linear debris on a roughly north to south alignment with a very short shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. This is interpreted as a possibly nonferrous modern feature such as fishing gear and therefore may not be of archaeological interest. However, as this cannot be confirmed without further investigation, the feature has been retained as a precaution. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70084 | Magnetic | 407420 | 5759468 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70085 | Magnetic | 407289 | 5759446 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70086 | Magnetic | 407528 | 5759365 | A2_I | - | - | - | 29 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70087 | Dark reflector | 407130 | 5759350 | A2_I | 3.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | A curvilinear dark reflector with a short distinct shadow on a north to south alignment, curving to east-west. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70088 | Dark reflector | 407507 | 5759343 | A2_I | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | A short curvilinear dark reflector with a small shadow on its northern end located in an area of sand waves. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70089 | Seabed disturbance | 407532 | 5759274 | A2_h | 20.2 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 1241 | A seabed disturbance made up of an elongate area of high reflectivity immediately next to wreck 70060. Potentially covering debris. A large magnetic signal originating from the wreck and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70090 | Wreck | 407526 | 5759254 | A1 | 22.8 | 8.1 | 4.8 | 1241 | Identified in the SSS dataset as a large, sub-rounded and elongate dark reflector with a tall shadow of varying height surrounded by several debris fields. Shape of a hull vaguely visible. In the vicinity of some large sand waves. Observed in the MBES dataset as wide, tall, elongate, angular mound, oriented northeast-southwest. Steep-sided on the northwest and southeast slopes, with a more gradual gradient on the other sides. At the northeast extent there are 2 small angular mounds, that are attached and part of the same structure. No internal structures | MBES, | NEXT 2024 | UKHO_14722 |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|---------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | are visible throughout the object. Shallow scour is present to the west and northeast, with sand ripples to the north and southwest. Very indistinct debris field (approx. 10.0 x 10.0 m) immediately to the southwest of the main wreck, comprising various sub-rounded mounds, which may be partially buried by larger sand waves. Associated with a very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. Corresponds with the position of an unknown, recorded wreck in the UKHO data last surveyed in 2019. The wreck was described as partially buried and broken apart and dimensions of 22.4 x 6.5 x 5.1 m from MBES data, oriented 32° on the seabed. Interpreted as a partially buried and slightly broken up wreck visible as a larger structure surrounded by several tightly constrained debris fields. | | | |
| 70091 | Debris | 407540 | 5759259 | A1 | 5.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1241 | An irregular dark reflector with a shadow, located 6 m from wreck 70090 in the shape of an anchor. Likely debris. A large magnetic signal originating from the wreck and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Interpreted as ferrous debris associated with the wreck. | SSS, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70093 | Debris field | 407519 | 5759241 | A1 | 8.5 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 1241 | A group of at least three rounded mounds at the southwest end of wreck 70090, within sand waves so full extent may be buried. Largest object measures 1.6 x 1.4 m. A large magnetic signal originating from the wreck and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as ferrous debris associated with the wreck. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70094 | Magnetic | 407439 | 5759211 | A2_I | - | - | - | 27 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70095 | Magnetic | 407104 | 5758391 | A2_h | - | - | - | 91 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70096 | Magnetic | 407345 | 5758346 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70097 | Mound | 406887 | 5757932 | A2_I | 2.0 | 1.7 | 0.1 | - | A rounded mound with an uneven peak, appearing large for the surrounding area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70098 | Depression | 406913 | 5757613 | A2_I | 5.5 | 3.1 | -0.2 | - | An isolated, slightly elongate, rounded depression with an uneven base, oriented north-south. Deepest at the north extent and appears anomalous for the surrounding area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature, or may be caused by unresolved non-ferrous debris at its base. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70099 | Magnetic | 407183 | 5757459 | A2_h | - | - | - | 156 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70100 | Dark reflector | 407060 | 5757391 | A2_I | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | - | A rounded dark reflector with a tapered shadow, with a linear dark reflector on a north-south alignment lying alongside another feature (70101) that is likely related. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70101 | Dark reflector | 407059 | 5757388 | A2_I | 5.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | - | An elongate dark reflector on a roughly north-south alignment with a short shadow. Likely related to rounded dark reflector 70100 on its northern end. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70102 | Mound | 407041 | 5757374 | A2_I | 2.7 | 1.4 | 0.1 | - | An elongate mound, oriented north-south. Tallest to the north, with a stepped profile. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70103 | Magnetic | 407084 | 5757084 | A2_I | - | - | - | 40 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70104 | Mound | 407231 | 5756930 | A2_I | 3.3 | 1.6 | 0.2 | - | An isolated, elongate, rounded mound which appears very indistinct; possibly partially buried. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70105 | Magnetic | 406953 | 5756843 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70106 | Magnetic | 406845 | 5756814 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70107 | Magnetic | 407171 | 5756605 | A2_h | - | - | - | 119 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70108 | Magnetic | 406841 | 5756559 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Possibly related to wreck 70117, but located approximately 230 m away so this is uncertain. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70109 | Mound | 406898 | 5756502 | A2_I | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 | - | A sub-angular mound within a rounded depression (3.7 x 2.7 x -0.2 m). No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. Possibly related to wreck 70117, but located approximately 220 m away so this is uncertain. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70110 | Dark reflector | 406878 | 5756483 | A2_I | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 | - | An angular elongate dark reflector with angular shadow and some scour, distinct from surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. Possibly related to wreck 70117, but located approximately 195 m away so this is uncertain. | | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70111 | Debris | 406847 | 5756464 | A2_h | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.2 | - | A sub-angular mound within a sub-rounded depression (3.6 x 2.6 x -0.1 m). No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as ferrous debris. Possibly related to wreck 70117, but located approximately 155 m away so this is uncertain. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70112 | Mound | 406767 | 5756453 | A2_I | 4.0 | 2.4 | 0.5 | - | A slightly elongate rounded mound, in a large area of scour. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. Possibly related to wreck 70117, but located approximately 100 m away so this is uncertain. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70113 | Debris | 406667 | 5756432 | A2_h | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.2 | - | A sub-rounded mound within a depression (5 x 4 x -0.3 m max). May be related to wreck 70117, 70 m to the southeast, but this is uncertain. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70114 | Debris | 406741 | 5756416 | A1 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | - | An indistinct, elongate dark reflector on a northeast-southwest alignment with a bright shadow of varying height. A large magnetic signal originating from the nearby wreck and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible debris, located within the scour from wreck 70117 and potentially related. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70115 | Debris | 406776 | 5756403 | A1 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | - | An elongate dark reflector on a northeast to southwest alignment with a shadow. Likely related to wreck 70117. A large magnetic signal originating from the wreck and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70116 | Debris | 406756 | 5756390 | A1 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 | - | Identified in the SSS dataset as a small, elongate dark reflector with a shadow of variable height. Observed in the MBES dataset as a slightly elongate rounded mound, located 10 m to the southeast of scour associated with wreck 70117. Possibly partially buried debris related to nearby wreck. A large magnetic signal originating from the wreck and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. Interpreted as possible debris. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70117 | Wreck | 406736 | 5756356 | A1 | 83.2 | 23.5 | 17.6 | 86807 | Identified in the SSS dataset as a very large elongate dark reflector with a long shadow which contains numerous individual elongate and subangular dark reflectors with shadows of varying height. On an east-west alignment with some varied reflectivity towards the eastern end of the overall dark reflector. Observed in the MBES as a structure oriented WNW-ESE, with associated sediment aggradation to the southwest for over 160 m and beyond the survey extents. The hull outline appears intact at the west of the structure. Some internal rectangular surface structures are visible toward the east extent of the structure, where the structure appears more heavily degraded. The south outline of the structure is not visible for the most part, due to the sediment aggradation, and this has also likely part buried the structure in its centre. This suggests the vessel is listing over to the south. Large areas of scour are present to the northeast and southwest of the structure, with the linear scour from the west extent continuing over 200 m to the northeast. Associated with a very large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line visible across adjacent lines of 86,807 nT. Corresponds with the position of the recorded wreck <i>Thyra</i> in the UKHO record, last surveyed in 2016. This was a steamship built in 1925 by Fredrikstad Mek Vaerks (Fredrikstad) which was sunk on 28th February 1942 after it struck a mine while on passage from Hartlepool to London. The UKHO describes the site as a dangerous wreck, lying on its | | NEXT 2024 | UKHO_14685 |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | port side with considerable break up at the northern end leading to a deep scour as well as being heavily covered by nets, measuring 84.0 x 20.0 x 9.6 m. Interpreted as a wreck with severely broken up elements and surrounded by several debris fields. | | | |
| 70118 | Debris | 406726 | 5756385 | A1 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | - | An elongate dark reflector with a short shadow on a northeast-southwest alignment. A large magnetic signal originating from the wreck and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES dataset at this location. Interpreted as possible debris, located within the scour from wreck 70117 and potentially related. | | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70119 | Dark reflector | 406778 | 5756380 | A2_I | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | - | An indistinct, round dark reflector with a irregular shadow. A large magnetic signal originating from the wreck and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70120 | Dark reflector | 406787 | 5756339 | A2_I | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.6 | - | A rounded dark reflector with varied reflectivity and a varied, bright shadow. Some surrounding sediment build up. A large magnetic signal originating from the wreck and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70121 | Debris | 406769 | 5756339 | A1 | 10.3 | 1.5 | 0.7 | - | An angular mound $(2.7 \times 1.5 \times 0.7 \text{ m})$, connected to wreck 70117 by a linear object. The mound sits proud of the wreck outline, 8 m to the southeast of the eastern end. A large magnetic signal originating from the wreck and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS dataset at this location. Interpreted as debris associated with the wreck. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70122 | Magnetic | 406845 | 5756334 | A2_I | - | - | - | 39 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Possibly related to wreck 70117, but located approximately 110 m away so this is uncertain. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70123 | Seabed disturbance | 406765 | 5756333 | A2_I | 16.7 | 4.2 | 0.2 | - | A seabed disturbance made up of 4 or 5 elongate dark reflectors with bright shadows, in amongst scattered natural rounded dark reflectors. Potentially related to wreck 70117. A large magnetic signal originating from the wreck and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried debris. | | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70124 | Dark reflector | 406718 | 5756325 | A2_I | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.7 | - | A sub-rounded dark reflector with a bright shadow in an area of sand waves. A large magnetic signal originating from the wreck and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. Possibly related to wreck 70117, but this is uncertain. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70125 | Debris field | 406756 | 5756322 | A1 | 32.0 | 13.4 | 0.9 | - | An elongate cluster of mounds of varying shape and size, located within scour immediately south of wreck 70117. Individual mounds are mostly elongate, but seemingly random, with angular spurs off the main elongate mounds. Parts of these may be natural features that have been exposed by scour, however there may be anthropogenic components too. A large magnetic signal originating from the wreck and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the | | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS dataset at this location. Interpreted as a debris field associated with the wreck. | | | |
| 70126 | Dark reflector | 406778 | 5756320 | A2_I | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.2 | - | A small, very faint dark reflector with a small shadow. A large magnetic signal originating from the wreck and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. Possibly related to wreck 70117, but this is uncertain. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70127 | Debris | 406786 | 5756314 | A1 | 9.9 | 1.4 | 0.4 | - | A number of small rounded and linear dark reflectors with one large shadow. A large magnetic signal originating from wreck 70117 and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES dataset at this location. Interpreted as possible debris, likely relating to the wreck | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70128 | Seabed disturbance | 406772 | 5756319 | A2_I | 14.0 | 6.1 | 1.2 | - | A seabed disturbance made up of a sub-rounded area of high but varied reflectivity. Shadow is hard to measure due to surrounding low reflectivity of seabed. A large magnetic signal originating from wreck 70117 and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried debris. Possibly related to wreck 70117, but this is uncertain. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70129 | Debris field | 406712 | 5756318 | A1 | 9.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | - | Multiple elongate, angular mounds, oriented east-west at the top of a slope of sediment. Other smaller more indistinct angular mounds are present immediately to the south and east. A large magnetic signal originating from wreck 70117 and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible debris field, likely relating to the wreck. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70130 | Dark reflector | 406782 | 5756316 | A2_I | 2.3 | 0.9 | 0.4 | - | A very faint L-shaped dark reflector with an equally indistinct shadow. A large magnetic signal originating from wreck 70117 and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. Possibly related to wreck 70117, but this is uncertain. | | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70131 | Seabed disturbance | 406710 | 5756302 | A2_I | 12.0 | 7.0 | 0.5 | - | A cluster of small angular mounds of varying size and shape, outlined to the north by an elongate depression (11 x 3 x -0.5 m) oriented eastwest. A large magnetic signal originating from wreck 70117 and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried debris. Possibly related to wreck 70117, but this is uncertain. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70132 | Mound | 406702 | 5756292 | A2_I | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.3 | - | A rounded mound located at the southern extent of scour related to wreck 70117. Better defined and more discrete than other random outcropping to the northeast, and located 5 m north of 70134. A large magnetic signal originating from the wreck and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible debris. Possibly related to wreck 70117, but this is uncertain. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70133 | Mound | 406703 | 5756290 | A2_I | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | - | A slightly elongate, angular mound, located between anomalies 70132 and 70134. A large magnetic signal originating from wreck 70117 and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible debris. Possibly related to wreck 70117, but this is uncertain. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70134 | Debris | 406702 | 5756286 | A1 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.4 | - | A slightly elongate, rounded anomaly located at the southern extent of scour related to wreck 70117. Better defined and more discrete than other random outcropping to the northeast, and located 5 m south of anomaly 70132. A large magnetic signal originating from the wreck and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS dataset at this location. Interpreted as possible debris, likely related to the wreck. | | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70135 | Seabed disturbance | 406780 | 5756280 | A2_I | 9.9 | 5.7 | 0.8 | - | A seabed disturbance made up of a large area of low reflectivity containing two elongate dark reflectors and a smaller rounded dark reflector on its western side. No anomalous features identified in the MBES or Mag. at this location. Could be a natural feature or indicate partially buried non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70136 | Debris | 406647 | 5756272 | A2_h | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.4 | - | An angular mound, surrounded by a depression on its north, east, and west, sides. Located 100 m southwest of wreck 70117. A large magnetic signal originating from the wreck and/or the surrounding debris features has obscured any smaller signals in the area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS dataset at this location. Interpreted as possible debris. Possibly related to wreck 70117, but located approximately 120 m away so this is uncertain. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70137 | Magnetic | 406658 | 5756260 | A2_h | - | - | - | 238 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Possibly related to wreck 70117, but located approximately 125 m away so this is uncertain. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70138 | Mound | 406673 | 5756202 | A2_I | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 | - | A sub-rounded mound, within an area of geological outcropping. Better defined and taller than other nearby mounds. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70139 | Mound | 406787 | 5756068 | A2_I | 3.2 | 1.9 | 0.1 | - | Low-lying irregularly shaped mound with uneven peak, located 2 m east of similar feature 70140. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70140 | Mound | 406784 | 5756067 | A2_I | 2.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | - | A slightly elongate mound with gently sloping sides and relatively flat peak, located 2 m WSW of 70139. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70141 | Mound | 406967 | 5755899 | A2_I | 7.0 | 1.5 | 0.1 | - | A uniform elongate mound oriented WNW-ESE. Maybe some form of linear debris. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70142 | Magnetic | 406809 | 5755845 | A2_h | - | - | - | 74 | A medium, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70143 | Debris field | 406807 | 5755494 | A2_h | 86.5 | 14.4 | 0.4 | 160 | Identified in the SSS dataset as three linear dark reflectors on a roughly east to west alignment, with distinct bright shadows of variable length. The longest is approx. 80 m in length, with two shorter to the south of it. Observed in the MBES dataset as an elongate, curvilinear mound, oriented east-west. Consistent in width and height throughout. At the midpoint, there are two adjoining linears that are part of the same overall object. These adjoining parts are also of consistent width and height, and continue 10 m to the southeast. Average width of mounds is 1.6 m. Associated with a large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag data. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70145 | Debris | 406956 | 5755454 | A2_h | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 47 | Identified in the SSS dataset as a subrounded dark reflector with a long shadow, distinct from the surrounding seabed. Observed in the MBES dataset as a rounded mound, larger than others nearby. Associated with a small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. dataset. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70146 | Magnetic | 406809 | 5755336 | A2_I | - | - | - | 42 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70147 | Magnetic | 407020 | 5755081 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70148 | Magnetic | 406595 | 5755068 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70149 | Mound | 406497 | 5755054 | A2_I | 1.8 | 1.4 | 0.1 | - | An isolated rounded mound, in an area of otherwise featureless seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible nonferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70150 | Magnetic | 406576 | 5754945 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70151 | Magnetic | 406791 | 5754734 | A2_h | - | - | - | 143 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70152 | Dark reflector | 406371 | 5754555 | A2_I | 3.0 | 1.7 | 0.2 | - | A sub-angular dark reflector with a bright, distinct shadow. Tagged due to isolation, as well as more angular than nearby reflectors with a much more distinct shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70153 | Magnetic | 406506 | 5754453 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70154 | Magnetic | 406640 | 5754411 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70155 | Depression | 406440 | 5754389 | A2_I | 1.2 | 1.1 | -0.8 | - | A sub-angular depression, within a large area of sand ripples. No other depression features nearby. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70156 | Magnetic | 406720 | 5754236 | A2_I | - | - | - | 30 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70157 | Seabed disturbance | 406436 | 5754078 | A2_I | 6.3 | 1.5 | 0.1 | - | A seabed disturbance made up of three sub-rounded faint dark reflectors with short shadows measuring approx. 1.4 m to 1.7 m across. Located in an area of sand ripples. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70158 | Magnetic | 406521 | 5754063 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70159 | Magnetic | 406726 | 5754028 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70160 | Magnetic | 406541 | 5753962 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70161 | Mound | 406300 | 5753487 | A2_I | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.2 | - | An isolated sub-angular mound with a slight double peak, in an area of otherwise featureless seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70162 | Magnetic | 406531 | 5753450 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70163 | Magnetic | 406501 | 5753444 | A2_I | - | - | - | 23 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70164 | Magnetic | 406555 | 5753306 | A2_I | - | - | - | 45 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70165 | Magnetic | 406503 | 5753193 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70166 | Dark reflector | 406592 | 5753022 | A2_I | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | - | An angular dark reflector with tapered shadow and some scour, distinct from surrounding mobile seabed bedforms. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70167 | Dark reflector | 406408 | 5752734 | A2_I | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | - | A subrounded dark reflector with short tapered shadow, somewhat distinct from surrounding sand ripples. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70168 | Magnetic | 406449 | 5752592 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70169 | Dark reflector | 406570 | 5752500 | A2_I | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 | - | An irregular angular dark reflector with slightly flared shadow, distinct from surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70170 | Magnetic | 406273 | 5752337 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70171 | Mound | 406282 | 5752113 | A2_I | 2.1 | 1.5 | 0.2 | - | A double peaked mound within sand waves and a slight scour. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70172 | Magnetic | 406323 | 5751911 | A2_h | - | - | - | 110 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70173 | Dark reflector | 406457 | 5751693 | A2_I | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.9 | - | Identified in the SSS dataset as a subangular dark reflector with long slightly tapered shadow, distinct from surrounding seabed. Observed in the MBES dataset as a small sub-angular mound with rounded, slightly tapered peak, not clearly natural. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7265 | Magnetic | 406112 | 5750804 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile linen the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7266 | Debris | 406470 | 5750842 | A2_h | 3.0 | 1.4 | 0.6 | - | A distinct slightly curvilinear dark reflector with an uneven shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. Identified in the MBES dataset as a small angular mound with steeply sloping sides and a pointed peak. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Situated 50 m northeast of wreck 7269 and may be associated. Interpreted as possible debris. | | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7267 | Linear debris | 406474 | 5750827 | A2_h | 12.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | Distinct curvilinear dark reflector with a short shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this position. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Situated 38 m northeast of wreck 7269 and may be associated. Identified as possible curvilinear debris or a short length of rope or chain associated with wreck 7269. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7268 | Debris | 406448 | 5750818 | A2_h | 2.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | - | A distinct curvilinear dark reflector with a bright shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location, however the large Mag. anomaly associated with wreck 7269 may be masking any smaller anomalies in this area. Situated 28 m northeast of | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | wreck 7269 and may be associated. Interpreted as possible debris associated with wreck 7269. | | | |
| 7269 | Wreck | 406448 | 5750777 | A1 | 43.5 | 20.7 | 3.2 | 5114 | A large wreck visible in the SSS data as a large elongate and intermittent dark reflector with bright shadows interpreted to be the hull. Within this multiple linear, elongate, and irregular dark reflectors with shadows are visible. One elongate object measures 13.1 x 1.6 m. Identified in the MBES dataset as a spread of debris comprising a large rectangular mound that measures 13.6 x 4.3 x 3.0 m with steeply sloping edges and a relatively flat peak, the feature is surrounded by linear and curvilinear mounds and sediment accumulation, some objects are very distinct and others may be partially buried. The feature has scouring orientated mainly to the south measuring 28 m and is -0.5 m deep. Identified in the Mag. dataset as a very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line, indicating substantial ferrous material is present. Associated with a UKHO and NMHR record for UC11 (Possibly), a UI-type WWI minelaying submarine that ran into one of its own mines and sank. The wreck is reported as being upturned, with a strong magnetic anomaly associated. The wreck was last surveyed in 2016 and described as being broken up with dimensions of 27 x 11 x 4.3 m. The UKHO record suggests that the wreck may have been salvaged in the past. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021 | UKHO_14599, NMHR_908160 |
| 7270 | Debris field | 406438 | 5750789 | A1 | 32.3 | 11.8 | 0.8 | - | Distinct group of dark reflectors with bright shadows comprising straight and elongate objects, the largest object measures 7.8 x 0.2 m. Visible in the MBES dataset as a group of low-lying angular mounds. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location, however the large Mag. anomaly associated with wreck 7269 may be masking any smaller anomalies in this area. Situated on the northwestern edge of wreck 7269 and may be collapsed structure. Interpreted as a debris field associated with wreck 7269. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7271 | Debris | 406441 | 5750764 | A2_h | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.3 | - | Distinct sub-rounded dark reflector with a tapered shadow situated at the southern edge of wreck 7269. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location, however the large Mag. anomaly associated with wreck 7269 may be masking any smaller anomalies in this area. Interpreted as possible debris associated with wreck 7269. | | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70174 | Recorded wreck | 406124 | 5750234 | A3 | - | - | - | - | Recorded location of the wreck of the Zoroaster, a steam-ship built in 1900 and sank in 1916 following collision with a mine laid by UC-11. Originally identified in 1918 and dispersed in 1921, which is the last time the vessel was definitively identified at this location. Multiple subsequent surveys have failed to located the wreck at this position. No anomalies were visible at this location in the current geophysical data. The wreck is presumably well dispersed and buried or badly positioned and actually located elsewhere. | | - | UKHO_14593 |
| 70175 | Mound | 406441 | 5749798 | A2_I | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | - | A small subrounded mound within a slight depression, somewhat distinct from surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70176 | Mound | 406556 | 5749685 | A2_I | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 | - | A sub-rounded mound with an uneven top with double peaks, somewhat distinct from relatively featureless surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70177 | Mound | 406623 | 5749572 | A2_I | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 | - | A small rounded mound with slight (0.1 m depth) scour to the southwest, distinct from surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70178 | Magnetic | 406586 | 5749483 | A2_h | - | - | - | 60 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Quite sharp and narrow. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70179 | Magnetic | 406454 | 5749459 | A2_h | - | - | - | 77 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough across two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70180 | Magnetic | 406740 | 5749254 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70181 | Magnetic | 406857 | 5749192 | A2_I | - | - | - | 40 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Quite sharp and narrow. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70182 | Magnetic | 406652 | 5749094 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70183 | Magnetic | 406597 | 5749006 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70184 | Magnetic | 406713 | 5748998 | A2_I | - | - | - | 37 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70185 | Magnetic | 407261 | 5748965 | A2_h | - | - | - | 95 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70186 | Magnetic | 406511 | 5748964 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70187 | Magnetic | 407515 | 5748926 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Located within an area of seabed scars. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70188 | Mound | 406475 | 5748886 | A2_I | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.3 | - | A triangular subrounded mound with rounded top, somewhat distinct from surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 70189 | Dark reflector | 407228 | 5748878 | A2_I | 4.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | - | A thin dark reflector, with angular shadow adjacent to the similar feature 70190. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70190 | Dark reflector | 407235 | 5748872 | A2_I | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | A thin dark reflector with angular shadow adjacent to the similar feature 70189. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70191 | Magnetic | 406749 | 5748871 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70192 | Magnetic | 406690 | 5748809 | A2_h | - | - | - | 68 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7272 | Linear debris | 406440 | 5748792 | A2_I | 17.2 | 2.6 | 0.2 | - | Identified in the SSS dataset as a thin, curvilinear, dark reflector, with a shadow. Observed in the MBES dataset as an elongate curvilinear mound with a small rounded mound at the southern end (1.1 x 0.7 x 0.2 m) distinct from surrounding seabed. This position is associated with a slight Magnetic signal which does not appear particularly anomalous. This is interpreted as a possibly slightly ferrous modern feature such as fishing gear and therefore may not be of archaeological interest. However, as this cannot be confirmed without further investigation, the feature has been retained as a precaution. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70193 | Magnetic | 407340 | 5748743 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70194 | Magnetic | 408710 | 5748741 | A2_h | - | - | - | 126 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70195 | Seabed disturbance | 408972 | 5748740 | A2_I | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.1 | - | A rounded area of disturbed seabed, with a double-peaked subrounded mound within 0.2 m deep depression measuring 1.2 x 1.1 x 0.1 m, somewhat distinct from surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried non-ferrous debris. | | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70196 | Magnetic | 408052 | 5748738 | A2_h | - | - | - | 51 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Quite different from general area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70197 | Magnetic | 408758 | 5748736 | A2_h | - | - | - | 51 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Adjacent to another larger signal. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70198 | Magnetic | 408773 | 5748697 | A2_I | - | - | - | 38 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 70199 | Magnetic | 407996 | 5748678 | A2_I | - | - | - | 23 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70200 | Debris | 408670 | 5748673 | A2_h | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 31 | Identified in the SSS dataset as an indistinct curved dark reflector, with a rounded shadow. Observed in the Mag. dataset as a small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70201 | Magnetic | 406551 | 5748647 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70202 | Magnetic | 408274 | 5748634 | A2_I | - | - | - | 23 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Located within an area of seabed scars. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7274 | Magnetic | 406290 | 5748615 | A2_I | - | - | - | 33 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7273 | Linear debris | 406628 | 5748906 | A2_I | 846.0 | 10.5 | 0.3 | - | A very long group of low-lying angular mounds that are connected by long and thick curvilinear mounds, areas of disturbed seabed are also visible around the feature. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. datasets at this position. Interpreted as a possible debris field, and may be fishing gear however, this can't be confirmed without visual inspection. | MBES | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70203 | Magnetic | 408882 | 5748608 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Located in an area of seabed scars. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70204 | Magnetic | 408236 | 5748603 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Natural possibly. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Located in an area of seabed scars. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70205 | Magnetic | 408064 | 5748596 | A2_I | - | - | - | 9 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70206 | Magnetic | 408164 | 5748581 | A2_I | - | - | - | 34 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Located in an area of seabed scars. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70207 | Magnetic | 408179 | 5748580 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Adjacent to another larger signal, possibly two items next to each other. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Located in an area of seabed scars. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70208 | Debris | 408938 | 5748569 | A2_h | 2.5 | 1.6 | 0.7 | - | Identified in the SSS dataset as a slightly elongate, angular dark reflector with a slightly pointed shadow within a wider area of disturbed seabed with sand waves. Observed in the MBES dataset as a subrounded slightly elongate mound with rounded top, situated within depression, distinct from surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as nonferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70209 | Magnetic | 408677 | 5748568 | A2_I | - | - | - | 35 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. Possibly a very asymmetric dipole. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70210 | Magnetic | 408591 | 5748552 | A2_h | - | - | - | 62 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70211 | Magnetic | 406692 | 5748525 | A2_I | - | - | - | 29 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70212 | Magnetic | 408195 | 5748504 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70213 | Seabed disturbance | 409735 | 5748496 | A2_I | 10.5 | 5.2 | 0.6 | - | A cluster of three or four individual indistinct dark reflectors with tapered shadows. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70214 | Magnetic | 408715 | 5748492 | A2_h | - | - | - | 70 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70215 | Magnetic | 408298 | 5748488 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70216 | Magnetic | 408790 | 5748483 | A2_I | - | - | - | 33 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Quite narrow and sharp. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70217 | Debris | 409596 | 5748470 | A2_I | 4.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | - | A thin, elongate, dark reflector, with angular shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70218 | Magnetic | 408020 | 5748464 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70219 | Magnetic | 407256 | 5748459 | A2_h | - | - | - | 103 | A large negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. Very distinctive and anomalous for background. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70220 | Magnetic | 406931 | 5748446 | A2_I | - | - | - | 32 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7275 | Linear debris | 406553 | 5748345 | A2_I | 380.0 | 11.6 | 0.3 | - | A large area of disturbed seabed comprising irregular mounds that are connected by indistinct curvilinear mounds and depressions. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. datasets at this position. Interpreted as a possible non-ferrous debris field, and may be fishing gear however, this can't be confirmed without visual inspection. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70221 | Magnetic | 409079 | 5748331 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7276 | Mound | 406583 | 5748299 | A2_I | 9.7 | 2.1 | 0.2 | - | An elongate tapered mound with gently sloping sides and an uneven peak across its extent. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. datasets at this position. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70222 | Depression | 408583 | 5748299 | A2_I | 1.5 | 1.0 | -0.3 | - | An angular elongate depression, distinct from surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. datasets at this position. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may indicate the presence of possible partially buried non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7277 | Magnetic | 406759 | 5748271 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70223 | Magnetic | 407811 | 5748265 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70224 | Magnetic | 407945 | 5748245 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70225 | Seabed disturbance | 408387 | 5748208 | A2_I | 4.4 | 3.7 | 0.1 | - | A seabed disturbance comprising a small rounded mound measuring 1.2 x 1.2 x 0.1 m at the northeast end, and a small subangular mound at the southwest end measuring $0.8 \times 0.8 \times 0.1$ m within an elongate depression with sediment buildup either side. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70226 | Linear debris | 409916 | 5748189 | A2_h | 91.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 206 | Identified in the SSS dataset as a thin, curvilinear dark reflector of variable reflectivity along its length with a shadow of variable height. Observed in the Mag. dataset as a large, negative monopole with trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible long length of linear debris, possibly a chain based on the magnetic signal. | SSS, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70227 | Debris | 409897 | 5748185 | A2_h | 3.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 206 | Identified in the SSS dataset as a thin, elongate dark reflector with a shadow of variable height. Observed in the MBES dataset as a large, negative monopole with trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70228 | Magnetic | 409435 | 5748190 | A2_h | - | - | - | 55 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Isolated, quite negative and stands out from background signal. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70229 | Seabed disturbance | 409893 | 5748183 | A2_I | 6.4 | 5.4 | 0.1 | - | An indistinct area of dark reflection, with broad tapered shadow, possibly related to 70226 and 70227. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70230 | Magnetic | 407018 | 5748168 | A2_I | - | - | - | 9 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70231 | Magnetic | 408113 | 5748149 | A2_I | - | - | - | 9 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70234 | Magnetic | 407560 | 5748130 | A2_I | - | - | - | 8 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Possible signal adjacent to another similar signal. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70235 | Magnetic | 408687 | 5748128 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70236 | Magnetic | 407585 | 5748125 | A2_I | - | - | - | 32 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70237 | Mound | 409465 | 5748115 | A2_I | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.4 | - | A small angular mound, distinct from surrounding seabed located adjacent to feature 70241. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7278 | Magnetic | 406782 | 5748114 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70238 | Magnetic | 409962 | 5748112 | A2_h | - | - | - | 87 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Part of a larger trend of signals. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70239 | Dark reflector | 408746 | 5748106 | A2_I | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | - | Two parallel, thin, curvilinear, dark reflectors, with tapered shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70240 | Debris | 407504 | 5748106 | A2_h | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 43 | Identified in the SSS dataset as a thin, curved dark reflector with a tapered shadow of variable height. Observed in the Mag. dataset as a small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70241 | Linear debris | 409495 | 5748105 | A2_I | 78.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | - | Two curvilinear dark reflectors with shadows originating from the same point on the seabed, associated with a large area of surrounding seabed disturbance measuring approximately 37.0 x 6.0 m. Visible within both the SSS and MBES data, but without and associated Mag. anomaly. Possible lengths of rope or chain, potentially still anchored to the seabed, and potentially modern in age. However, this can't be confirmed without visual inspection, and so the features have been retained as a precaution. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70242 | Magnetic | 408432 | 5748098 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70243 | Magnetic | 407576 | 5748092 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70244 | Magnetic | 408707 | 5748088 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. Odd form, maybe several objects in close proximity. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70245 | Magnetic | 408718 | 5748086 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70246 | Magnetic | 407377 | 5748085 | A2_I | - | - | - | 48 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70247 | Magnetic | 408845 | 5748070 | A2_I | - | - | - | 31 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70248 | Magnetic | 409535 | 5748064 | A2_h | - | - | - | 117 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70249 | Magnetic | 407776 | 5748057 | A2_I | - | - | - | 52 | A medium negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line, in proximity to a large magnetic anomaly caused by wreck 7284 but unlikely to be directly related. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70250 | Magnetic | 407375 | 5748051 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70251 | Mound | 409993 | 5748038 | A2_I | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.4 | - | A small angular mound within slight depression, somewhat distinct from surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70252 | Debris | 408180 | 5748021 | A2_h | 5.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | - | A thin, elongate dark reflector with a bright variable height shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70253 | Magnetic | 408738 | 5748015 | A2_h | - | - | - | 72 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Possible object adjacent to similar signal. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70254 | Magnetic | 408747 | 5748014 | A2_h | - | - | - | 93 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70255 | Linear debris | 409926 | 5748013 | A2_h | 60.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 279 | Identified in the SSS dataset as a thin, curvilinear dark reflector with an irregular appearance and a shadow of largely consistent length with the exception of the southeast end which has a larger peaked shadow. Observed in the MBES dataset as a long curvilinear mound, distinct from the surrounding seabed. Associated with a large, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line, visible across numerous other profile lines in an irregular shaped signal in the Mag. data. Interpreted as a possible long length of linear debris, possibly a chain, attached to a possible anchor 70255 and in proximity to a similar feature 70257. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70256 | Debris | 409945 | 5747994 | A2_h | 4.6 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 279 | Identified in the MBES dataset as an angular V-shaped mound, distinct from the surrounding seabed. Observed in the Mag. dataset as a large, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line, visible across numerous other profile lines in an irregular shaped signal. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as ferrous debris, possibly an anchor attached to a chain 70255 adjacent to another length of chain 70257. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70257 | Linear debris | 409903 | 5748050 | A2_h | 115.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 279 | Identified in the SSS dataset as a thin, curvilinear dark reflector with an irregular appearance and a shadow of variable height. Observed in the Mag. Dataset as multiple Mag. anomalies of various forms and amplitudes along its length. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Interpreted as a possible long length of linear debris, possibly a chain, adjacent to another similar feature 70255 and possible anchor 70256. | | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70258 | Dark reflector | 407935 | 5747985 | A2_I | 2.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 | - | A thin, curved dark reflector with variable, angular shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70259 | Magnetic | 407941 | 5747965 | A2_I | - | - | - | 40 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70260 | Magnetic | 408301 | 5747973 | A2_I | - | - | - | 29 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70261 | Magnetic | 407954 | 5747963 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line, located close to large Mag. anomaly caused by wreck 7284 but unlikely to be directly related. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70262 | Magnetic | 408698 | 5747951 | A2_h | - | - | - | 108 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7279 | Dark reflector | 406680 | 5747949 | A2_I | 3.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | - | Identified in the SSS dataset as an elongate dark reflector with a bright shadow in an area of relatively featureless seabed. Identified in the MBES dataset as an indistinct slightly elongate mound, the feature is angular with an uneven peak, with some sediment accumulation either side. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. dataset at this position. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70263 | Magnetic | 408122 | 5747934 | A2_h | - | - | - | 108 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70264 | Magnetic | 407691 | 5747929 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. In proximity to a much larger signal though appears separate. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70265 | Magnetic | 407423 | 5747901 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70266 | Debris field | 407940 | 5747891 | A1 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 8960 | Identified in the MBES dataset as an angular debris field comprising an elongate angular mound measuring 3.4 x 1.0 x 0.3 m and an angular mound measuring 1.5 x 0.7 x 0.3 m, adjacent to the wreck 7284. Observed in the Mag. Dataset as a very large negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as ferrous debris immediately adjacent, and likely related to the wreck 7284. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70267 | Debris field | 407949 | 5747888 | A1 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 8960 | Identified in the MBES dataset as an irregular area of highly uneven seabed adjacent to the degraded northern extent of wreck 7284. Observed in the Mag. Dataset as a very large negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as ferrous debris immediately adjacent to and likely related to the wreck 7284. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70268 | Debris field | 407922 | 5747885 | A1 | 18.8 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 12371 | Identified in the SSS dataset as a cluster of indistinct, thin, curved, dark reflectors, with shadows of variable height. Observed in the MBES dataset as an elongate area of disturbed seabed comprising several angular mounds measuring up to 2.3 x 2.0 x 0.3 m, adjacent to wreck 7284 and distinct from surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location though a very large magnetic signal from the wreck has obscured any possible smaller signals. Interpreted as ferrous debris immediately adjacent to and likely related to the wreck 7284. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70269 | Debris | 407903 | 5747883 | A1 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | - | Identified in the SSS dataset as an indistinct dark reflector with a tapered shadow. Observed in the MBES as a small subrounded double-peaked mound, somewhat distinct from surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. Data at this location, although a very large magnetic signal from wreck 7284 has obscured any possible smaller signals. Interpreted as possible debris related to wreck 7284, located approximately 30 m to the east. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 7284 | Wreck | 407934 | 5747879 | A1 | 40.3 | 11.9 | 5.5 | 12371 | Identified in the SSS dataset as a wreck outline consisting of numerous internal angular structures with shadows, while the overall long shadow appears to obscure some further internal structure. Oriented approximately northeast-southwest, a scour is present to the northern side of the wreck with the overall feature truncated by the nadir. Observed in the MBES as coherent upright wreck lying northeast-southwest with some internal structure visible within the hull, possible degradation and partial detachment of the bow and stern, situated within a shallow scour. Highly distinct from surrounding seabed. Associated with a very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. Corresponds with the location of UKHO_14570, an unknown recorded wreck in the UKHO and NMHR records. The wreck was last surveyed in 2016 and reported as being upright in good condition with exception to the stern, with dimensions of 37.4 x 8.5 x 6.7 m with scour and a strong Mag. anomaly associated. Interpreted as a wreck surrounded by several debris fields, 70266, 70267 and 70268, as well as an item of debris, 70269. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | UKHO_14570 |
| 70270 | Mound | 407982 | 5747879 | A2_I | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.1 | - | A small subrounded mound within slight depression, somewhat distinct from surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7280 | Debris | 407617 | 5747876 | A2_h | 8.0 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 84 | Identified in the SSS dataset as an indistinct curvilinear dark reflector with a shadow of uniform height. Observed in the MBES as a linear mound with uneven top, somewhat distinct from surrounding seabed. Associated with a medium positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. dataset. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7281 | Magnetic | 407632 | 5747855 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70271 | Magnetic | 407333 | 5747846 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70272 | Dark reflector | 410527 | 5747818 | A2_I | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.9 | - | A sub-rounded object, with the nearside outlined by a faint dark reflector with a tapered shadow and some scour present to the north. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70273 | Magnetic | 410898 | 5747716 | A2_I | - | - | - | 28 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70274 | Magnetic | 411554 | 5747581 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70275 | Magnetic | 410660 | 5747539 | A2_I | - | - | - | 26 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70276 | Magnetic | 410913 | 5747503 | A2_I | - | - | - | 40 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70277 | Magnetic | 411137 | 5747433 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70278 | Magnetic | 411389 | 5747412 | A2_I | - | - | - | 33 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70279 | Magnetic | 411411 | 5747334 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70280 | Magnetic | 411331 | 5747310 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70281 | Magnetic | 411132 | 5747244 | A2_I | - | - | - | 46 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70282 | Dark reflector | 412062 | 5747205 | A2_I | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | - | A thin dark reflector with a long pointed shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70283 | Magnetic | 411981 | 5747189 | A2_h | - | - | - | 281 | A large, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. A large anomaly but not apparent on adjacent lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70284 | Linear debris | 412258 | 5747167 | A2_I | 78.9 | 4.7 | 0.2 | - | An elongate discontinuous linear mound, distinct from surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. This is interpreted as a possibly non-ferrous modern feature such as fishing gear and therefore may not be of archaeological interest. It also was not identified within the SSS data, so could be a MBES data artefact. However, as this cannot be confirmed without further investigation, the feature has been retained as a precaution. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70285 | Magnetic | 412033 | 5746976 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7302 | Magnetic | 413722 | 5745644 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7303 | Seabed disturbance | 414029 | 5745700 | A2_I | 10.8 | 6.2 | 0.5 | - | An area of disturbed seabed comprising an indistinct mound with a broad uneven peak measuring 5.5 x 4.0 x 0.5 m. The feature has an indistinct, elongate object directly next to it and is situated within a depression or scour to its southwest, the full extent of the featured may be buried. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. datasets at this position. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7304 | Mound | 414268 | 5744609 | A2_I | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.2 | - | An angular mound within a distinct depression or scour measuring $8.2x$ $4.0x$ - $0.2m$, the feature has sediment accumulation on its northern side. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. datasets at this position. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7305 | Magnetic | 414447 | 5744446 | A2_h | - | - | - | 57 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7306 | Dark reflector | 414197 | 5744148 | A2_I | 2.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | - | A distinct angular dark reflector with a bright uneven shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. In an area of relatively featureless seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. datasets at this position. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible nonferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7307 | Magnetic | 414655 | 5743435 | A2_h | - | - | - | 25 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7308 | Magnetic | 414937 | 5743191 | A2_h | - | - | - | 80 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7309 | Magnetic | 414703 | 5743007 | A2_I | - | - | - | 36 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7310 | Magnetic | 414818 | 5742708 | A2_h | - | - | - | 66 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7311 | Dark reflector | 415009 | 5742568 | A2_h | 5.1 | 3.1 | 1.2 | - | An indistinct dark reflector with a bright shadow situated within an area of disturbed seabed. Also identified in the MBES dataset as a large mound with relatively steep sides and a pointed peak, the feature is situated in a depression measuring 17.9 x 7.5 x -0.4 m with sediment accumulation around it and scouring to the north and south. Situated 12 m NNW of dark reflector 7312 and may be related. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7312 | Dark reflector | 415014 | 5742557 | A2_h | 2.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 | - | A distinct sub-rounded dark reflector with a bright tapered shadow. Also identified in the MBES dataset as a distinct mound with steeply sloping sides and a rounded peak, the feature has scouring to the south for 7 m. Situated 12 m SSE of larger dark reflector 7311 and may be related. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7313 | Magnetic | 414773 | 5742390 | A2_h | - | - | - | 41 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, also visible on adjacent profile. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7314 | Magnetic | 415303 | 5741542 | A2_I | - | - | - | 32 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7315 | Magnetic | 415826 | 5739816 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7316 | Magnetic | 416074 | 5739834 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7317 | Magnetic | 416184 | 5739667 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7318 | Mound | 415763 | 5739328 | A2_I | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.4 | - | An indistinct slightly angular mound situated within an area of sand waves, its full extent may be buried. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. datasets at this position. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7319 | Dark reflector | 415640 | 5738979 | A2_h | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | - | A distinct, slightly angular dark reflector with a bright asymmetric shadow. The feature has some possible scour to the ESE and is situated in an area of sand waves, so the object may be partially buried. Identified in the MBES dataset as a small angular mound in a slight depression, the feature has some sediment accumulation around it. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible debris. | | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7320 | Magnetic | 415680 | 5738730 | A2_h | - | - | - | 54 | A medium symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7321 | Debris | 416005 | 5738246 | A2_h | 3.2 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 127 | An indistinct angular dark reflector with an asymmetric shadow. The feature is isolated within an area of mobile sediments and may be partially buried. Associated with a large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES dataset at this location. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7322 | Magnetic | 415780 | 5737825 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7323 | Dark reflector | 415852 | 5737589 | A2_h | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.8 | - | A distinct sub-rounded dark reflector with a bright tapered shadow, the feature has some scour to the ESE and is isolated on a featureless area of seabed. Identified in the MBES dataset as a distinct triangular mound with steep sides, possible smaller angular mound attached to its eastern edge. The feature is in a slight depression measuring 13.8 x 8.6 x -0.6 m. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. dataset at this | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | | | |
| 7324 | Magnetic | 415921 | 5737472 | A2_I | - | - | - | 28 | A small, broad symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7325 | Debris | 415156 | 5735670 | A2_h | 3.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | - | A distinct, slightly elongate dark reflector with a bright shadow situated within an area of disturbed seabed, it's full extent may be buried. Also identified in the MBES dataset as a distinct rectangular mound with steep edges and a rounded peak, the feature has scour to the south for 4 m. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. dataset at this location. Interpreted as possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7326 | Magnetic | 414966 | 5735334 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small, broad symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7327 | Dark reflector | 414828 | 5735324 | A2_I | 3.0 | 1.2 | 0.3 | - | A distinct angular dark reflector with a bright shadow situated in an area of mobile sediments. Identified in the MBES dataset as a distinct pointed mound with steep sides situated at the edge of an area of sand waves, the feature has some slight scour to the south measuring 5 m. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7328 | Magnetic | 415086 | 5734998 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7329 | Magnetic | 414615 | 5734756 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7330 | Seabed disturbance | 414889 | 5734696 | A2_h | 9.6 | 2.4 | 0.4 | - | A distinct area of disturbed seabed with some possible indistinct internal dark reflectors with uneven shadows or may be a depression. The feature has scouring to the southeast. Also identified in the MBES dataset as an elongate mound with varying height up to 0.4 m, the feature is widest and tallest in its centre, with gently sloping sides and is anomalous to the surrounding seabed. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7331 | Dark reflector | 414256 | 5734646 | A2_I | 3.5 | 2.0 | 0.2 | - | A distinct angular dark reflector with an uneven shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7332 | Magnetic | 414339 | 5734664 | A2_I | - | - | - | 27 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7333 | Magnetic | 414750 | 5734603 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7334 | Dark reflector | 414045 | 5734379 | A2_I | 3.5 | 2.4 | 0.3 | - | A distinct angular dark reflector, or possibly a small group of multiple dark reflectors with a bright shadow. The feature is situated in an area of relatively featureless seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7335 | Mound | 413978 | 5734168 | A2_I | 5.1 | 2.0 | 0.4 | - | An elongate, thin mound with an uneven peak and relatively steeply sloping sides, very distinct to the surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7336 | Magnetic | 414325 | 5734100 | A2_h | - | - | - | 46 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7337 | Seabed disturbance | 414023 | 5733969 | A2_I | 7.9 | 5.9 | 0.5 | - | A distinct area of disturbed seabed comprising mounded objects and depressions situated within an area of sand waves, the feature has scouring to the southwest for 20 m. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. dataset at this position. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7339 | Seabed disturbance | 413790 | 5733931 | A2_I | 4.7 | 2.2 | 0.5 | - | A distinct area of disturbed seabed comprising indistinct dark reflectors and uneven shadows or depressions. The feature has some scour to the south. Also identified in the MBES dataset as an area of disturbed seabed that has an elongate mound within it, the feature is indistinct with gently sloping sides and scouring or a depression surrounding it. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7340 | Seabed disturbance | 413173 | 5734109 | A2_I | 8.5 | 6.6 | 0.4 | - | A distinct area of disturbed seabed comprising indistinct dark and bright reflectors, the feature is anomalous to the surrounding seabed. Also identified in the MBES dataset as a large and very distinct mound with steeply sloping sides and an uneven, broad peak. The feature is tallest at its northern end and is situated in a distinct depression measuring 14.6 x 11 x -0.6 m, the feature is situated within sand waves that may be concealing its full extent. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7341 | Magnetic | 412880 | 5733973 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7342 | Magnetic | 412861 | 5733965 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------|----------------------------|
| 7343 | Seabed disturbance | 412652 | 5733845 | A2_h | 9.3 | 5.3 | 0.6 | - | An area of disturbed seabed comprising indistinct dark reflectors and bright reflectors or depressions. The feature is isolated in an area of relatively featureless seabed. Also identified in the MBES dataset as a large distinct mound with gently sloping sides and a broad uneven peak, the feature is anomalous to the surrounding seabed and situated in a slight depression. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. dataset at this position. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7344 | Magnetic | 412468 | 5733895 | A2_h | - | - | - | 31 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7345 | Dark reflector | 412557 | 5733744 | A2_h | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.8 | - | A distinct angular dark reflector with a bright tapered shadow. The feature is situated in an area of mobile sediments and appears anomalous. Also identified in the MBES dataset as a distinct mound with steeply sloping sides and a rounded peak, situated within a depression measuring 8.3 x 4.1 x -0.2 m. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. dataset at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7346 | Wreck | 412020 | 5733762 | A1 | 97.0 | 25.2 | 11.1 | 1659 | A large wreck, one edge of the hull is visible as a distinct long and thick dark reflector with a very large, bright and uneven shadow. Within the hull there are multiple indistinct elongate and linear dark reflectors, indicating the wreck is upright and has some surviving deck structure. The wreck is orientated northeast to southwest and is situated in an area of mobile sediments. In the MBES dataset the wreck appears to be upright but lying slightly to one side with exposed hull visible on its southern side. The hull appears to be mostly intact where visible, with collapsed structure on its southwest end. The northern edge of the wreck is buried by sediments. On the deck a large square mound is visible at its centre measuring 8.7 x 4.2 x 4.0 m, multiple linear and angular mounds are visible across the deck and a large mound is present at the northeastern end of the vessel indicating surviving superstructure. The wreck has significant scour to the south for over 200 m and up to -6.0 m deep and is surrounded by sediment accumulation which may be concealing the full extents of the wreck and associated debris. Missing data in the northeastern part of the vessel may suggest that there is overhanging structure. Associated with a very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, also visible on other profile lines, indicating substantial ferrous material is present. Recorded in the UKHO and NMHR dataset as the possible wreck of the <i>Salemo</i> , a two-boiler general cargo steamer that stuck a mine laid by UC-3 on a passage from Hull to Marseille. The wreck is recorded in 2016 as lying, broken up, on its starboard side. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021 | UKHO_14419, NMHR_802191 |
| 7349 | Debris | 412390 | 5733359 | A2_h | 6.0 | 2.2 | 0.8 | - | A distinct elongate dark reflector with a broad bright and uneven shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. The feature is situated in an area of mobile sediments. Identified in the MBES dataset as an indistinct mound with uneven seabed on its south side, the mound is mostly rounded with some slight angular edges. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as non-ferrous debris. | | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7350 | Dark reflector | 411608 | 5733224 | A2_h | 4.1 | 1.9 | 0.4 | - | A distinct sub-rounded dark reflector with a bright tapered shadow. The feature may be broken up and is situated within an area of mobile sediments. Identified in the MBES dataset as a distinct, slightly angular mound with steeply sloping sides and a rounded peak, anomalous to the surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | | | |
| 7351 | Magnetic | 411344 | 5732955 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7352 | Dark reflector | 411788 | 5732780 | A2_I | 4.2 | 0.9 | 1.1 | - | Distinct linear dark reflector with a thin shadow appearing only at one end, suggesting uneven height. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7353 | Magnetic | 411722 | 5732715 | A2_I | - | - | - | 52 | A medium negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris that is either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7354 | Magnetic | 411361 | 5732256 | A2_I | - | - | - | 32 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7355 | Magnetic | 410950 | 5732339 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7356 | Magnetic | 411022 | 5732262 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7358 | Magnetic | 411299 | 5731852 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7360 | Magnetic | 410258 | 5730851 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7361 | Dark reflector | 409819 | 5730171 | A2_I | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | - | A distinct sub-rounded dark reflector with a broad, bright shadow. The feature is isolated in an area of relatively featureless seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. dataset at this position. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7362 | Magnetic | 409866 | 5729810 | A2_h | - | - | - | 28 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7363 | Magnetic | 409988 | 5729824 | A2_h | - | - | - | 25 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7364 | Mound | 409456 | 5729111 | A2_I | 6.8 | 1.7 | 0.1 | - | An indistinct curvilinear mound with gently sloping sides and a rounded peak, slightly anomalous to the surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS dataset at this position. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7365 | Magnetic | 409304 | 5728975 | A2_h | - | - | - | 33 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7366 | Mound | 408250 | 5727739 | A2_I | 8.7 | 2.4 | 0.1 | - | An indistinct elongate mound with gently sloping sides and a pointed, uneven peak orientated north to south on the seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data, so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7367 | Magnetic | 408936 | 5727961 | A2_h | - | - | - | 49 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7368 | Magnetic | 408274 | 5727043 | A2_h | - | - | - | 40 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7369 | Magnetic | 406950 | 5724035 | A2_h | - | - | - | 54 | A medium symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7370 | Magnetic | 406502 | 5722522 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7371 | Magnetic | 406551 | 5722333 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small, broad symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBEs data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7372 | Magnetic | 406208 | 5721857 | A2_h | - | - | - | 57 | A medium symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7373 | Linear debris | 405522 | 5719763 | A2_I | 34.0 | 1.2 | 0.1 | - | A long thin and curvilinear low-lying mound that is indistinct in places. The anomaly is situated on a featureless area of seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible linear debris, potentially a length of rope or chain. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7374 | Seabed disturbance | 405480 | 5719637 | A2_h | 20.2 | 15.6 | 0.1 | - | An area of disturbed seabed comprising indistinct linear dark reflectors in an approximate oval shape on the seabed, possibly five indistinct features, some with short, narrow shadows. The longest feature is approximately 10.0 m in length. The centre is featureless, matching the surrounding seabed. Also observed in the MBES data as an indistinct area of mounded objects, comprising thin linear mounds and rounded | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | objects all of which are low-lying, distinct to a relatively featureless area of seabed. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | | | |
| 7375 | Magnetic | 405616 | 5719207 | A2_h | - | - | - | 68 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7376 | Dark reflector | 405560 | 5719209 | A2_h | 8.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | - | A short, curved dark reflector with a bright irregular shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. The feature is isolated in an otherwise featureless seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7377 | Magnetic | 405547 | 5719164 | A2_h | - | - | - | 83 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7378 | Magnetic | 405128 | 5719038 | A2_h | - | - | - | 30 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7379 | Magnetic | 405306 | 5718500 | A2_h | - | - | - | 58 | A medium positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7380 | Debris | 405299 | 5718333 | A2_h | 3.1 | 2.9 | 0.6 | - | A distinct rounded dark reflector with a distinct rounded shadow and a brighter internal area, suggesting it may be hollow. The feature has an indistinct curvilinear dark reflector attached. Also observed in the MBES data as a very distinct mound with steep sides and an uneven peak that has a depression in its centre, with sediment accumulation on its western side, the east edge of the feature is within -0.9 m scour. There is a linear mound or scour extending from the south of the feature for 40 m which becomes less defined further from the object. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7381 | Magnetic | 404679 | 5717662 | A2_h | - | - | - | 59 | A medium positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7382 | Debris | 404798 | 5717416 | A2_h | 4.0 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 218 | A distinct angular mound with a slightly uneven peak. The feature has a linear mound or scour extending to the southeast. The feature is situated within a slight depression measuring 13.7 x 9.0 x -0.4 m. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Associated with a large asymmetric dipole on two profile lines in the Mag. data, indicating ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7383 | Mound | 404495 | 5717279 | A2_I | 3.9 | 1.9 | 0.2 | - | A slightly elongate mound with an uneven peak, one side of the feature is steeper than the other. The feature is situated in an area of sand waves and may be partially buried. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7384 | Magnetic | 404074 | 5716545 | A2_h | - | - | - | 56 | A medium negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7385 | Magnetic | 403798 | 5716313 | A2_h | - | - | - | 104 | A large, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7386 | Magnetic | 403493 | 5715591 | A2_h | - | - | - | 25 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7387 | Seabed disturbance | 402952 | 5714903 | A2_h | 6.4 | 2.4 | 0.9 | - | An area of disturbed seabed comprising an indistinct, slightly elongate dark reflector with bright uneven shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. The feature is situated within depressions and may be partially buried. Also observed in the MBES data as an area of seabed disturbance comprising an irregularly shaped object in a slight depression. The feature is distinct form the surrounding relatively featureless seabed. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7388 | Magnetic | 402873 | 5714317 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7389 | Magnetic | 403256 | 5714299 | A2_h | - | - | - | 31 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7390 | Magnetic | 403025 | 5714049 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7391 | Magnetic | 401849 | 5711655 | A2_h | - | - | - | 26 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7392 | Magnetic | 401555 | 5711168 | A2_h | - | - | - | 55 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7393 | Magnetic | 401300 | 5710198 | A2_h | - | - | - | 36 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7394 | Magnetic | 400485 | 5709101 | A2_h | - | - | - | 55 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7395 | Magnetic | 400349 | 5708479 | A2_h | - | - | - | 26 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7396 | Magnetic | 399779 | 5708107 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small, broad symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7397 | Magnetic | 399938 | 5707865 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small, broad symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7398 | Magnetic | 399864 | 5707824 | A2_h | - | - | - | 37 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7399 | Mound | 399722 | 5707720 | A2_I | 5.2 | 3.4 | 0.4 | - | A distinct oval mound with gently sloping sides and a rounded peak. The feature is situated within a slight depression with some sediment accumulation either side. The feature is isolated in an area of sand waves with scour extending 8.0 m to the southwest. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7400 | Magnetic | 399776 | 5707514 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7401 | Magnetic | 399940 | 5707411 | A2_h | - | - | - | 57 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7402 | Magnetic | 400143 | 5706755 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7403 | Magnetic | 399992 | 5706701 | A2_h | - | - | - | 38 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7404 | Magnetic | 400246 | 5706616 | A2_h | - | - | - | 29 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7405 | Magnetic | 400148 | 5706546 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|----------|----------------------------|
| 7406 | Magnetic | 400037 | 5706347 | A2_h | - | - | - | 62 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7407 | Magnetic | 400237 | 5705658 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small, broad symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7408 | Magnetic | 400123 | 5704049 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7409 | Magnetic | 399782 | 5703456 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7410 | Magnetic | 399789 | 5702802 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7411 | Linear debris | 399923 | 5702680 | A2_I | 43.7 | 1.9 | - | - | An indistinct linear dark reflector with no shadow with dark reflectors attached measuring 0.9 x 0.8 m every 10.0 m along its length. May relate to similar anomaly 7412 situated 45 m west. Faintly visible in the MBES data as a series of regularly spaced depressions. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible debris field, and may be fishing gear however, this can't be confirmed without visual inspection. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7412 | Linear debris | 399834 | 5702673 | A2_I | 45.2 | 1.2 | - | - | An indistinct linear dark reflector with no shadow with dark reflectors attached measuring 0.9 x 0.8 m every 10.0 m along its length. May relate to similar anomaly 7411 situated 45 m east. Faintly visible in the MBES data as a series of regularly spaced depressions. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible debris field, and may be fishing gear however, this can't be confirmed without visual inspection. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7413 | Magnetic | 399896 | 5702207 | A2_h | - | - | - | 179 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7414 | Wreck | 399938 | 5701754 | A1 | 116.6 | 36.6 | 6.8 | 27020 | A large wreck that is visible in the SSS data as a large spread of distinct linear and curvilinear dark reflectors with bright shadows. The wreck is upright with slatted features visible, no superstructure is identifiable within the deck. The wreck has some associated debris in the vicinity and a rope or chain that is either attached or snagged on its southeastern edge (7415 and 7416). The hull of the wreck is not defined suggesting it is very broken up. In the MBES dataset the wreck is orientated approximately ENE to WSW on a relatively featureless area of seabed. The wreck appears upright, but is highly degraded with collapsed hull surrounding it. Within the hull surviving deck structure is visible, three distinct square shaped mounds in its centre, thin linear mounds and smaller rounded and angular mounds across the deck. The wreck has significant sediment accumulation and scour on either side which may be burying collapsed structure and associated debris. Large scouring is present to the north and south of the wreck, particularly to the south, measuring 140.0 x 54.0 x -5.2 m. Associated with a very | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021 | UKHO_13968, NMHR_904723 |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|----------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, which is also visible on other profile lines indicating substantial ferrous material is present. Recorded by the UKHO and NMHR as <i>Saidia</i> , a 3303 gross ton steam-ship built in 1878. The vessel was sunk in 1915 by UB6, a German submarine. The wreck was last surveyed in 2017 and described as being partially broken up and buried with dimensions of 112.5 x 21.1 x 5.8 m. | | | |
| 7415 | Linear debris | 399942 | 5701695 | A2_I | 131.5 | 0.3 | - | - | A long, slightly curvilinear dark reflector with a shadow along its length and a small dark reflector with tapered shadow attached. The feature is orientated north to south on the seabed and its northern end appears to be snagged on wreck 7414. Also observed in the MBES data as a faint curvilinear mound with a small angular mound at its southern end, situated in a slight depression. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location, however the large Mag. anomaly associated with wreck 7414 may be masking any smaller anomalies in this area. Interpreted as possible linear debris, potentially a length of rope or chain. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7416 | Debris | 399959 | 5701726 | A2_h | 5.6 | 2.3 | - | - | A small, slightly indistinct angular dark reflector with a bright shadow. The feature is situated 18 m south of wreck 7414 and may be associated debris. Also identified in the MBES data as a low-lying mound in a slight depression. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location, however the large Mag. anomaly associated with wreck 7414 may be masking any smaller anomalies in this area. Interpreted as possible debris associated with wreck 7414. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7417 | Linear debris | 399935 | 5701637 | A2_I | 17.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | - | An indistinct linear dark reflector with no clear shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible linear debris, potentially a length of rope or chain. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7418 | Magnetic | 399904 | 5701607 | A2_h | - | - | - | 50 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data as this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7419 | Magnetic | 399755 | 5701631 | A2_h | - | - | - | 21 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data as this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7420 | Linear debris | 399783 | 5700869 | A2_I | 460.0 | 2.6 | 0.1 | - | A long, thin and curvilinear dark reflector with a short variable shadow. A series of regularly spaced small dark reflectors measuring approximately 1.0 x 0.5 x 0.1 m are attached to the feature at approximately 10.0 m spacings, some of which have very short tapered shadows and slight scour. Also identified in the MBES data as a very long, narrow curvilinear mound with objects or depressions attached along its length. The feature is attached or snagged to a modern wreck not included in this gazetteer. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible debris field, and may be fishing gear however, this can't be confirmed without visual inspection. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7421 | Debris field | 399964 | 5700944 | A2_h | 17.1 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 646 | A small cluster of slightly angular dark reflectors with variable tapered and flat-topped shadows indicating uneven height. One linear object is visible, however the feature is situated within an area of mobile sediments and its full extent may be buried. Also identified in the MBES data as an indistinct, angular mound with two smaller indistinct linear mounds attached, one to the north and one to the south, and several small mounds are visible to the west. Associated with a very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------|----------|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | data, indicating ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a ferrous debris field. | | | |
| 7423 | Linear debris | 399650 | 5700438 | A2_I | 601.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | - | A long, thin and curvilinear dark reflector with small dark reflectors measuring approximately 0.5 x 0.5 m attached at roughly 10.0 m intervals along its length, some of which have tapered shadows. Faintly visible in the MBES data as a low-lying curvilinear mound orientated north the south on the seabed, the feature curves back on itself at its northern end. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible non-ferrous debris field, and may be fishing gear however, this can't be confirmed without visual inspection. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7424 | Mound | 399893 | 5700565 | A2_I | 6.0 | 5.0 | 0.4 | - | A linear V-shaped mound with gently sloping sides and a rounded peak. The feature is distinct to the surrounding seabed with frequent natural features. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7425 | Magnetic | 399932 | 5700534 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7426 | Wreck | 399876 | 5700385 | A1 | 51.6 | 11.9 | 3.4 | 10094 | A large wreck that is visible as a distinct, elongate and distinct curvilinear dark reflector with a bright uneven shadow that is interpreted to be the hull, this appears to be intact. Within the hull linear and curvilinear dark reflectors are visible that represent surviving deck structure, some features are slatted. One end of the vessel is highly degraded and may be buried. The wreck has a large number of associated debris and debris fields identified in the vicinity (7427 - 7436). It is orientated WNW to ESE on the seabed. In the MBES data the stern of the wreck appears to the be to the WNW and the bow to ESE, a large piece of the bow appears to have broken off the wreck. The hull is mostly intact, however there is a dip visible in the centre of the wreck which may suggest it is broken in two, it appears slightly bowed and has large amounts of sediment accumulation at either side of the hull up to 5.0 m high that may be burying its full extent. The wreck is upright with two triangular mounds visible in its centre that may be boilers, some surviving deck structure is visible as linear mounds at the stern and small uneven angular mounds at the bow end of the vessel. The wreck is surrounded by scouring, particularly at the bow and stern up to 2.0 m deep. Associated with a very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and tough on one profile line in the Mag. data, also visible on adjacent profile lines, indicating substantial ferrous material is present. Recorded by the UKHO and NMHR as an unknown wreck that was last surveyed in 2017. The wreck was reported as being upright and intact with dimensions of 50.8 x 9.0 x 3.7 m and with 25.0 m of scour up to 2.7 m deep. | MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021 | UKHO_13958, NMHR_831796 |
| 7427 | Debris | 399823 | 5700418 | A2_h | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | - | A small angular mound situated in a slight depression, the feature is situated 36 m northwest of wreck 7426 and may be associated debris. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location, however the large Mag. anomaly associated with wreck 7426 may be masking any smaller anomalies in this area. Interpreted as possible debris associated with wreck 7426. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7428 | Debris | 399853 | 5700410 | A2_h | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | A small indistinct mound situated in a slight depression, the feature is situated 13 m north of wreck 7426 and may be associated debris. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location, however the large Mag. anomaly associated with wreck 7426 may be masking any smaller anomalies in this area. Interpreted as possible debris associated with wreck 7426. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7429 | Debris field | 399892 | 5700414 | A2_h | 15.9 | 8.9 | 0.4 | - | An indistinct group of angular dark reflectors, some with indistinct shadows. The feature is situated in an area of mobile sediment and it's full extent may be buried. Situated 20 m north of wreck 7426. Visible as an angular mound in the MBES data. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible debris field associated with wreck 7426. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7430 | Debris field | 399891 | 5700390 | A1 | 15.1 | 9.6 | 0.5 | - | A group of small angular dark reflectors with shadows, situated on the northern edge of wreck 7426. The feature comprises one large curved dark reflector with a tall, tapered shadow and smaller rounded dark reflectors with irregular shadows. Also identified in the MBES data as a group of indistinct mounds with sediment accumulation surrounding it, one mound measures 1.0 x 1.0 m. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a debris field associated with wreck 7426. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7431 | Debris | 399843 | 5700382 | A2_h | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | - | A small, square dark reflector with two parallel bright linear reflectors across it and a clear, tapered shadow. Situated 11.0 m southwest of wreck 7426 and may be associated. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible debris associated with wreck 7426. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7432 | Debris | 399857 | 5700383 | A2_h | 7.0 | 3.5 | 0.1 | - | Small, compact area of indistinct dark reflectors with short shadows. The feature is situated 2.0 m south of wreck 7426 and may be associated debris. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible debris associated with wreck 7426. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7433 | Debris | 399846 | 5700382 | A1 | 13.5 | 1.7 | 0.8 | - | A distinct long and straight dark reflector with a bright, irregular shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. Also identified in the MBES data as a distinct linear mound orientated east to west on the seabed, the feature has gently sloping sides and an uneven peak that is slightly taller at the western end, it has some sediment accumulation at this end. The feature is situated 10 m southwest of wreck 7426. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as linear debris associated with wreck 7426. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7434 | Debris field | 399899 | 5700368 | A1 | 10.5 | 7.7 | 0.4 | - | An indistinct group of linear and angular dark reflectors with clear shadows, situated at the ESE end of wreck 7426. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a debris field associated with wreck 7426. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7435 | Linear debris | 399917 | 5700360 | A2_I | 30.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | - | A thin, curvilinear dark reflector with a short, variable shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. The feature has a small dark reflector with a tapered shadow at its centre measuring 1.0 x 1.0 x 0.4 m. The feature is situated 15 m ESE of wreck 7426. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. No anomalous features were | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | identified in the Mag. data at this location, however the large Mag. anomaly associated with wreck 7426 may be masking any smaller anomalies in this area. Interpreted as linear debris, possibly a rope or chain. | | | |
| 7436 | Seabed disturbance | 399870 | 5700361 | A2_h | 19.3 | 9.6 | 0.1 | - | An area of disturbed seabed comprising indistinct dark reflectors, some with slight shadows. The features are situated within an area of mobile sediments and the full extent may be buried. Situated 12 m south of wreck 7426. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location, however the large Mag. anomaly associated with wreck 7426 may be masking any smaller anomalies in this area. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible debris associated with wreck 7426. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7437 | Mound | 399827 | 5700357 | A2_I | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.3 | - | A small angular mound with steeply sloping sides and a pointed peak. The feature is situated within a slight depression measuring 5.3 x 3.2 x - 0.2 m and 41 m southwest of wreck 7426. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7438 | Dark reflector | 399836 | 5700347 | A2_h | 4.2 | 1.5 | 0.4 | - | A distinct, rounded dark reflector with a bright rounded shadow with two peaks, possibly suggesting uneven height. The feature is situated 42 m southwest of wreck 7426. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7440 | Debris | 399532 | 5699786 | A2_h | 3.6 | 0.5 | - | 20 | A short, linear dark reflector with a short, tapered shadow, distinct from the surrounding seabed. Also identified in the MBES data as a small, distinct elongate mound with gently sloping sides and a rounded peak, with scour to the south extending up to 7 m. Associated with a small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7441 | Debris | 399534 | 5699728 | A2_h | 7.0 | 3.0 | 1.4 | - | A large, indistinct but highly irregular dark reflector with a distinct irregular shadow and a darker linear reflector visible in its centre, casting a very large tapered shadow. Possibly a cluster of objects situated within an area of mobile sediments. Also identified in the MBES data as a small angular mound with steeply sloping sides and pointed peak, distinct from surrounding seabed and situated within an area of disturbed seabed or scour measuring 5.8 x 5.8 x -0.2 m. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible debris. | | MMT 2021 | - |
| '442 | Magnetic | 399667 | 5699652 | A2_h | - | - | - | 85 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| '443 | Mound | 399800 | 5698254 | A2_I | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.6 | - | A very distinct rounded mound with a rounded peak and steeply sloping sides, with scour extending to the south measuring 10.6 x 4.0 x -0.4 m. The feature is anomalous to the surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7444 | Dark reflector | 399692 | 5697904 | A2_I | 4.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | - | A short, linear dark reflector with a short, even shadow, distinct from the surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible debris. | | | |
| 7445 | Dark reflector | 399756 | 5697714 | A2_I | 2.7 | 1.8 | 0.7 | - | A small, sub-rounded dark reflector with a broad, slightly irregular shadow. Also identified in the MBES data as a rounded mound with an uneven peak and gently sloping sides, anomalous to the surrounding seabed. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7446 | Debris | 399772 | 5697454 | A2_h | 6.4 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 13 | A straight linear dark reflector with a bright, even shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Associated with a small, broad, symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7447 | Magnetic | 400034 | 5697397 | A2_h | - | - | - | 61 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7448 | Linear debris | 399746 | 5697156 | A2_I | 72.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | - | A long, thin and slightly curvilinear dark reflector with a bright, uneven shadow along its length, possibly suggesting uneven height. Visible in the MBES data as a linear mound. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible linear debris, potentially a length of rope or chain. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7449 | Magnetic | 399777 | 5697081 | A2_h | - | - | - | 34 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7450 | Magnetic | 399906 | 5696893 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 452 | Magnetic | 399660 | 5696507 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 453 | Magnetic | 400075 | 5696077 | A2_h | - | - | - | 749 | A very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. Located 74 m NNW of 7454 and may be related. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 454 | Magnetic | 400088 | 5696004 | A2_h | - | - | - | 250 | A large negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. Located 74 m SSE of 7453 and may be related. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7455 | Magnetic | 399735 | 5696003 | A2_h | - | - | - | 319 | A large negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, visible across multiple profiles. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 7456 | Magnetic | 400121 | 5695791 | A2_h | - | - | - | 39 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70286 | Magnetic | 399756 | 5695585 | A2_I | - | - | - | 7 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70287 | Magnetic | 399736 | 5695443 | A2_I | - | - | - | 42 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7457 | Linear debris | 399764 | 5695442 | A2_I | 15.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 30 | Identified in the SSS dataset as a distinct, V-shaped dark reflector with height. Associated with a long linear mound extending east-west in the MBES data. It is mostly straight but bends into a V-shape towards its eastern end. It has an indistinct mound measuring 2.6 x 1.4 m on its western end. Observed in the Mag. data as a small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Interpreted as a possible length of linear debris, such as rope or chain. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7458 | Debris | 399860 | 5695444 | A2_h | 8.6 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 465 | A thin and angular dark reflector with a bright shadow. Identified in the MBES data as a distinct thin, linear mound, the object is orientated north to south on the seabed and is situated in slight scour measuring 11.4 x 6.0 x -0.1 m. A possible rope or chain (7459) is associated or snagged on its southern end. Associated with a large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating ferrous material is present. Interpreted as probable ferrous debris. | MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7459 | Linear debris | 399920 | 5695259 | A2_I | 406.0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | - | A very long, thin and slightly curvilinear dark reflector with a short even shadow along its length. The feature is orientated north to south on the seabed and may be associated or snagged on debris (7458). Visible in the MBES data as thin, low-lying linear mound. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location, however the Mag. anomaly associated with debris (7458) may also be associated with the northern end of this feature. Interpreted as a possible length of rope or chain, but may be a modern features such as fishing gear. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70288 | Magnetic | 399842 | 5695328 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7461 | Debris | 399907 | 5695098 | A2_h | 3.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 15 | An indistinct, short straight dark reflector with a bright shadow, distinct from the surrounding seabed. Visible as a small elongate mound in the MBES data, and associated with a broad, asymmetric dipole. May be associated with rope or chain and dark reflector 7460 and 7462. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7462 | Dark reflector | 399911 | 5695101 | A2_I | 3.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | - | An indistinct, short straight dark reflector with a short, slightly irregular shadow, distinct from the surrounding seabed. May be associated with rope or chain and dark reflector 7460 and 7461. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7460 | Linear debris | 399918 | 5695104 | A2_I | 19.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 13 | Associated in the SSS data with a linear dark reflector orientated northeast to southwest. Observed in the Mag. data as a small asymmetric dipole with a peak and trough in one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Interpreted as a possible long length of linear debris, such as rope or | SSS, Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | chain. Likely related to anomaly 70289, located approx. 3 m from its northeastern end. | | | |
| 7463 | Dark reflector | 400375 | 5695034 | A2_h | 2.5 | 1.6 | 0.7 | - | A small, angular dark reflector with a long, narrow shadow. Also identified in the MBES data as a distinct square-shaped mound with an uneven peak and steeply sloping sides, situated within a depression measuring 6.3 x 5.8 x -0.4 m. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70289 | Dark reflector | 399926 | 5695109 | A2_I | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | - | A distinct, elongate dark reflector with height. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. Located approx. 3 m from the northeastern end of anomaly 7460 and is likely related. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70290 | Magnetic | 399693 | 5695132 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70291 | Magnetic | 399707 | 5695039 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70292 | Linear debris | 399920 | 5694986 | A2_I | 251.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | - | Visible in the SSS. Data as a thin, elongate dark reflector that forks into two separate lengths. The longest length is orientated NNW to SSE, while the other shorter length changes orientation west to east. Small, sub-rounded dark reflectors with tapered shadows are located down it at random intervals. It can be observed in the MBES data as five elongate and sub-rounded mounds, very close to one another other, following a broadly similar arrangement to the SSS anomaly. There is a rounded mound on the anomaly's SSE end. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data. This is interpreted as a possibly modern feature such as fishing gear and therefore may not be of archaeological interest. However, as this cannot be confirmed without further investigation, the feature has been retained as a precaution. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70293 | Magnetic | 399716 | 5694927 | A2_h | - | - | - | 81 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70294 | Debris | 399966 | 5694854 | A2_h | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 12 | In the SSS data this is observed as an elongate dark reflector with bright shadow. Associated with a small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70295 | Magnetic | 400029 | 5694799 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This location was not covered by the MBES dataset. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7464 | Linear debris | 399898 | 5694741 | A2_I | 15.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | A curvilinear dark reflector with height oriented almost north-south. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible length of linear debris like rope or chain. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 7465 | Dark reflector | 400363 | 5694651 | A2_h | 3.7 | 2.0 | 0.3 | - | A small rounded dark reflector with a curved linear feature extending from one end, with a short irregular shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70296 | Dark reflector | 400083 | 5694662 | A2_I | 3.5 | 1.0 | 0.4 | - | An angular dark reflector with height, possibly within a scour. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70297 | Magnetic | 399626 | 5694651 | A2_h | - | - | - | 57 | A medium negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70298 | Dark reflector | 400046 | 5694559 | A2_I | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | An elongate dark reflector with bright shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70299 | Magnetic | 399687 | 5694537 | A2_I | - | - | - | 26 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70300 | Dark reflector | 399573 | 5694528 | A2_I | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | A curvilinear dark reflector with height. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70301 | Magnetic | 399669 | 5694397 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70302 | Magnetic | 399971 | 5694409 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70303 | Dark reflector | 400083 | 5694400 | A2_I | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | - | A small and slightly curved dark reflector with bright shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7466 | Linear debris | 400520 | 5694286 | A2_I | 200.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | - | A long, thin and curvilinear dark reflector with variable shadow across its length. Angular dark reflectors with irregular shadows measuring up to 3.0 x 1.1 x 0.7 m are attached across its length. Also identified in the MBES data as several irregular and angular mounds with steep sides and uneven peaks with linear scour linking them, spaced approximately 8.0 m apart and orientated north to south on the seabed. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible debris field, and may be fishing gear however, this can't be confirmed without visual inspection. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70304 | Magnetic | 399941 | 5694362 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 70305 | Magnetic | 399977 | 5694062 | A2_h | - | - | - | 55 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70306 | Magnetic | 399692 | 5694028 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70307 | Magnetic | 399718 | 5694021 | A2_h | - | - | - | 65 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70308 | Magnetic | 399635 | 5693966 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70309 | Magnetic | 400062 | 5693949 | A2_I | - | - | - | 44 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7467 | Linear debris | 400584 | 5693996 | A2_I | 82.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | - | A long, thin and slightly curvilinear dark reflector with a short, even shadow along its length. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as possible linear debris, potentially a length of rope or chain. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7469 | Magnetic | 400219 | 5693819 | A2_h | - | - | - | 365 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Could possibly be a magnetic signature related to debris field 7468 located approx. 21 m to the southwest. | Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7468 | Debris Field | 400232 | 5693790 | A2_h | 24.0 | 8.0 | 0.3 | 69 | A compact cluster of linear and angular dark reflectors, some of which have clear, irregular shadows. The largest reflector measures approx. 5.5 x 1.8 x 0.2 m. Visible as an uneven area of seabed in the MBES data. Associated with a medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible ferrous debris field. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70310 | Mound | 400267 | 5693796 | A2_I | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.2 | - | An angular mound. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70311 | Magnetic | 399987 | 5693782 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70312 | Magnetic | 399523 | 5693891 | A2_h | - | - | - | 100 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70313 | Debris | 399627 | 5693875 | A2_h | 5.6 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 134 | Identified in the SSS data as a very irregular dark reflector with a variable shadow. Observed in the MBES as an angular mound with an uneven surface, with a smaller mound potentially located to the west. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | Associated with a large negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. Interpreted as debris. | | | |
| 70314 | Magnetic | 399645 | 5693859 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70315 | Linear debris | 399689 | 5693819 | A2_I | 157.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 41 | Observed in the SSS data as four curvilinear dark reflectors and an elongate dark reflector with a shadow, located between 7 to 13 m away from each other and forming the shape of a ring. It is visible in the MBES data as a continuous shallow, curvilinear mound. Associated with six separate magnetic anomalies, varying in magnitude between 15 and 41 nT. Interpreted as a single long piece of partially buried linear debris such as rope or chain. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70316 | Debris | 399561 | 5693833 | A2_h | 7.5 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 17 | In the MBES data this anomaly is visible as an elongate mound. It could potentially be two elongate mounds alongside one another, but it is unclear in the data. A small broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line is visible on the mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70317 | Debris | 399588 | 5693799 | A2_h | 8.3 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 93 | Observed as an elongate mound in the MBES dataset. Visible as a medium, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70318 | Magnetic | 400244 | 5693772 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Potentially related to anomaly 7468, located approx. 22 m to the northwest. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70319 | Magnetic | 399532 | 5693754 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70320 | Magnetic | 399652 | 5693735 | A2_h | - | - | - | 146 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Potentially part of a linear alignment with 70321 and 70323, but no infrastructure present on the Admiralty Charts. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70321 | Magnetic | 399675 | 5693700 | A2_h | - | - | - | 138 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Potentially part of a linear alignment with 70320 and 70323, but no infrastructure present on the Admiralty Charts. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70322 | Seabed disturbance | 399685 | 5693655 | A2_I | 9.8 | 4.5 | 0.1 | - | A seabed disturbance visible as an area of slightly raised and uneven surface. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible nonferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70323 | Magnetic | 399719 | 5693653 | A2_h | - | - | - | 155 | A large negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Potentially part of a linear alignment with 70320 and 70321, but | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | no infrastructure present on the Admiralty Charts. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | | | |
| 70324 | Linear debris | 400019 | 5693688 | A2_I | 26.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | A curvilinear dark reflector with a shadow, oriented NNW to SSE. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as a possible length of linear debris, such as chain or rope. | SSS. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70325 | Debris | 400024 | 5693659 | A2_h | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 32 | Observed in the SSS dataset as a indistinct dark reflector with a shadow. Associated with a small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris, potentially related to anomaly 70324 located approx. 15 m NNW. | SSS, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7470 | Debris field | 400248 | 5693720 | A2_h | 17.0 | 12.2 | 0.1 | 430 | Identified in the SSS data as several elongate and linear dark reflectors with distinct shadows. Associated with several elongate short mounds in the MBES dataset, as well as being observed in the Mag. data as three separate anomalies with amplitudes varying between 56 and 430 nT. Interpreted as a ferrous debris field. | | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70326 | Dark reflector | 400287 | 5693694 | A2_I | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | - | A curved and narrow dark reflector with height. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70327 | Magnetic | 399554 | 5693567 | A2_I | - | - | - | 30 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70328 | Dark reflector | 399921 | 5693586 | A2_I | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 | - | Observed in the SSS data as indistinct and small dark reflector with bright shadow. It was also identified in the MBES data as an elongate, rounded mound oriented northeast to southwest. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Located approx. 25 m northeast of anomaly 70329 and may be related. Could be natural or non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70329 | Debris | 399897 | 5693574 | A2_h | 6.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | - | Identified as a distinct sub-angular dark reflector with varying length shadow in the SSS. Visible in the MBES as an elongated, very angular mound, oriented NNW-SSE. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as debris. Two similar anomalies, 70328 and 70331 are located approx. 25 m to the east and may be related. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70330 | Dark reflector | 399920 | 5693575 | A2_I | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | - | Identified in the SSS dataset as a indistinct and small dark reflector with bright shadow, along with being observed in the MBES data as a subrounded mound within a small scour. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. Located approx. 25 m to the west of anomaly 70329 and may be related. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70331 | Depression | 400306 | 5693609 | A2_I | 1.3 | 1.1 | -0.5 | - | A seabed disturbance made up of a rounded depression, which is steep in profile. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature, or may be the result of possible partially buried non-ferrous debris unresolved in the data. | | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------|----------------------------|
| 7471 | Debris field | 400576 | 5693563 | A1 | 25.1 | 11.5 | 0.3 | - | A group of thin linear dark reflectors with short even shadows situated within an area of mobile sediments. The feature is situated 16 m north of wreck 7472 and may be associated debris. Faintly visible int he MBES data as an uneven area of seabed within mobile sediments. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a nonferrous debris field possibly associated with wreck 7472. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7472 | Wreck | 400613 | 5693545 | A1 | 52.5 | 38.7 | 1.6 | 5443 | A large wreck visible as an area of dispersed elongate, angular and curvilinear dark reflectors with bright shadows. The hull is not distinguishable and there are no distinct superstructure features visible, suggesting the wreck is very broken up and degraded. The wreck is situated in an area of mobile sediments and the full extents may be buried. In the MBES data the wreck is visible as a group of elongate, angular and rounded objects situated within depressions. The largest linear object measures 7.7 x 2.8 x 0.6 m, a large angular mound at the western end of the wreck measures 4.1 x 2.8 m, this has a very flat peak and steep edges, some data points are missing which may suggest its peak is overhanging. Large scouring is visible to the south of the wreck measuring 24 m long and 0.6 m deep. The wreck is surrounded by slight sand waves and has some outcropping geology to the north. Associated with a very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, which is also visible on adjacent profile lines indicating substantial ferrous material is present. Recorded in the UKHO and NMHR database as <i>Selma</i> (Possibly), a 1654 gross ton steam-ship that was sunk by a mine in 1915. The wreck was last surveyed in 2018 and reported as consisting of broken and dispersed debris in scour with dimensions of 30.0 x 23.3 x 0.8 m | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021 | UKHO_14944, NMHR_904908 |
| 70332 | Dark reflector | 400185 | 5693497 | A2_I | 3.9 | 1.6 | 0.3 | - | A distinct angular dark reflector which casts a shadow of varying length in the SSS data, although it could possibly be a collection of multiple objects. Observed in the MBES data as an elongate mound with uneven peak oriented southwest to northeast, although it could be two mounds alongside one another. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70333 | Magnetic | 400074 | 5693482 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70334 | Magnetic | 400310 | 5693444 | A2_I | - | - | - | 26 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70335 | Debris | 400141 | 5693427 | A2_h | 4.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | A straight and narrow dark reflector with height. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70336 | Magnetic | 399835 | 5693404 | A2_I | - | - | - | 9 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70337 | Seabed disturbance | 399447 | 5693443 | A2_I | 2.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | - | Observed as an indistinct, sub-rounded dark reflector with bright shadow in the SSS data. In the MBES data is visible as an area of disturbed seabed with indistinct low-lying mounds and depressions. One object measures 1.6 x 0.8 m. No anomalous features were identified in the | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried non-ferrous debris. | | | |
| 70338 | Magnetic | 400183 | 5693348 | A2_I | - | - | - | 48 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70339 | Magnetic | 400340 | 5693312 | A2_I | - | - | - | 30 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7473 | Magnetic | 400597 | 5693367 | A2_h | - | - | - | 28 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7474 | Dark reflector | 400652 | 5693360 | A2_I | 3.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | - | A short linear dark reflector with short, sightly flared shadow. The feature is slightly distinct from the surrounding relatively featureless seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7475 | Dark reflector | 400673 | 5693301 | A2_I | 4.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | - | A short curvilinear dark reflector with a short shadow, distinct from surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7476 | Dark reflector | 400681 | 5693307 | A2_I | 4.2 | 0.9 | 0.1 | - | A short linear dark reflector with a short shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7477 | Magnetic | 400737 | 5693257 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70340 | Magnetic | 399720 | 5693284 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70341 | Magnetic | 399640 | 5693250 | A2_I | - | - | - | 29 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7478 | Linear debris | 400316 | 5693201 | A2_I | 69.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | A curved linear debris with height oriented NNW-SSE. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible long length of linear debris such as rope or chain. | SSS | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 7479 | Magnetic | 400360 | 5693182 | A2_I | - | - | - | 44 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7480 | Magnetic | 400427 | 5693015 | A2_h | - | - | - | 103 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70342 | Seabed disturbance | 399874 | 5693197 | A2_I | 6.5 | 3.3 | 0.4 | - | Identified in the SSS data as an indistinct, sub-angular dark reflector with varying length shadow. Recorded in the MBES as a seabed disturbance extending in a northwest to southeast direction visible as a distinct sub-angular mound measuring 2.8 x 1.5 x 0.2 m and an indistinct mound measuring approx. 1.9 x 1.1 x 0.1 m to the south. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag data at this location. Could be a natural feature, or indicate non-ferrous debris buried just below the seabed. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70343 | Magnetic | 399906 | 5693173 | A2_I | - | - | - | 9 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70344 | Magnetic | 400033 | 5692996 | A2_I | - | - | - | 7 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70345 | Dark reflector | 399723 | 5693014 | A2_I | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | - | Identified in the SSS data as a distinct dark reflector with height which is potentially in a scour. Observed as a depression with a possible subrounded mound lying at it's base in the MBES data. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70346 | Dark reflector | 399570 | 5692994 | A2_I | 3.1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | - | Interpreted as an indistinct dark reflector that has a shadow of varying lengths with a pronounced sharp and narrow edge in the SSS data. Associated with a well-rounded mound within approx. 0.2 m deep scour, distinctive in the surrounding seabed in the MBES data. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70347 | Magnetic | 399435 | 5693037 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70348 | Magnetic | 399543 | 5692926 | A2_I | - | - | - | 9 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70349 | Dark reflector | 399375 | 5692870 | A2_I | 7.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | - | An elongate dark reflector with height. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70350 | Dark reflector | 399720 | 5692834 | A2_I | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | A distinct, elongate dark reflector with bright shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70351 | Magnetic | 399964 | 5692866 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70352 | Magnetic | 399827 | 5692779 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70353 | Seabed disturbance | 400074 | 5692776 | A2_I | 26.0 | 3.7 | 0.2 | - | Visible in the SSS data as an indistinct seabed disturbance visible as narrow curvilinear dark reflectors with short shadows, with one distinct elongate dark reflector that measures 1.0 x 0.2 x 0.2 m. Associated with several sub-rounded and rounded mounds in the MBES data, although they are indistinct. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as possible non-ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7481 | Dark reflector | 400356 | 5692778 | A2_I | 4.2 | 1.8 | 0.4 | - | Very indistinct elongate dark reflector, possibly a cluster of objects, with a bright, triangular shadow. Also identified in the MBES data as an irregularly shaped angular mound with gently sloping sides, situated within a depression measuring 7.9 x 7.2 -0.2 m. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7482 | Magnetic | 400539 | 5692750 | A2_h | - | - | - | 36 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7483 | Linear debris | 400222 | 5692726 | A2_I | 32.2 | 3.6 | 0.6 | - | A long, narrow curvilinear dark reflector with a short shadow across its length. The feature has two distinct sub-angular dark reflectors attached to it at either end. Also identified in the MBES data as two large objects connected by an indistinct curvilinear low-lying mound, the southern object is distinct and angular, measuring 5.2 x 3.1 with scouring to the south for 5.0 m and - 0.3 m deep, the northern object is indistinct and measures 8.9 x 2.2 x 0.2 m, the feature is orientated approximately north to south and is isolated on a featureless seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible non-ferrous debris field, and may be fishing gear however, this cannot be confirmed without visual inspection. | | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70354 | Magnetic | 399923 | 5692762 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70355 | Debris | 399850 | 5692753 | A2_h | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 30 | Associated in the SSS data with a small and narrow dark reflector with distinct shadow. Observed in the Mag. data as a small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Possible ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70356 | Mound | 399990 | 5692708 | A2_I | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 15 | Visible as a well-rounded mound in the MBES data, small but more distinctive than any other mounds in the area. Also associated with a small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. dataset. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70357 | Magnetic | 399419 | 5692571 | A2_h | - | - | - | 201 | A large, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70358 | Magnetic | 399645 | 5692568 | A2_I | - | - | - | 6 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70359 | Magnetic | 399529 | 5692443 | A2_I | - | - | - | 23 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70360 | Linear debris | 399604 | 5692441 | A2_I | 10.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | A curvilinear dark reflector with height. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible short length of linear debris such as rope/chain. It is located approx. 5 m west of similar linear debris 70361, and is likely related. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70361 | Linear debris | 399611 | 5692426 | A2_I | 111.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | A long, curvilinear dark reflector with a shadow on a NNW to SSE alignment with a continuous, sub-circular dark reflector on its NNW end, visible in the SSS dataset. Observed in the MBES data as a long, curvilinear S-shaped mound in the north, with a long linear mound of uniform form and width extending to the south. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible long length of linear debris such as rope or chain. Similar linear debris 70360 is located 5 m to the southwest. | | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70362 | Magnetic | 399614 | 5692342 | A2_h | - | - | - | 77 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70363 | Magnetic | 399578 | 5692260 | A2_h | - | - | - | 283 | A large, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70364 | Magnetic | 399679 | 5692231 | A2_h | - | - | - | 165 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7484 | Dark reflector | 399961 | 5692255 | A2_h | 3.7 | 1.5 | 0.4 | - | A short linear dark reflector with a short, rounded shadow and some minor scour. Also identified in the MBES data as an elongate mound with steep sides and a pointed peak, situated within a depression measuring 8.3 x 5.1 x -0.1 m. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible nonferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70365 | Dark reflector | 399462 | 5692233 | A2_I | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | - | Identified in the SSS datasets as a distinct, triangular dark reflector with long shadow. Observed as a very distinctive and isolated rounded mound surrounded by a slight scour in the MBES. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70366 | Magnetic | 399597 | 5692207 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line .No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|----------------------------|
| 70367 | Magnetic | 399569 | 5692180 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70368 | Magnetic | 399608 | 5692108 | A2_I | - | - | - | 32 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70369 | Mound | 399524 | 5691975 | A2_I | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.3 | - | An isolated elongate mound, oriented northwest to southeast. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70370 | Dark reflector | 399381 | 5692021 | A2_I | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | - | A small, sub-angular dark reflector with long and narrow shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7485 | Magnetic | 399764 | 5691844 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7486 | Debris field | 399496 | 5691437 | A2_h | 45.0 | 4.1 | 0.4 | - | A group of elongate linear and curvilinear dark reflectors with bright uneven shadows, possibly suggesting uneven height. The linear features may be short lengths of rope or chain or debris. Also identified in the MBES data as an elongate linear mound which is angled at its centre with several indistinct objects attached along its length; the larger of these measures 1.8 x 1.3 x 0.2 m at the northwest end, and 1.5 x 1.3 x 0.2 m at the southeast end. Situated 34 m southwest of a recorded wreck 7500 and may be associated. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location, however the large Mag. anomaly (7487) situated 90 m northeast may be masking any smaller anomalies in this area. Interpreted as a possible debris field. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7487 | Magnetic | 399532 | 5691524 | A1 | - | - | - | 4317 | A very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. Also visible on other profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location, although there are frequent small mounds in the vicinity of this position. Situated 60 m NNE of a recorded wreck 7500 and may be associated. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7500 | Recorded wreck | 399517 | 5691466 | A3 | - | - | - | - | The position of a recorded obstruction in the UKHO record and an unknown wreck in the NMHR records, first identified in 1953. The wreck was last surveyed in 2018 and was not located by MBES survey. In 1998 the record was amended to 'dead'. No anomalous features were identified in any of the 2021 geophysical datasets at this position, however a very large Mag. anomaly (7487) has been identified situated 60 m NNE and a debris field (7486) has been identified 35 m southwest that may or may not be associated. As remains have been found in this position previously it has been assigned an AEZ and retained as a precaution in this gazetteer. | - | - | UKHO_13872, NMHR_831756 |
| 7488 | Linear debris | 399521 | 5691542 | A2_h | 10.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | - | An indistinct alignment of rounded dark reflectors with short tapered shadows, and possible short linear reflectors. Visible as an uneven area of seabed in the MBES data. The feature is situated within an area of mobile sediments and may be partially buried. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present, however this is situated 17 m | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | northwest of very large Mag. anomaly 7487 and may be associated. Interpreted as a possible debris field. | | | |
| 7491 | Dark reflector | 399081 | 5691412 | A2_h | 3.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | - | A straight dark reflector with a bright, rounded shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7492 | Linear debris | 399040 | 5691198 | A2_I | 15.8 | 0.5 | 0.1 | - | A short, thin and slightly curvilinear dark reflector with a short, even shadow along its length. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as possible linear debris, such as a length of rope or chain. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7494 | Wreck | 398780 | 5690035 | A1 | 51.3 | 42.2 | 1.0 | 8421 | A wreck visible as a large spread of highly anomalous rounded, curved, linear and angular dark reflectors with shadows of varying heights. The features are situated within an area of sand waves, and there is the potential for further debris to be buried in the vicinity. In the MBES data an area of disturbed seabed within sand waves is visible containing three compact groups of distinct mounds. An elongate object is visible measuring 2.2 x 1.2 m and the largest mound measures 2.8 x 1.8 m. Smaller rounded and angular mounds are also visible and the feature has scouring to the south for 13 m and up to -0.4 m deep. Associated with a very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line and visible on multiple profiles in the Mag. data suggesting substantial ferrous material is present. Associated with a recorded wreck in the UKHO dataset, <i>Klar</i> , a steam-ship that was on passage to Rouen when it struck a mine in 1915. The vessel had build dimensions of 45.7 x 7.6 x 5.2 m. The location was last surveyed in 2018 and was not located by MBES survey and so the record was amended to 'dead', however in earlier surveys small pieces of wreck have been identified. As the wreck is highly degraded, broken up and situated within sand waves it may have become more exposed since the last survey date. Interpreted as a highly broken up wreck. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021 | UKHO_15175 |
| 7495 | Recorded wreck | 398693 | 5689906 | A3 | - | - | - | - | A recorded wreck now amended to 'dead' in the UKHO and NMHR databases for Yvonne, a steam-ship with build dimensions of 56.1 x 8.5 x 4.3 m that was sunk in 1940 when it struck a mine. No anomalous features were identified in any of the 2021 geophysical datasets, however as remains have been identified at this position previously it has been retained as a precaution in this gazetteer. | - | MMT 2021 | UKHO_13861, NMHR_904901 |
| 7496 | Magnetic | 398476 | 5689868 | A2_h | - | - | - | 51 | A medium positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7497 | Magnetic | 398352 | 5689848 | A2_I | - | - | - | 37 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7501 | Magnetic | 398550 | 5689502 | A2_h | - | - | - | 104 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 7493 | Magnetic trend | 398474 | 5690170 | A2_h | 284.0 | - | - | 164 | Identified in the Mag dataset as a series of magnetic anomalies in a linear trend, aligned WNW to ESE varying in magnetic amplitude from 12 to 164 nT. Observed in the MBES data as a indistinct, thin linear mound on the same alignment. A very indistinct linear dark reflector is visible in the SSS data. Interpreted as a possible length of buried linear debris, potentially a length of chain. | Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70371 | Magnetic | 398385 | 5689963 | A2_I | - | - | - | 26 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS. This location was not covered by the MBES dataset. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70372 | Magnetic | 398216 | 5690000 | A2_I | - | - | - | 26 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70373 | Magnetic | 398284 | 5689854 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70374 | Magnetic | 398137 | 5689888 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70375 | Magnetic | 398119 | 5689854 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70376 | Magnetic | 398050 | 5689830 | A2_h | - | - | - | 95 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70377 | Magnetic | 398121 | 5689796 | A2_h | - | - | - | 534 | A very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70378 | Magnetic | 398200 | 5689729 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70379 | Magnetic | 398015 | 5689804 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70380 | Magnetic | 397971 | 5689770 | A2_h | - | - | - | 71 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70381 | Magnetic | 397837 | 5689722 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70382 | Debris | 398070 | 5689679 | A2_h | 3.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 29 | Recorded in the SSS dataset with a distinct elongate dark reflector with slight shadow of slightly variable height. Identified as a small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70383 | Magnetic | 398038 | 5689678 | A2_h | - | - | - | 145 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70384 | Magnetic | 398048 | 5689638 | A2_I | - | - | - | 27 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70385 | Magnetic | 398179 | 5689629 | A2_h | - | - | - | 54 | A medium negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70386 | Magnetic | 398008 | 5689613 | A2_h | - | - | - | 66 | A medium negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70387 | Magnetic | 397887 | 5689511 | A2_h | - | - | - | 125 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7501 | Magnetic | 398550 | 5689502 | A2_h | - | - | - | 104 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70388 | Magnetic | 397560 | 5689480 | A2_h | - | - | - | 380 | A large, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70389 | Magnetic | 397570 | 5689437 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70390 | Magnetic | 397890 | 5689418 | A2_h | - | - | - | 76 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70391 | Magnetic | 397846 | 5689380 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70392 | Magnetic | 397600 | 5689387 | A2_h | - | - | - | 545 | A very large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70393 | Magnetic | 397506 | 5689369 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70394 | Magnetic | 397497 | 5689348 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7498 | Mound | 397891 | 5689330 | A2_I | 2.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | - | A small but distinct angular mound with an uneven peak, situated at the base of a sand wave. The feature is anomalous to the surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. Not visible in the most recent MBES data set, so may be buried at present. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70395 | Magnetic | 397575 | 5689333 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70396 | Magnetic | 397748 | 5689317 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70397 | Magnetic | 397703 | 5689310 | A2_I | - | - | - | 38 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70398 | Magnetic | 397620 | 5689311 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70399 | Magnetic | 397459 | 5689319 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70400 | Magnetic | 397830 | 5689288 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70401 | Magnetic | 397417 | 5689292 | A2_I | - | - | - | 38 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70402 | Magnetic | 397437 | 5689272 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70403 | Magnetic | 397576 | 5689270 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70404 | Magnetic | 397563 | 5689240 | A2_h | - | - | - | 232 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70405 | Magnetic | 397584 | 5689231 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7502 | Magnetic | 397980 | 5689221 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70406 | Magnetic | 397523 | 5689217 | A2_h | - | - | - | 65 | A medium negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70407 | Magnetic | 397403 | 5689200 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70408 | Magnetic | 397313 | 5689179 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70409 | Magnetic | 397517 | 5689150 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70410 | Magnetic | 397674 | 5689132 | A2_I | - | - | - | 40 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70411 | Magnetic | 397667 | 5689114 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70412 | Magnetic | 397421 | 5689122 | A2_I | - | - | - | 47 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70413 | Magnetic | 397811 | 5689074 | A2_I | - | - | - | 23 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|---------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70414 | Debris | 397450 | 5689097 | A2_h | 7.2 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 26 | Recorded as an elongate mound in the MBES dataset, lying perpendicular to sand ripples in the area on a north to south alignment. It loses its uniformity and develops an uneven surface towards the northern end. Also identified in the Mag. data as a small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible ferrous debris. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70415 | Magnetic | 397518 | 5689088 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70416 | Magnetic | 397352 | 5689091 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70417 | Magnetic | 397306 | 5689049 | A2_h | - | - | - | 107 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70418 | Magnetic | 397771 | 5689040 | A2_I | - | - | - | 44 | A small, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70419 | Magnetic | 397535 | 5689011 | A2_h | - | - | - | 80 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70420 | Magnetic | 397506 | 5689000 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70421 | Magnetic | 397422 | 5688997 | A2_I | - | - | - | 47 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70422 | Dark reflector | 397422 | 5688979 | A2_I | 2.4 | 1.6 | 0.4 | - | Observed in the SSS data as a distinct elongate dark reflector with a slight shadow, located in an area of sand ripples. In the MBES data it is identified as a distinct, isolated and somewhat rectangular in outline mound. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70423 | Magnetic | 397668 | 5688937 | A2_I | - | - | - | 34 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70424 | Magnetic | 397187 | 5688911 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70425 | Magnetic | 397522 | 5688862 | A2_I | - | - | - | 39 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70426 | Magnetic | 397456 | 5688840 | A2_h | - | - | - | 99 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70427 | Magnetic | 397530 | 5688817 | A2_h | - | - | - | 61 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70428 | Magnetic | 397537 | 5688834 | A2_I | - | - | - | 36 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70429 | Magnetic | 397286 | 5688827 | A2_h | - | - | - | 70 | A medium positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70430 | Seabed disturbance | 397249 | 5688831 | A2_I | 3.9 | 2.2 | 0.1 | - | A seabed disturbance visible as four small and rounded mounds. Three of these are aligned, the fourth is separate and located 2 m north of the others. The largest mound measures 1.0 x 1.0 m. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70431 | Dark reflector | 397110 | 5688822 | A2_I | 4.5 | 1.5 | 0.3 | - | Observed in the SSS data as a distinct rounded dark reflector with a rounded shadow, in an area of seabed with extensive sand waves with a rounded shadow. Identified as a rounded and isolated mound with flattened top. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70432 | Magnetic | 397556 | 5688753 | A2_h | - | - | - | 403 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Potentially associated with a cable identified on the Admiralty Chart but this is uncertain - retained as a precaution. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70433 | Magnetic | 397416 | 5688754 | A2_h | - | - | - | 88 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Potentially associated with a cable identified on the Admiralty Chart but this is uncertain - retained as a precaution. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70434 | Magnetic | 397189 | 5688738 | A2_h | - | - | - | 273 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70435 | Magnetic | 397623 | 5688722 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70436 | Magnetic | 397555 | 5688696 | A2_I | - | - | - | 43 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70437 | Magnetic | 397303 | 5688683 | A2_I | - | - | - | 99 | A medium, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70438 | Magnetic | 397128 | 5688668 | A2_I | - | - | - | 32 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70439 | Magnetic | 397338 | 5688649 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70440 | Magnetic | 397602 | 5688672 | A2_h | - | - | - | 89 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70441 | Magnetic | 397653 | 5688667 | A2_h | - | - | - | 188 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70442 | Magnetic | 397672 | 5688651 | A2_I | - | - | - | 35 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70443 | Mound | 397577 | 5688648 | A2_I | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.2 | - | A sub-angular mound with a shallow hollow in the middle. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70444 | Magnetic | 397664 | 5688577 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70445 | Magnetic | 397412 | 5688627 | A2_I | - | - | - | 44 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70446 | Magnetic | 397108 | 5688623 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70447 | Magnetic | 397061 | 5688579 | A2_h | - | - | - | 212 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-------------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70448 | Magnetic | 397074 | 5688548 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70449 | Magnetic | 397094 | 5688533 | A2_h | - | - | - | 350 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70450 | Magnetic | 397171 | 5688515 | A2_I | - | - | - | 23 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70451 | Mound | 397248 | 5688526 | A2_I | 2.0 | 1.8 | 0.5 | - | A sub-rounded, distinct mound with a small scour. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70452 | Recorded Obstruction | 397413 | 5688511 | A3 | - | - | - | - | The recorded location of UKHO_75328, an area of foul ground noted in the record as a 'firm, rounded contact in a scour hole'. It was first identified in September 2010, with a further examination in September 2015 which found it to measure 2.8 x 1.4 x 1.0 m. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS, MBES or Mag. data at this location which may suggest it has since been buried by mobile sediments or no longer exists on the seabed. | - | NEXT 2024 | UKHO_75328 |
| 70453 | Magnetic | 397553 | 5688514 | A2_h | - | - | - | 73 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70454 | Magnetic | 397638 | 5688513 | A2_I | - | - | - | 61 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70455 | Magnetic | 397543 | 5688431 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70456 | Magnetic | 397052 | 5688498 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70457 | Magnetic | 397173 | 5688457 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70458 | Magnetic | 397009 | 5688465 | A2_I | - | - | - | 41 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70459 | Magnetic | 397215 | 5688436 | A2_h | - | - | - | 90 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70460 | Magnetic | 397298 | 5688387 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small, broad symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70461 | Magnetic | 397410 | 5688380 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70462 | Magnetic | 397518 | 5688375 | A2_I | - | - | - | 31 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70463 | Magnetic | 397411 | 5688319 | A2_h | - | - | - | 70 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70464 | Magnetic | 397182 | 5688365 | A2_I | - | - | - | 70 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70465 | Magnetic | 397024 | 5688381 | A2_I | - | - | - | 7 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70466 | Magnetic | 397125 | 5688357 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70467 | Magnetic | 396946 | 5688329 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70468 | Magnetic | 397204 | 5688295 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70469 | Magnetic | 397028 | 5688268 | A2_I | - | - | - | 23 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70470 | Magnetic | 397540 | 5688246 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70471 | Magnetic | 397493 | 5688204 | A2_I | - | - | - | 47 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70472 | Magnetic | 397117 | 5688226 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70473 | Magnetic | 397216 | 5688194 | A2_I | - | - | - | 29 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70474 | Magnetic | 397425 | 5688173 | A2_I | - | - | - | 32 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70475 | Magnetic | 397448 | 5688169 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70476 | Magnetic | 397003 | 5688162 | A2_I | - | - | - | 71 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70477 | Magnetic | 397317 | 5688124 | A2_I | - | - | - | 34 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70478 | Magnetic | 397547 | 5688080 | A2_I | - | - | - | 34 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70479 | Magnetic | 397558 | 5688045 | A2_I | - | - | - | 43 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70480 | Magnetic | 396992 | 5688069 | A2_h | - | - | - | 77 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70481 | Magnetic | 396981 | 5688048 | A2_h | - | - | - | 603 | A very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70482 | Magnetic | 397048 | 5688015 | A2_h | - | - | - | 83 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70483 | Magnetic | 397134 | 5688007 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70484 | Magnetic | 397010 | 5687996 | A2_I | - | - | - | 48 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70485 | Mound | 396936 | 5687895 | A2_I | 2.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | - | An elongate and uniform in shape mound. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be partially buried non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70486 | Magnetic | 397461 | 5687858 | A2_I | - | - | - | 42 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70487 | Magnetic | 397210 | 5687828 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70488 | Magnetic | 397175 | 5687815 | A2_h | - | - | - | 82 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70489 | Magnetic | 397314 | 5687756 | A2_I | - | - | - | 56 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70490 | Dark reflector | 397219 | 5687729 | A2_I | 4.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | - | A distinct dark reflector with an uneven shadow, appearing sub-angular or elongate on different lines of data, located in an area of sand waves. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible non-ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70491 | Magnetic | 397455 | 5687706 | A2_h | - | - | - | 61 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70492 | Magnetic | 396797 | 5687647 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70493 | Magnetic | 396825 | 5687649 | A2_I | - | - | - | 40 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line .No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70494 | Magnetic | 397029 | 5687615 | A2_h | - | - | - | 109 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70495 | Magnetic | 397047 | 5687597 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70496 | Magnetic | 397275 | 5687610 | A2_h | - | - | - | 109 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70497 | Magnetic | 397342 | 5687574 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70498 | Magnetic | 397285 | 5687575 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70499 | Magnetic | 397257 | 5687574 | A2_h | - | - | - | 220 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be partially buried debris. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70500 | Magnetic | 397293 | 5687537 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70501 | Magnetic | 397235 | 5687526 | A2_I | - | - | - | 58 | A medium, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70502 | Linear debris | 396881 | 5687518 | A2_h | 25.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | - | Associated with three distinct elongate dark reflectors with shadows, in a linear arrangement in the SSS data, located in an area of sand waves. Visible as a indistinct curvilinear mound in the MBES dataset. They are aligned NNE to SSW. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible short length of non-ferrous linear debris | | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70503 | Magnetic | 396919 | 5687495 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70504 | Magnetic | 397077 | 5687481 | A2_I | - | - | - | 27 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70505 | Magnetic | 397217 | 5687485 | A2_I | - | - | - | 63 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70506 | Magnetic | 397244 | 5687485 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70507 | Magnetic | 397231 | 5687458 | A2_h | - | - | - | 79 | A medium negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|---------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70508 | Magnetic | 396804 | 5687431 | A2_I | - | - | - | 31 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70509 | Magnetic | 397059 | 5687381 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70510 | Magnetic | 396909 | 5687359 | A2_I | - | - | - | 42 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70511 | Magnetic | 396741 | 5687355 | A2_h | - | - | - | 69 | A medium, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70512 | Magnetic | 397138 | 5687318 | A2_h | - | - | - | 185 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70513 | Magnetic | 397010 | 5687271 | A2_h | - | - | - | 53 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole and a medium negative monopole on a single profile lines. o anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70514 | Magnetic trend | 396957 | 5687274 | A2_h | 138.0 | - | - | 93 | A curvilinear trend of eight separate magnetic anomalies ranging from 28 to 232 nT, recorded over multiple profile lines, aligned from west to east. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as a magnetic trend that could possibly be ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70515 | Magnetic | 396753 | 5687321 | A2_I | - | - | - | 37 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70516 | Wreck | 396674 | 5687281 | A1 | 78.1 | 31.5 | 1.4 | 9405 | Identified in the SSS data as >10 distinct angular and sub-angular dark reflectors with shadows. Associated with several angular pieces of debris in the MBES data, the largest of which measures 7.3 x 3.5 x 0.3 m. Recorded in the Mag. data as a very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough, part of a larger magnetic anomaly visible over multiple profile lines. These correspond with the recorded location of UKHO_13849, the wreck of HMS <i>Arctic Trapper</i> . This was a Grimsby owned trawler which was requisitioned for an armed patrol in 1940 and sunk by German aircraft. Its location was logged in 1941 and the wreck located 14th July 1948. A series of surveys have recorded its gradual disintegration, pointing to the seabed in this area being highly active. It is recorded as a dangerous wreck by the UKHO. This anomaly has been interpreted as the highly degraded and mainly buried wreck of this ship. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | UKHO_13849 |
| 70517 | Magnetic | 396590 | 5687321 | A2_h | - | - | - | 143 | A large negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. This is a smaller anomaly on the edge of a larger magnetic signal. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70518 | Mound | 396566 | 5687321 | A2_I | 2.6 | 1.4 | 0.4 | - | An elongate mound in an area of sand waves on a northwest to southeast alignment. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70519 | Magnetic | 396738 | 5687286 | A2_I | - | - | - | 37 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70520 | Magnetic | 397057 | 5687257 | A2_h | - | - | - | 66 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70521 | Magnetic | 396957 | 5687221 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70522 | Magnetic | 396791 | 5687224 | A2_h | - | - | - | 75 | A medium positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES. data at this location. Potentially related to similar magnetic anomaly 70523, located approx. 57 m to the west. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70523 | Magnetic | 396735 | 5687220 | A2_h | - | - | - | 193 | A large, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES. data at this location. Potentially related to similar magnetic anomaly 70522, located approx. 57 m to the west. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70524 | Magnetic | 396477 | 5687217 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES. data at this location. Potentially related to MBES anomaly 70525, located approx. 18 m to the west. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70525 | Mound | 396459 | 5687215 | A2_I | 3.1 | 1.8 | 0.1 | - | An angular mound with uneven surface. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location, although magnetic anomaly 70524, located approx. 18 m east many be related. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be partially buried non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70526 | Magnetic | 396795 | 5687140 | A2_h | - | - | - | 61 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70527 | Magnetic | 396638 | 5687130 | A2_h | - | - | - | 62 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70528 | Mound | 396455 | 5687196 | A2_I | 5.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | - | An indistinct, elongate mound in an area of sand waves. Potentially related to anomaly 70525 located approx. 18 m north. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be partially buried nonferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70529 | Debris | 396496 | 5687175 | A2_h | 2.4 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 93 | Observed in the MBES dataset as a distinct, rounded and isolated mound. Identified in the Mag. as a medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70530 | Magnetic | 396466 | 5687169 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70531 | Magnetic | 396547 | 5687105 | A2_h | - | - | - | 317 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70532 | Magnetic | 396830 | 5687119 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70533 | Mound | 396920 | 5687072 | A2_I | 2.4 | 1.7 | 0.3 | - | A very angular and distinct mound. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be partially buried non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70534 | Magnetic | 396421 | 5687080 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70535 | Magnetic trend | 396374 | 5687006 | A2_h | 31.0 | - | - | 370 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough and a medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough, across two separate profile lines. Located in an area of sand waves and part of the same large magnetic trend. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70536 | Magnetic | 396328 | 5686995 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Located in an area of sand waves. Possibly associated with similar anomaly 70537 located approx. 14 m to the SSW. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70537 | Magnetic | 396323 | 5686982 | A2_h | - | - | - | 57 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Possibly associated with similar anomaly 70536 located approx. 14 m to the NNE. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70538 | Magnetic | 396484 | 5686970 | A2_I | - | - | - | 39 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70539 | Magnetic | 396519 | 5686929 | A2_I | - | - | - | 7 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70540 | Magnetic | 396923 | 5686966 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70541 | Magnetic | 396830 | 5686885 | A2_h | - | - | - | 194 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Potentially related to anomaly 70542 located 30 m SSE. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70542 | Magnetic | 396838 | 5686852 | A2_h | - | - | - | 63 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Potentially related to anomaly 70541 located 30 m NNW. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70543 | Mound | 396273 | 5686892 | A2_I | 3.0 | 2.5 | 0.5 | - | An angular and isolated mound with surrounding scour within an area of sand waves. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be partially buried non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70544 | Magnetic | 396355 | 5686874 | A2_I | - | - | - | 27 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70545 | Mound | 396310 | 5686836 | A2_I | 2.3 | 2.0 | 0.4 | - | An angular mound with uneven shape and surface. Potentially two separate objects alongside one another. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be partially buried non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70546 | Linear debris | 396419 | 5686764 | A2_h | 47.6 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 61 | Identified in the MBES data as a curvilinear mound on a roughly northwest to southeast alignment. There is potentially a sub-rounded mound attached to the southeast end. Observed in the SSS dataset as a very indistinct curvilinear dark reflector. Recorded in the Mag. data as a medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Interpreted as a length of ferrous linear debris. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70547 | Debris | 396526 | 5686779 | A2_h | 6.8 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 221 | Recorded as a distinct rounded dark reflector with a short, varied shadow in the SSS data. Visible in the MBES data as a distinct elongate mound, on a roughly northeast to southwest alignment. Observed as a large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. line. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70548 | Magnetic | 396740 | 5686692 | A2_I | - | - | - | 40 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70549 | Magnetic | 396442 | 5686704 | A2_h | - | - | - | 94 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70550 | Magnetic | 396329 | 5686698 | A2_h | - | - | - | 135 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70551 | Magnetic | 396432 | 5686683 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70552 | Magnetic | 396141 | 5686710 | A2_I | - | - | - | 7 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70553 | Magnetic | 396185 | 5686683 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70554 | Linear debris | 396258 | 5686645 | A2_h | 30.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 33 | Recorded in the SSS data as a distinct curvilinear dark reflector with a shadow of variable height. Observed in the MBES dataset as a curvilinear mound, orientated northeast to southwest with a rectangular mound measuring 2.4 m x 1.1 m x 0.4 m on its northeastern end. Identified in the Mag. data as a small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Interpreted as a long length of linear ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70555 | Magnetic | 396517 | 5686628 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70556 | Magnetic | 396293 | 5686621 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70557 | Magnetic | 396509 | 5686611 | A2_I | - | - | - | 30 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70558 | Magnetic | 396315 | 5686552 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70559 | Debris | 396144 | 5686612 | A2_h | 4.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 17 | Associated with a distinct angular dark reflector with a slight shadow in the SSS data, surrounded by many smaller natural dark reflectors. Identified in the MBES as a very angular mound in the shape of an anchor. Recorded as a small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag dataset. Interpreted as ferrous debris, potentially an anchor. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70560 | Magnetic | 396569 | 5686505 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70561 | Magnetic | 396236 | 5686505 | A2_h | - | - | - | 83 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70562 | Magnetic | 396265 | 5686498 | A2_I | - | - | - | 43 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70563 | Magnetic | 396323 | 5686438 | A2_h | - | - | - | 141 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70564 | Magnetic | 396351 | 5686420 | A2_I | - | - | - | 35 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70565 | Magnetic | 396385 | 5686402 | A2_I | - | - | - | 31 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70566 | Magnetic | 396400 | 5686372 | A2_h | - | - | - | 71 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70567 | Debris field | 396495 | 5686220 | A1 | 17.3 | 12.2 | 0.4 | - | Visible in the SSS data as a distinct series of closely spaced angular dark reflectors with shadows of varying heights and shapes. Recorded in the MBES dataset as a group of angular and elongate mounds in a natural depression situated 15 m north of Wreck 70568 and is likely related. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location, although any amplitude is likely covered by the large magnetic response from Anomaly 70568. Interpreted as a debris field associated with the wreck. Located outside the study areaStudy Area, but any associated exclusion zone is likely to encroach upon the study areaStudy Area. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70568 | Wreck | 396479 | 5686192 | A1 | 50.1 | 49.2 | 1.3 | 14327 | The remains of a wreck visible in the SSS data as a distinct scatter of multiple large angular pieces of debris with pointed shadows. These can be observed in the MBES data as several very angular mounds, the largest of which measures 7.7 m x 3.3 m x 0.9 m. Identified in the Mag. data as a very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Corresponds with the location of UKHO_13843, the wreck of the <i>Rydal Force</i> , a steam-ship mined during the Second World War. It is recorded as a 'dangerous wreck'. It is noted as being 'Scattered wreckage in a seabed depression' with a strong magnetic anomaly, although its dimensions of 6.0 m x 3.5 m x 2.0 m are markedly smaller than the size of the debris field in the SSS data. It was previously measured as 65.0 m x 38.0 m x 1.7 m, which is closer to how it appears. 4 anomalies 70567, 70569, 70570, and 70571 located to the west and northeast are potentially related. Located outside the current study area Study Area, but any associated exclusion zone would likely encroach upon the study area Study Area. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | UKHO_13843 |
| 70569 | Debris | 396430 | 5686231 | A2_h | 6.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | - | Observed as a distinct elongate dark reflector with a shadow of variable height in the SSS data and as an elongate mound oriented northeast-southwest in the MBSE dataset. Located approx. 60 m northwest of wreck 70568 and may possibly be related. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location, although any amplitude is likely covered by the large magnetic response from Anomaly 70568. Interpreted as debris. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70570 | Debris Field | 396412 | 5686205 | A1 | 27.2 | 6.1 | 0.3 | - | Observed in the SSS data as two distinct elongate, slightly curved dark reflectors, approx. 6.0 m x 1.0 m and 3.0 m x 1.0 m respectively, with short shadows located approx. 18 m east-west of each other. They can also be identified in the MBES data as three elongate mounds on a roughly northeast to southwest alignment, two of which are immediately adjacent to each other, the other located 18 m northwest. The debris fields magnetic amplitude in the data is covered by the large magnetic response of anomaly 70568. Interpreted as a debris field, likely related to wreck 70568. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70571 | Debris Field | 396424 | 5686186 | A1 | 8.9 | 1.2 | 0.6 | - | Recorded in the SSS dataset as a pair of sub-rounded and a sub-angular dark reflectors, with clear shadows, located nearby anomalies 70569 and 70570 and is likely related. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location, and any magnetic amplitude is likely covered by the large magnetic response created by wreck 70568. Interpreted as a small debris field, likely related to wreck 70568. Located outside the current study areaStudy Area, but any associated exclusion zone would likely encroach upon the study areaStudy Area. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70572 | Magnetic | 396282 | 5686404 | A2_h | - | - | - | 335 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line and visible on adjacent lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70573 | Magnetic | 396190 | 5686458 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70574 | Magnetic | 396034 | 5686455 | A2_h | - | - | - | 216 | A large negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line and visible on adjacent lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70575 | Magnetic | 396124 | 5686334 | A2_I | - | - | - | 39 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70576 | Magnetic | 396142 | 5686236 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70577 | Magnetic | 396170 | 5686294 | A2_h | - | - | - | 69 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70578 | Magnetic | 396036 | 5686360 | A2_h | - | - | - | 850 | A very large negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70579 | Magnetic | 396175 | 5686246 | A2_I | - | - | - | 38 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70580 | Magnetic | 396263 | 5686201 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70581 | Magnetic | 396356 | 5686160 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70582 | Magnetic | 396207 | 5686019 | A2_h | - | - | - | 51 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70583 | Magnetic | 396191 | 5686045 | A2_h | - | - | - | 84 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70584 | Magnetic | 395983 | 5686130 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70585 | Magnetic | 396006 | 5686179 | A2_I | - | - | - | 8 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70586 | Magnetic | 395878 | 5686285 | A2_I | - | - | - | 29 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70587 | Magnetic | 395852 | 5686216 | A2_h | - | - | - | 272 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70588 | Magnetic | 395962 | 5685966 | A2_h | - | - | - | 109 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70589 | Magnetic | 396090 | 5685884 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70590 | Magnetic | 396051 | 5685743 | A2_I | - | - | - | 86 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70591 | Magnetic | 396074 | 5685793 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70592 | Magnetic | 396066 | 5685831 | A2_I | - | - | - | 47 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70593 | Magnetic | 396193 | 5685928 | A2_h | - | - | - | 107 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70594 | Magnetic | 396143 | 5685941 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70595 | Magnetic | 396027 | 5685687 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7567 | Magnetic | 395847 | 5685464 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70596 | Debris | 395907 | 5685566 | A2_h | 4.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | Observed in the SSS data as a distinct elongate dark reflector with a slight curve and shadow in an area of seabed with few other notable features. Visible in the MBES dataset as a very indistinct, linear mound on a roughly northeast to southwest alignment. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. along this alignment. Interpreted as possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70597 | Magnetic | 395776 | 5685623 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70598 | Magnetic | 395877 | 5685964 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70599 | Magnetic trend | 395761 | 5686092 | A2_I | 63.0 | - | - | 27 | Three separate magnetic anomalies, two small negative monopoles and a medium sharp asymmetric dipole, ranging in amplitude from 9 to 51 nT forming a magnetic trend. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as a possible large piece of ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70600 | Magnetic | 395696 | 5686099 | A2_I | - | - | - | 47 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70601 | Debris | 395786 | 5685938 | A2_h | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 23 | Observed in the SSS data as a distinct slightly elongate dark reflector with a shadow in an area of seabed with numerous much smaller dark reflectors. Associated with a very angular, elongate mound in the MBES dataset. Identified as a small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. dataset. Interpreted as a piece of ferrous debris. | | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|---------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 70602 | Debris | 395743 | 5685733 | A2_h | 2.8 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 410 | Recorded as an angular, elongate mound with three prominent peaks in the MBES data. Visible in the Mag. dataset as three separate magnetic anomalies on three profile lines, ranging in amplitude from 22 - 410 nT. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | Mag., MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70603 | Magnetic | 395711 | 5685786 | A2_h | - | - | - | 61 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70604 | Debris | 395669 | 5685737 | A2_h | 3.4 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 99 | Observed in the MBES as a very angular and distinct mound with uneven surface. Visible as a medium negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70605 | Debris | 395756 | 5685310 | A2_h | 2.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 144 | An indistinct elongate dark reflector with a bright shadow, the feature is isolated on an uneven area of seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Associated with a large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7586 | Linear debris | 395738 | 5685362 | A2_I | 47.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | A long thin, slightly curved dark reflector with a short, bright shadow. The feature is orientated northeast to southwest on the seabed. Faintly visible in the MBES dataset as a linear depression. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as possible linear debris, possibly a length of rope or chain. | SSS | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7585 | Linear debris | 395728 | 5685369 | A2_I | 20.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | A long, thin and slightly curvilinear dark reflector with a short, bright shadow, the feature has a small dark reflector with a bright squared off shadow attached, measuring 0.3 x 0.1 x 0.1 m. Faintly visible in the MBES dataset as a linear depression. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible short length of linear debris such as rope or chain. | SSS | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7587 | Magnetic | 395716 | 5685333 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70606 | Magnetic | 395758 | 5685409 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7568 | Debris | 395742 | 5685438 | A2_h | 1.8 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 57 | An indistinct angular mound or possibly three very small mounds directly next to one another. The feature is isolated on a slightly uneven area of seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Associated with a small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70607 | Magnetic | 395665 | 5685382 | A2_I | - | - | - | 8 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7580 | Magnetic | 395651 | 5685276 | A2_h | - | - | - | 59 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, also visible on adjacent profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | | | |
| 7590 | Dark reflector | 395637 | 5685338 | A2_I | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | - | An elongate and slightly curved dark reflector with a bright rounded shadow, anomalous to the surrounding seabed. Visible as an angular and isolated mound in an area of uneven seabed in the MBES data. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7595 | Dark reflector | 395559 | 5685235 | A2_I | 4.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | A long thin and straight dark reflector with a bright shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70608 | Magnetic | 395547 | 5685301 | A2_I | - | - | - | 7 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70609 | Magnetic | 395490 | 5685364 | A2_I | - | - | - | 28 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7594 | Magnetic | 395470 | 5685406 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7596 | Magnetic | 395409 | 5685277 | A2_I | - | - | - | 5 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70610 | Magnetic | 395380 | 5685330 | A2_h | - | - | - | 92 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7599 | Magnetic trend | 395346 | 5685283 | A2_I | 29.0 | - | - | 40 | Two small positive monopoles and a small broad asymmetric dipole, visible on three separate profile lines. The magnetic amplitudes vary from 9 to 40 nT. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7600 | Magnetic | 395319 | 5685228 | A2_h | - | - | - | 33 | Two small asymmetric dipoles with peak and trough on two profile line in the Mag. data, with amplitudes ranging from 33 to 45 nT. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70611 | Magnetic | 395654 | 5685654 | A2_h | - | - | - | 56 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70612 | Magnetic | 395616 | 5685664 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70613 | Magnetic | 395599 | 5685548 | A2_I | - | - | - | 26 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70614 | Magnetic | 395542 | 5685600 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70615 | Magnetic | 395632 | 5686029 | A2_h | - | - | - | 197 | A large negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Could potentially be related to anomaly 70616, located approx. 15 m west . | Magnetic | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70616 | Debris field | 395620 | 5686020 | A2_h | 17.7 | 7.7 | 0.2 | 246 | Observed as a several scattered elongate, sub-rounded mounds in the MBES data, the largest measuring 2.8 x 1.4 x 0.1 m. It was also recorded in the Mag. data as a large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as a field of ferrous debris. Mag. anomaly 70615, located approx. 15 m east is potentially related. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70617 | Magnetic | 395624 | 5685959 | A2_I | - | - | - | 54 | A medium positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70618 | Magnetic | 395555 | 5685830 | A2_I | - | - | - | 26 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70619 | Magnetic | 395497 | 5685989 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70620 | Magnetic | 395462 | 5685923 | A2_I | - | - | - | 33 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70621 | Magnetic | 395644 | 5686267 | A2_I | - | - | - | 48 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70622 | Magnetic | 395597 | 5686339 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70623 | Magnetic | 395597 | 5686227 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70624 | Linear debris | 395583 | 5686178 | A2_h | 18.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | Visible in the SSS data as two distinct curvilinear dark reflectors adjacent to each other with shadows of varying height, surrounded by multiple small rounded dark reflectors with shadows. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a short length of non-ferrous linear debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70625 | Magnetic | 395573 | 5686301 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | Two small positive monopoles with a peak and trough on two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70626 | Magnetic | 395550 | 5686369 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70627 | Magnetic | 395554 | 5686159 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70628 | Magnetic | 395508 | 5686255 | A2_I | - | - | - | 46 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70629 | Magnetic | 395413 | 5686360 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70630 | Magnetic | 395271 | 5686237 | A2_h | - | - | - | 314 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70631 | Linear debris | 395124 | 5686224 | A2_h | 31.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 34 | Recorded as a distinct curvilinear dark reflector with several bends and a slight shadow as well as some splitting along its length in the SSS data. It is on a northeast to southwest alignment. Identified in the MBES data as a long, curvilinear mound. Visible in the Mag. dataset as a small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Located alongside similar linear feature, anomaly 70632, and is likely related. Interpreted as a length of linear debris. | ı SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70632 | Linear debris | 395117 | 5686209 | A2_h | 23.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 34 | Recorded as a distinct curvilinear dark reflector with several bends and a shadow with varying height, along with a distinct angular dark reflector with a shadow, in the SSS data. It splits along its length and is on a northeast to southwest alignment. Visible in the MBES data as a long, curvilinear mound with an angular mound, measuring 2.5 x 1.3 x 1.5 m, on its southwestern end and smaller angular mound halfway down its length. Visible in the Mag. dataset as a small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Located alongside similar linear feature, anomaly 70631, and is likely related. Interpreted as a length of linear debris. | | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70633 | Magnetic | 395074 | 5686127 | A2_I | - | - | - | 8 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70634 | Magnetic | 395223 | 5685961 | A2_I | - | - | - | 34 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70635 | Magnetic | 395200 | 5685890 | A2_h | - | - | - | 108 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70636 | Magnetic | 395105 | 5685877 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70637 | Magnetic trend | 395013 | 5685876 | A2_I | 57.0 | - | - | 46 | A magnetic trend, recorded in three Mag. anomalies on three separate lines, ranging in amplitude from 24 to 46 nT. Visible as a large, shallow mound is visible in the MBES data, approx. 70.0 m x 14.0 m at its widest and 0.4 m tall. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS at this location. Interpreted as a potential natural feature or possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70638 | Mound | 395261 | 5685659 | A2_I | 3.8 | 2.2 | 0.1 | - | A distinct mound with top right corner forming a right angle. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70639 | Magnetic | 395088 | 5685649 | A2_I | - | - | - | 30 | Two magnetic anomalies, a small positive monopole and a medium negative monopole, both with peaks and troughs. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70640 | Magnetic | 394932 | 5685201 | A2_I | - | - | - | 9 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible debris. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70641 | Debris | 394923 | 5685433 | A2_h | 5.4 | 1.3 | 0.3 | - | An elongate mound oriented NNW-SSE with at least 4 distinctive peaks. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70642 | Magnetic | 394822 | 5685489 | A2_h | - | - | - | 69 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70643 | Magnetic | 394870 | 5685890 | A2_h | - | - | - | 95 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70644 | Magnetic | 394894 | 5685867 | A2_I | - | - | - | 23 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70645 | Magnetic | 393982 | 5685860 | A2_h | - | - | - | 252 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Adjacent to another smaller signal. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|---------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70646 | Magnetic | 393968 | 5685856 | A2_I | - | - | - | 43 | A small, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70647 | Magnetic | 393747 | 5685847 | A2_h | - | - | - | 79 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70648 | Magnetic | 394241 | 5685834 | A2_I | - | - | - | 35 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70649 | Mound | 393662 | 5685831 | A2_h | 2.3 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 21 | Identified in the MBES dataset as a very angular mound appearing distinct for the surrounding area. Observed in the Mag. Dataset as a small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible ferrous debris. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70650 | Magnetic | 395180 | 5685792 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70651 | Magnetic | 394540 | 5685792 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Sharp, narrow. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70652 | Debris | 394774 | 5685772 | A2_h | 8.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 179 | Identified in the MBES dataset as an elongate and narrow mound oriented NNW-SSE. Observed in the Mag. Dataset as a large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70653 | Magnetic | 394577 | 5685770 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Quite sharp. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70654 | Mound | 393303 | 5685709 | A2_I | 2.0 | 1.8 | 0.2 | - | A sub-rounded mound distinct for the surrounding area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. Data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70655 | Linear debris | 394618 | 5685696 | A2_I | 11.5 | 1.5 | 0.2 | - | Identified in the SSS dataset as a distinct curvilinear dark reflector with a slight shadow of variable height, adjacent to a second similar curvilinear feature. Observed in the MBES dataset as an elongate mound oriented ENE-WSW ending in a distinct, well rounded mound. Located approx. 6 m northwest of 70656 anomaly. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible short length of linear debris such as a rope or chain adjacent to a similar linear feature. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70656 | Linear debris | 394627 | 5685692 | A2_I | 10.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | - | Identified in the SSS dataset as a distinct curvilinear dark reflector of varying reflectivity with a shadow of varying height, adjacent to a second similar feature. Observed in the MBES dataset as an elongate mound oriented east-west. Located approx. 6 m southeast of 70655 anomaly. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible short length of linear debris such as a rope or chain adjacent to a similar linear feature. | SSS, MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70657 | Mound | 393293 | 5685682 | A2_I | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.5 | - | A sub-angular, almost square in the outline mound. Distinct for the surrounding area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS and Mag. Data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70658 | Magnetic | 395350 | 5685660 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70659 | Magnetic | 394148 | 5685650 | A2_h | - | - | - | 122 | A large negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. Sharp and pronounced. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70660 | Mound | 395360 | 5685628 | A2_I | 2.8 | 2.3 | 0.5 | - | A distinct, irregular mound located approx. 27.0 m ESE to anomaly 70661. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. Data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible nonferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70661 | Mound | 395388 | 5685623 | A2_I | 2.9 | 1.8 | 0.6 | - | A distinct, regular, oval-shaped mound located approx. 27.0 m WNW to anomaly 70660. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. Data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70662 | Magnetic | 393428 | 5685623 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70663 | Magnetic | 394480 | 5685621 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70664 | Mound | 393869 | 5685617 | A2_I | 5.6 | 1.6 | 0.1 | - | An angular mound with three prominent peaks, flaring towards northeast. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. Data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70665 | Magnetic | 393330 | 5685596 | A2_I | - | - | - | 8 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70666 | Mound | 393806 | 5685565 | A2_I | 3.2 | 0.9 | 0.1 | - | An elongate mound with well-rounded NNE tip. Distinct for the surrounding area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. Data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70667 | Magnetic | 393421 | 5685543 | A2_I | - | - | - | 42 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70668 | Magnetic | 393374 | 5685530 | A2_h | - | - | - | 77 | A medium, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 70669 | Dark reflector | 393317 | 5685517 | A2_I | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 | - | An indistinct possibly slightly angular dark reflector with a large rounded peak shadow, possibly slightly exaggerated by data stretching. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. Data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70670 | Magnetic | 394118 | 5685513 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. Bit wide, not 100%. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70671 | Magnetic | 394724 | 5685505 | A2_I | - | - | - | 30 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70672 | Magnetic | 394433 | 5685498 | A2_I | - | - | - | 8 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70673 | Linear debris | 394095 | 5685497 | A2_h | 7.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | A distinct curvilinear dark reflector with a slight shadow, likely exaggerated by data stretching in proximity to many small dark reflectors. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. Data at this location. Possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70674 | Magnetic | 394474 | 5685484 | A2_h | - | - | - | 84 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Sharp and narrow. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70675 | Magnetic | 393395 | 5685458 | A2_h | - | - | - | 334 | A large negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70676 | Magnetic | 393733 | 5685457 | A2_I | - | - | - | 28 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7568 | Debris | 395742 | 5685438 | A2_h | 1.8 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 31 | An indistinct angular mound or possibly three very small mounds directly next to one another. The feature is isolated on a slightly uneven area of seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Associated with a small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70677 | Magnetic | 394821 | 5685404 | A2_I | - | - | - | 33 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70678 | Magnetic | 393221 | 5685403 | A2_I | - | - | - | 7 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70679 | Magnetic | 392101 | 5685379 | A2_I | - | - | - | 9 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 70680 | Magnetic | 394533 | 5685350 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70681 | Magnetic | 394088 | 5685321 | A2_I | - | - | - | 38 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7588 | Debris | 395756 | 5685310 | A2_h | 2.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 144 | An indistinct elongate dark reflector with a bright shadow, the feature is isolated on an uneven area of seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Associated with a large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70682 | Mound | 392929 | 5685304 | A2_I | 4.3 | 1.3 | 0.1 | - | An elongate, sub-rounded and slightly curved mound. Distinct for the surrounding area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. Data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70683 | Magnetic | 392051 | 5685295 | A2_h | - | - | - | 94 | A medium negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70684 | Debris | 392093 | 5685284 | A2_h | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 24 | Identified in the MBES dataset as a small sub-rounded mound located in an area of sand ripples and 3 m west of the 70685 anomaly. Observed in the Mag. dataset as a small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70685 | Debris | 392097 | 5685285 | A2_h | 3.3 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 24 | Identified in the MBES dataset as an elongate, angular mound with uneven surface surrounded by a small (approx. 0.1 m deep) scour on the west side. Located in an area of sand ripples and 3 m east of the 70684 anomaly. Observed in the Mag. dataset as a small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70686 | Magnetic | 392134 | 5685273 | A2_h | - | - | - | 68 | A medium negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. Narrow and sharp. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70687 | Magnetic | 392095 | 5685262 | A2_I | - | - | - | 41 | A small, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70688 | Magnetic | 393687 | 5685257 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70689 | Mound | 393998 | 5685251 | A2_I | 2.2 | 2.0 | 0.2 | - | An angular mound within an approx. 0.1 m deep scour with uneven surface. Distinct for the surrounding area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. Data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 7608 | Debris | 394774 | 5685247 | A1 | 11.6 | 9.1 | 0.6 | 1452 | Identified in the SSS dataset as distinct sub rounded dark reflector with a shadow in an area of seabed with some reflective variance. Observed in the MBES dataset as a debris field visible as several scattered, elongate mounds with an uneven surface. Two pieces of debris in the northern part are distinguished by a similar rectangular shape and measures approximately 3.9 x 1.2 x 0.3 m each. The largest piece of debris is located to the southwest and is visible as an elongate, angular anomaly oriented NNE-SSW (approximately 7.0 x 2.4 x 0.5 m). The rest of the anomaly is rather indistinct or probably covered by sediment. Associated with a very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. Situated approximately 35 m south of a charted cable. Associated with a UKHO record for an obstruction first identified in 2019, described as a large rectangular object in a pile with small square objects measuring 12.1 x 8.9 x 0.5 m. Probable ferrous debris. | MBES, | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | UKHO 91237 |
| 70690 | Magnetic | 392329 | 5685226 | A2_h | - | - | - | 53 | A medium, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70691 | Debris | 392513 | 5685224 | A2_h | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 39 | Identified in the SSS dataset as a distinct sub rounded dark reflector with a shadow located within an extensive area of sand waves. Observed in the Mag. Dataset as a small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70692 | Mound | 393518 | 5685220 | A2_I | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.7 | - | A distinct angular mound, a bit bigger than the surrounding ones. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. Data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70693 | Mound | 393599 | 5685202 | A2_I | 3.2 | 1.2 | 0.3 | - | An elongate sub-rounded and isolated mound with an uneven peak and steep sides. Distinct for the surrounding area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. Data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70694 | Magnetic | 392231 | 5685197 | A2_h | - | - | - | 59 | A medium, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70695 | Magnetic | 392469 | 5685190 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70696 | Debris | 393050 | 5685183 | A2_h | 2.2 | 2.1 | 0.7 | - | An angular mound with uneven surface, distinct and bigger than any nearby mounds. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. Data at this location. Interpreted as non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70697 | Magnetic | 392949 | 5685173 | A2_h | - | - | - | 54 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Possibly an object immediately adjacent to the large linear feature. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70698 | Magnetic | 392931 | 5685168 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 70699 | Magnetic | 394557 | 5685171 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70700 | Magnetic | 394011 | 5685166 | A2_I | - | - | - | 47 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Possibly a small feature adjacent to a large linear feature. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70701 | Magnetic | 394803 | 5685165 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7610 | Magnetic | 394773 | 5685180 | A2_h | - | - | - | 103 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7602 | Debris | 395258 | 5685143 | A2_h | 3.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | A thin and elongate dark reflector with a short, bright shadow. The feature is situated on an uneven area of seabed and is isolated. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible short linear item of non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70702 | Mound | 393372 | 5685143 | A2_I | 2.1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | - | An angular mound distinctive against the seabed within a scour. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. Data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7617 | Debris field | 394414 | 5685132 | A1 | 27.3 | 7.5 | 0.9 | 344 | A large spread of distinct dark reflectors with large bright shadows, the feature comprises elongate, curvilinear and angular objects, the largest object measures 4.5 x 0.5 m. Visible as an uneven area of seabed in the MBES dataset. Associated with a large, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line, adjacent to a larger linear trend of signals in the Mag. data. Associated with a UKHO record for an obstruction found by MBES survey in 2019 measuring 14.7 x 3.5 m. Interpreted as a debris field. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | UKHO_91236 |
| 7605 | Magnetic | 395110 | 5685137 | A2_I | - | - | - | 44 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7611 | Debris | 394674 | 5685135 | A2_h | 3.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 456 | An irregularly shaped, elongate dark reflector with some slight seabed disturbance surrounding it. Visible in the MBES dataset as a slightly elongate mound within a depression. Associated with a large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a ferrous item of debris. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7612 | Magnetic | 394619 | 5685125 | A1 | - | - | - | 4084 | A very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. May be associated with very large Mag. anomaly 7613 situated 50 m west. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7613 | Magnetic | 394568 | 5685116 | A1 | - | - | - | 1627 | A very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. May be associated with very large Mag. anomaly 7612 situated 50 m east. No anomalous features were | Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | | | |
| 70703 | Magnetic | 393859 | 5685115 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. Signal adjacent to a much larger linear trend of signals. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70704 | Mound | 393167 | 5685112 | A2_I | 2.6 | 1.9 | 0.6 | - | An angular and isolated mound. Distinct for the surrounding area. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. Data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70705 | Magnetic | 394693 | 5685106 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70706 | Magnetic | 394788 | 5685105 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7601 | Dark reflector | 395308 | 5685099 | A2_I | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | - | A distinct angular dark reflector with a bright uneven shadow, possible suggesting uneven height. The feature is slightly anomalous and isolated on the seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70707 | Mound | 393947 | 5685086 | A2_I | 2.9 | 1.8 | 0.3 | - | A sub-angular mound with doble peak and within a small scour, distinctive against the featureless seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. Data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70708 | Magnetic | 394615 | 5685082 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70709 | Magnetic | 394354 | 5685077 | A2_I | - | - | - | 38 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70710 | Magnetic | 393212 | 5685064 | A2_h | - | - | - | 133 | A large asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Adjacent to a large linear feature. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70711 | Linear debris | 393384 | 5685064 | A2_h | 12.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | - | A distinct linear dark reflector with a slight shadow of varying height in proximity to several other similar linear features. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. Data at this location. Possible nonferrous linear debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70712 | Linear debris | 393379 | 5685063 | A2_h | 6.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | A distinct linear dark reflector with a slight shadow of varying height in proximity to several other similar linear features though this appears to be one of the shorter lengths. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. Data at this location. Possible non-ferrous linear debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|---------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70713 | Linear debris | 393366 | 5685053 | A2_h | 13.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | A distinct linear dark reflector with a slight shadow of varying height in proximity to several other similar linear features. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. Data at this location. Possible nonferrous linear debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70714 | Linear debris | 393387 | 5685050 | A2_h | 5.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | A distinct linear dark reflector with a slight shadow of varying height in proximity to several other similar linear features. One of the shorter linears. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. Data at this location. Possible non-ferrous linear debris. | SSS | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7629 | Dark reflector | 393918 | 5685060 | A2_I | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.4 | - | An indistinct slightly angular dark reflector with a bright tapered shadow within a depression or area of slight seabed disturbance. Also identified in the MBES data as a distinct square mound with steep edges and a gently sloping peak. The feature is in a slight depression measuring 8.2 x 5.9 m and is situated on an uneven area of seabed. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70715 | Magnetic | 393015 | 5685060 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Narrow, possibly small object next to large linear signal. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7603 | Debris | 395189 | 5685054 | A2_h | 4.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 8 | An indistinct, thin and 'v' shaped dark reflector with a bright, short shadow across its length. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Associated with a small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a ferrous item of debris. | SSS, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7604 | Debris | 395142 | 5685049 | A2_h | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | A thin and straight dark reflector with a bright shadow, situated on an uneven area of seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70716 | Debris | 393152 | 5685045 | A2_h | 2.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 520 | Identified in the MBES dataset as an elongate mound with uneven surface and three prominent peaks. Observed in the Mag. Dataset as a very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. A v. large distinct adjacent to a large linear feature. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70717 | Magnetic | 394477 | 5685040 | A2_I | - | - | - | 31 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7630 | Dark reflector | 393897 | 5685037 | A2_I | 1.4 | 0.4 | 1.1 | - | A small, angular dark reflector with a very long tapered shadow and significant height. Also identified in the MBES dataset as a distinct, angular mound with a double peak and gently sloping uneven sides, may be multiple objects next to one another. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70718 | Mound | 392891 | 5685034 | A2_I | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.4 | - | A well rounded mound. Isolated and distinctive from its surroundings. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 7647 | Debris field | 393046 | 5685030 | A1 | 10.0 | 6.9 | 0.9 | 91 | Identified in the SSS dataset as a distinct seabed disturbance consisting of indistinct slightly angular dark reflectors with bright shadows, the reflectors are not particularly reflective and are closely positioned to each other. Observed in the MBES dataset as a large and very angular mound with an uneven surface. Quite isolated and distinctive from its surroundings. Associated with a medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. Corresponds with a recorded obstruction in the UKHO records, identified in a 2019 MBES survey with dimensions of 8.0 x 5.7 x 0.6 m, and described as possibly being a degraded or broken apart wreck or other debris. As possible wreck material this record will be given an AEZ. Interpreted as ferrous debris of unknown origin. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | UKHO_91206 |
| 7606 | Debris | 394960 | 5685020 | A2_h | 2.4 | 2.2 | 0.3 | - | A distinct dark reflector with a bright uneven shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. The feature has two linear pieces visible and is situated on an uneven area of seabed. Visible as a small irregular mound in a depression in the MBES data. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as possible nonferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70719 | Magnetic | 393194 | 5685011 | A2_I | - | - | - | 37 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70720 | Magnetic | 392837 | 5685009 | A2_I | - | - | - | 28 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70721 | Seabed disturbance | 394260 | 5685008 | A2_I | 7.6 | 4.6 | 0.2 | - | A very angular mound with oval outline and uneven surface. Likely natural but more distinct than surrounding seabed features. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70722 | Debris field | 391841 | 5685008 | A1 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 1214 | Identified in the SSS dataset as a debris field consisting of tightly packed angular dark reflectors with shadows of varying height in proximity to sand ripples. Observed in the MBES dataset as a very angular mound with uneven surface within an overall seabed disturbance. Associated with a very large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Located close to a known cable, but does not appear to be directly related. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7627 | Magnetic | 394019 | 5684998 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70723 | Magnetic | 392139 | 5684998 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7618 | Magnetic | 394399 | 5684996 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 70724 | Magnetic | 393582 | 5684993 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small, broad positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7614 | Magnetic | 394626 | 5684988 | A2_h | - | - | - | 86 | A medium negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Possible northeast to southwest trend with anomaly 7615. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70725 | Mound | 392975 | 5684986 | A2_I | 2.5 | 1.3 | 0.1 | - | An elongate, rounded and indistinct mound which widens towards the northeast. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7632 | Dark reflector | 393744 | 5684981 | A2_I | 6.4 | 2.9 | 0.3 | - | A distinct rectangular dark reflector with a bright shadow, the feature is anomalous to the surrounding seabed. Visible as a mound in the MBES data. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70726 | Mound | 391749 | 5684965 | A2_I | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.1 | - | A small and isolated sub-rounded mound in an area of featureless bottom. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7648 | Magnetic | 392991 | 5684949 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7626 | Magnetic | 394041 | 5684948 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70727 | Seabed disturbance | 391686 | 5684944 | A2_I | 13.0 | 7.0 | 0.1 | - | A disturbance visible as several indistinct small mounds scattered on the featureless seabed. They seem to be somewhat rounded or subrounded. Most of them are less than 1 m in length and width, and less than 0.1 m in height. The largest one, located on the west side of the anomaly, measures approx. 1.2 x 1.0 x 0.1 m. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible partially buried non-ferrous debris. | | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7656 | Debris | 392409 | 5684944 | A2_h | 7.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 61 | A distinct, slightly disjointed straight dark reflector with an uneven shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. The feature is situated within an area of mobile sediment and may be partially buried. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Associated with a medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7621 | Dark reflector | 394268 | 5684943 | A2_I | 2.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | - | A distinct and slightly right-angled dark reflector with a bright shadow, the feature is situated on an uneven area of seabed. Visible as a slightly curvilinear mound in a depression in the MBES data. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 7625 | Dark reflector | 394024 | 5684936 | A2_I | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.3 | - | An indistinct hollow dark reflector with a bright shadow, slightly anomalous to the surrounding seabed. Visible as a mound in the MBES data. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7644 | Dark reflector | 393467 | 5684935 | A2_I | 2.0 | 1.8 | 0.6 | - | A distinct thin and slightly curved dark reflector with a very bright shadow, the feature has some sediment accumulation to the south. Also identified in the MBES data as a distinct angular mound situated in a depression or scour measuring $8.3 \times 7.5 \times -0.2$ m. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7615 | Magnetic | 394596 | 5684935 | A2_h | - | - | - | 50 | A medium negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Possible northeast to southwest trend with anomaly 7614. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7661 | Magnetic | 392083 | 5684935 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7667 | Mound | 391859 | 5684932 | A2_I | 3.6 | 1.6 | 0.5 | - | An elongate irregularly shaped mound, the feature has an uneven, slightly angular peak and is possibly made up of multiple objects close together. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7631 | Magnetic | 393912 | 5684931 | A1 | - | - | - | 1894 | A very large negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70728 | Magnetic | 392120 | 5684930 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70729 | Magnetic | 393008 | 5684929 | A2_I | - | - | - | 27 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7628 | Dark reflector | 393982 | 5684920 | A2_I | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.4 | - | A distinct hollow dark reflector with a bright rounded shadow, the feature has some slight scour to the south. Visible as a mound in a depression in the MBES data. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7633 | Debris | 393716 | 5684916 | A2_h | 2.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | - | A distinct elongate and slightly dark reflector with a bright shadow, the feature is right angled at one end. Visible as a slight mound in the MBES data. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7620 | Dark reflector | 394285 | 5684915 | A2_I | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | A thin and slightly elongate dark reflector with a bright shadow, the feature is situated on an uneven area of seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 70730 | Magnetic | 393046 | 5684913 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Quite sharp. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7634 | Debris | 393697 | 5684909 | A2_h | 3.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | - | A distinct elongate dark reflector with a bright, uneven shadow, the feature may be multiple objects aligned. Visible as an uneven area of seabed in the MBES dataset. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7635 | Debris field | 393682 | 5684904 | A2_h | 13.4 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 92 | A group of distinct elongate and angular dark reflectors with bright shadows, directly next to one another, the largest object measures 3.4 x 1.5 x 0.4 m. Also identified in the MBES data as an area of disturbed seabed comprising two low lying elongate objects within a slight depression and angular mounds, situated on a slightly uneven area of seabed. Associated with a medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line, also seen on adjacent profile in the Mag. data, indicating ferrous material is present. Interpreted as a ferrous debris field. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7637 | Dark reflector | 393630 | 5684895 | A2_I | 4.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | - | A small elongate dark reflector with a bright, slightly flared shadow, distinct to the surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70731 | Magnetic | 393331 | 5684887 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7619 | Debris | 394381 | 5684886 | A2_h | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 7 | A distinct curvilinear dark reflector with a bright, long shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Associated with a small, broad symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris | SSS, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7636 | Dark reflector | 393656 | 5684884 | A2_I | 2.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 | - | A distinct oval dark reflector with a bright, uneven shadow possibly suggesting uneven height. The feature has some possible smaller angular objects directly next to it, and may be broken up or partially buried. Also identified in the MBES data as a distinct rectangular mound with steeply sloping sides and a flat peak. The feature is situated in a depression or scour measuring 10.7 x 6.7 x -0.2 m and orientated northeast to southwest. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7622 | Debris | 394107 | 5684882 | A2_h | 5.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | A long and thin linear dark reflector with an uneven shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. The feature is situated on an uneven area of seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7638 | Debris | 393742 | 5684873 | A2_h | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 67 | A distinct, slightly elongate dark reflector with a bright shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Associated with a medium, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7649 | Magnetic | 392903 | 5684871 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7623 | Debris | 394125 | 5684866 | A2_h | 5.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 81 | A long and thin dark reflector with a bright shadow. The feature is situated on an uneven area of seabed and has some scouring to the north. Faintly visible as an elongate low-lying mound in the MBES data. Associated with a medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line, also visible on an adjacent line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7657 | Mound | 392389 | 5684863 | A2_I | 3.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 | - | Two slightly angular mounds directly next to one another, or a broken up single feature. The larger mound has steeply sloping sides and a pointed peak, the mound to the west is low-lying and rounded. May be associated with similar feature (7658), situated 25 m east. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7658 | Mound | 392414 | 5684863 | A2_I | 4.1 | 1.3 | 0.5 | - | A group of three mounds in a slight alignment, the eastern mound is elongate with gently sloping sides and a rounded peak, the western mounds are smaller and slightly more angular. May be associated with similar feature (7657), situated 25 m west. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7662 | Magnetic | 392192 | 5684855 | A2_I | - | - | - | 7 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7664 | Magnetic | 392106 | 5684849 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 645 | Debris | 393351 | 5684847 | A2_h | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 21 | A small and angular dark reflector with a long, bright and slightly irregular shadow. Observed in the MBES dataset as a well rounded, isolated mound which appears distinct for the surrounding seabed. Associated with a small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| '659 | Magnetic | 392326 | 5684846 | A2_I | - | - | - | 8 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 639 | Magnetic | 393735 | 5684844 | A2_h | - | - | - | 366 | A large, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7663 | Magnetic | 392157 | 5684838 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 7640 | Dark reflector | 393797 | 5684837 | A2_I | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | A distinct, slightly elongate dark reflector with a bright shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7650 | Debris | 392922 | 5684830 | A2_h | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 10 | A small dark reflector with a shadow that is part of a distinct depression around the feature, the depression measures 2.0 x 1.3 m. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Associated with a small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7654 | Magnetic | 392525 | 5684814 | A2_I | - | - | - | 39 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7668 | Magnetic | 391563 | 5684782 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7655 | Dark reflector | 392528 | 5684769 | A2_I | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.3 | - | A distinct, hollow dark reflector with a long, bright shadow situated on an otherwise featureless area of seabed. Visible as a rounded mound with a hollow in the centre in the MBES data. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021, NEXT 2024 | - |
| 7665 | Magnetic | 392168 | 5684748 | A2_I | - | - | - | 8 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7666 | Magnetic | 392127 | 5684739 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7669 | Dark reflector | 391597 | 5684738 | A2_I | 4.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | - | A distinct hollow dark reflector with a bright shadow situated close to an area of mobile sediments. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7646 | Mound | 393325 | 5684724 | A2_I | 5.7 | 2.0 | 0.7 | - | An irregular series of six multiple mounds, the largest measures 0.8 x 0.8 m, situated on a relatively featureless area of seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Based on form may be a data artefact but this cannot be certain and therefore has been retained as a precaution and may also be interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7651 | Linear debris | 392975 | 5684722 | A2_I | 12.6 | 0.6 | 0.1 | - | A long, thin and straight dark reflector with a bright shadow, the feature is slightly wider at this southern end and is orientated northwest to southeast on the seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible short length of rope or chain. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7652 | Magnetic | 392797 | 5684720 | A2_I | - | - | - | 43 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|---------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 7653 | Magnetic | 392730 | 5684718 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7660 | Magnetic | 392401 | 5684704 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70732 | Magnetic | 394824 | 5686158 | A2_h | - | - | - | 54 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70733 | Magnetic | 394586 | 5685985 | A2_h | - | - | - | 128 | A large negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70734 | Magnetic | 394573 | 5686111 | A2_h | - | - | - | 53 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70735 | Debris | 394429 | 5685940 | A2_h | 3.5 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 59 | Recorded in the MBES data as an elongate mound oriented north to south. Associated with a medium negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | MBES, Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70736 | Mound | 394357 | 5685972 | A2_I | 2.2 | 1.3 | 0.3 | - | An elongate, rounded mound. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70737 | Magnetic | 394045 | 5685934 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70738 | Debris | 393873 | 5685936 | A2_h | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 68 | Recorded as an elongate, well rounded mound oriented northwest to southeast in the MBES data, as well as a medium negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70739 | Magnetic | 395004 | 5686001 | A2_h | - | - | - | 78 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70740 | Magnetic | 394973 | 5685990 | A2_I | - | - | - | 33 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |
| 70741 | Magnetic | 392101 | 5685379 | A2_I | - | - | - | 9 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | NEXT 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7670 | Magnetic | 391497 | 5684935 | A2_I | - | - | - | 36 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7671 | Magnetic | 391428 | 5684900 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7672 | Debris | 391372 | 5684875 | A2_h | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 18 | A distinct straight dark reflector with a bright shadow, appears to be within an area of mobile sediments and may be partially buried. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Associated with a small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7673 | Magnetic | 391325 | 5684823 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7674 | Dark reflector | 391206 | 5684668 | A2_I | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | - | A distinct dark reflector with a bright uneven shadow, may be two objects but they appear to be attached to one another. The feature is situated in an area of mobile sediments and the full extent may be buried. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7675 | Dark reflector | 391244 | 5684835 | A2_I | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.3 | - | A distinct, slightly angular dark reflector with a bright, uneven shadow possibly suggesting uneven height. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7676 | Magnetic | 391222 | 5684925 | A2_I | - | - | - | 45 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, also visible on another profile. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7677 | Magnetic | 391194 | 5684942 | A2_I | - | - | - | 34 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. May be related to Mag. anomaly (7678) situated 14 m northwest. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7678 | Magnetic | 391188 | 5684954 | A2_h | - | - | - | 82 | A medium negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. May be related to Mag. anomaly (7677) situated 14 m southeast. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7679 | Magnetic | 391101 | 5684977 | A2_I | - | - | - | 8 | A small, broad symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7680 | Magnetic | 391035 | 5684989 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7681 | Magnetic | 390990 | 5684947 | A2_I | - | - | - | 6 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7682 | Dark reflector | 390942 | 5684838 | A2_h | 3.7 | 1.0 | 1.4 | - | A distinct elongate dark reflector with a very long shadow and significant height, situated within an area of mobile sediments, the shadow is longest at one end suggesting uneven height. Also identified in the MBES data as a slightly elongate mound with steeply sloping sides and a pointed peak. The feature has some sediment accumulation on its north and south edge. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7683 | Magnetic | 390928 | 5684863 | A2_I | - | - | - | 26 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7684 | Magnetic | 390969 | 5685099 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the MBES data. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7685 | Magnetic | 390809 | 5684911 | A2_I | - | - | - | 5 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7686 | Magnetic | 390766 | 5685120 | A2_I | - | - | - | 30 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7687 | Magnetic | 390616 | 5685030 | A2_I | - | - | - | 9 | A small, broad symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7688 | Magnetic | 390674 | 5684946 | A2_h | - | - | - | 165 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7689 | Magnetic | 390692 | 5685212 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the MBES data. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7690 | Magnetic | 390600 | 5685224 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. May relate to large Mag. anomaly (7691) situated 20 m west. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7691 | Magnetic | 390580 | 5685223 | A2_h | - | - | - | 125 | A large, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7692 | Magnetic | 390564 | 5685166 | A2_h | - | - | - | 264 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7693 | Magnetic | 390536 | 5685087 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7694 | Magnetic | 390507 | 5685028 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7695 | Magnetic | 390434 | 5685265 | A2_h | - | - | - | 61 | A medium, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, also visible on adjacent profile. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7696 | Magnetic | 390339 | 5685265 | A2_h | - | - | - | 123 | A large, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7697 | Magnetic | 390292 | 5685263 | A2_I | - | - | - | 5 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7698 | Magnetic | 390320 | 5685251 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7699 | Magnetic | 390354 | 5685236 | A2_I | - | - | - | 6 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7700 | Magnetic | 390332 | 5685227 | A2_I | - | - | - | 39 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7701 | Magnetic | 390347 | 5685132 | A2_I | - | - | - | 8 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7702 | Magnetic | 390324 | 5685074 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7703 | Magnetic | 390200 | 5685326 | A2_I | - | - | - | 28 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7704 | Magnetic | 390178 | 5685294 | A2_I | - | - | - | 33 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7705 | Magnetic | 390212 | 5685238 | A2_I | - | - | - | 9 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7706 | Magnetic | 390099 | 5685387 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. May be related to Mag. anomaly (7707) situated 10 m southeast. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7707 | Magnetic | 390109 | 5685383 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. May be related to Mag. anomaly (7706) situated 10 m northwest. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7708 | Magnetic | 390089 | 5685327 | A2_I | - | - | - | 36 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7709 | Magnetic | 390027 | 5685205 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7710 | Magnetic | 390031 | 5685399 | A2_I | - | - | - | 7 | A small, broad symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7711 | Magnetic | 389878 | 5685267 | A2_h | - | - | - | 53 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7712 | Mound | 389924 | 5685398 | A2_I | 18.1 | 1.3 | 0.2 | - | A thin, straight, linear mound orientated NNE to SSW, the feature is indistinct at its centre. No anomalous features were identified din the SSS or Mag. data at this location. May be a data artefact but it also has the potential to be a natural feature or possible non-ferrous linear debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7713 | Magnetic | 389940 | 5685500 | A2_h | - | - | - | 347 | A large, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7714 | Magnetic | 389904 | 5685517 | A2_h | - | - | - | 86 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7715 | Magnetic | 389870 | 5685532 | A2_I | - | - | - | 33 | A small, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7716 | Magnetic | 389844 | 5685455 | A2_I | - | - | - | 34 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7717 | Magnetic | 389794 | 5685436 | A2_I | - | - | - | 36 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7718 | Magnetic | 389719 | 5685380 | A2_I | - | - | - | 7 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7719 | Magnetic | 389777 | 5685469 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7720 | Dark reflector | 389784 | 5685554 | A2_I | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | - | An elongate, slightly right-angled dark reflector with a bright shadow, the western end of the feature is larger than the rest and may be broken up or two objects close together. Situated 34 m north of wreck 7721 and may be related. Visible in the MBES data as a mound on the edge of a natural ridge. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location, however the large Mag. anomaly associated with wreck 7721 may be masking any smaller anomalies in this area. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7721 | Wreck | 389778 | 5685519 | A1 | 8.5 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 9085 | A wreck visible in the SSS data as an area of disturbed seabed comprising three distinct dark reflectors with slight shadows; a thin right-angled object measuring 5.0 x 0.6 m and two smaller angular features either side. The feature is situated within an area of mobile sediments and the full extent is likely buried. Also identified in the MBES data as an area of disturbed seabed comprising an angular object measuring 2.1 x 1.2 x 0.2 m, within a slight depression. Associated with a very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data, and also visible over multiple profiles either side, indicating substantial ferrous material is present. This feature is associated with a UKHO record for an unknown wreck, first identified in 2016, and possibly a barge. The wreck was last surveyed in 2019, with recorded MBES dimensions of 37.4 x 3.9 x 0.9 m, and was described as lying upright oriented 196° on the seabed. The seabed disturbance identified in the 2021 data has therefore been interpreted as a wreck. The previously reported dimensions and appearance suggest that this wreck has become almost completely buried in mobile sediments since 2019 and little can be said about its present condition. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021 | UKHO_85569 |
| 7722 | Magnetic | 389688 | 5685483 | A2_I | - | - | - | 27 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. May be associated with similar Mag. anomaly 18 m south (7723). No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7723 | Magnetic | 389685 | 5685465 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. May be associated with similar Mag. anomaly 18 m north (7722). No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7724 | Magnetic | 389625 | 5685593 | A2_I | - | - | - | 31 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7725 | Magnetic | 389595 | 5685522 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7726 | Magnetic | 389442 | 5685570 | A2_h | - | - | - | 53 | A medium negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7727 | Magnetic | 389420 | 5685550 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7728 | Magnetic | 389374 | 5685488 | A2_h | - | - | - | 56 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7729 | Magnetic | 389335 | 5685526 | A2_h | - | - | - | 255 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7730 | Dark reflector | 389308 | 5685530 | A2_I | 2.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | - | A short and straight dark reflector with a slight shadow, situated in an area of mobile sediment and may be partially buried. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7731 | Magnetic | 389373 | 5685687 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7732 | Dark reflector | 389339 | 5685653 | A2_I | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | - | A distinct square shaped dark reflector with a bright straight shadow. Situated within sand waves and a little anomalous to the surrounding seabed. Visible as a slight mound in the MBES data. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7733 | Magnetic | 389326 | 5685592 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7734 | Dark reflector | 389297 | 5685678 | A2_I | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | - | A small but distinct slightly irregular dark reflector with a bright shadow, the object has a thin linear piece extending into the shadow and is situated in a depression within an area of mobile sediments. Visible as an oval mound in the MBES data. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7735 | Magnetic | 389249 | 5685662 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7736 | Magnetic | 389128 | 5685635 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7737 | Magnetic | 389114 | 5685595 | A2_I | - | - | - | 23 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7738 | Magnetic | 389141 | 5685678 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7739 | Dark reflector | 389065 | 5685637 | A2_I | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | A thin and elongate dark reflector with a bright, slightly irregular shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. The feature is isolated within an area of mobile sediments. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7740 | Mound | 389062 | 5685898 | A2_I | 2.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | - | An elongate mound with steep sides and a double peak, angular object, possibly two mounds very close together and slightly anomalous to the surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7741 | Magnetic | 389090 | 5685870 | A2_h | - | - | - | 46 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7742 | Magnetic | 389125 | 5685832 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7743 | Dark reflector | 389072 | 5685797 | A2_h | 2.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | - | A thin and elongate dark reflector with a bright uneven shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. The feature is distinct in an area of large mobile sediments. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7744 | Magnetic | 389046 | 5685784 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7745 | Dark reflector | 389003 | 5685644 | A2_I | 3.0 | 1.5 | 0.3 | - | A distinct elongate dark reflector with a bright, tapered and slightly irregular shadow, situated at the edge of a large sand wave and may be partially buried. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7746 | Dark reflector | 388972 | 5685741 | A2_I | 2.2 | 1.8 | 0.3 | - | An indistinct dark reflector that appears to be made up of small linear objects that are crossed with a very small angular dark reflector attached, the feature has a bright uneven shadow and is situated within an area of mobile sediments and may be partially buried. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7747 | Dark reflector | 388855 | 5685938 | A2_I | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | - | A distinct elongate dark reflector with a bright, uneven shadow possibly suggesting uneven height situated on a rough and uneven area of seabed. Situated 2 m east of a similar dark reflector (7748) and may be related. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7748 | Dark reflector | 388853 | 5685938 | A2_I | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 | - | An indistinct curved dark reflector with a bright rounded shadow. Situated 2 m west of a similar elongate dark reflector (7747) and may be associated. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7749 | Seabed disturbance | 388824 | 5685846 | A2_I | 12.5 | 9.2 | 0.2 | - | An area of disturbed seabed comprising an indistinct group of dark reflectors with bright shadows, small curvilinear and angular objects are visible, the largest measures 1.4 x 0.9 m. The feature is situated on a very uneven area of seabed with outcropping geology but appears slightly anomalous. Visible as a textured area of seabed in the MBES data. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location, however a medium Mag. anomaly is situated 13 m west (7750) and may be related. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be non-ferrous possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7750 | Magnetic | 388804 | 5685848 | A2_h | - | - | - | 65 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location, however this is situated on an area of textured seabed in the MBES data. May represent a natural feature or may represent possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7751 | Magnetic | 388757 | 5685821 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location, however this is situated on an area of textured seabed in the MBES data. May represent a natural feature or may represent possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7752 | Magnetic | 388711 | 5685841 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location, however this is situated on an area of textured seabed in the MBES data. May represent a natural feature or may represent possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7753 | Dark reflector | 388769 | 5685797 | A2_I | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | - | An indistinct, slightly elongate dark reflector with a bright slightly uneven shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. The feature is situated on an uneven area of seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the | | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | | | |
| 7754 | Magnetic | 388890 | 5685827 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. May relate to Mag. anomalies 7755 and 7757 and ferrous debris 7756 to the southwest. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7755 | Magnetic | 388880 | 5685820 | A2_h | - | - | - | 60 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. May relate to Mag. anomalies 7754 and 7757 and ferrous debris 7756 to the northeast and southwest. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7756 | Debris | 388875 | 5685796 | A2_h | 3.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 29 | A long and thin dark reflector with a bright uneven shadow suggesting uneven height. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Associated with a small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. May relate to Mag. anomalies 7754, 7755 and 7757 situated northeast and southwest. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7757 | Magnetic | 388881 | 5685776 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. May relate to ferrous debris 7756 and Mag. anomalies 7754 and 7755 situated northwest. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7758 | Debris | 388798 | 5685736 | A2_h | 4.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | - | A distinct curvilinear dark reflector with a bright, uneven shadow. Also identified in the MBES data as an elongate, curvilinear mound with steeply sloping sides and a rounded peak. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as possible nonferrous debris. | SSS, MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7759 | Dark reflector | 388849 | 5685984 | A2_I | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | A thin and distinct curvilinear dark reflector with a bright shadow across its length. The feature has some possible sediment accumulation around it and may be in a slight depression. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7760 | Debris | 388771 | 5685991 | A2_h | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 86 | A distinct angular dark reflector with a bright uneven shadow, possibly suggesting uneven height. The feature may be two objects adjacent to one another and is situated on an uneven area of seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Associated with a medium, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7761 | Seabed disturbance | 388721 | 5686056 | A2_I | 9.5 | 4.2 | 0.2 | - | An area of disturbed seabed comprising elongate and rounded dark reflectors with slight shadows, approximately seven objects are visible and the largest measures 2.1 x 0.3 m. Situated on an uneven area of seabed and appears slightly anomalous. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7762 | Dark reflector | 388684 | 5686078 | A2_I | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | - | A slightly elongate dark reflector with a very long, thin and bright shadow, situated in a wider boulder field but distinct and one of three similar anomalies in close proximity to one another (7763 and 7764). No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7763 | Dark reflector | 388685 | 5686078 | A2_I | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | - | A slightly elongate dark reflector with a very long, thin and bright shadow, situated in a wider boulder field but distinct and one of three similar anomalies in close proximity to one another (7762 and 7764). No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7764 | Dark reflector | 388687 | 5686086 | A2_I | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | - | A slightly elongate dark reflector with a very long, thin and bright shadow, situated in a wider boulder field but distinct and one of three similar anomalies in close proximity to one another (7762 and 7763). No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7765 | Seabed disturbance | 388598 | 5686006 | A2_I | 5.6 | 2.4 | 0.1 | - | An area of disturbed seabed comprising a group of indistinct dark reflectors with shadows, curved and elongate objects are visible on an uneven area of seabed. The feature is slightly anomalous to the surrounding seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7766 | Seabed disturbance | 388606 | 5685950 | A2_I | 6.6 | 4.6 | 0.3 | - | An area of disturbed seabed comprising a small group of distinct angular and curvilinear dark reflectors with shadows, the largest object measures 0.7 x 0.3 m. The feature is situated in an area of outcropping geology but appears anomalous. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7767 | Dark reflector | 388607 | 5685908 | A2_h | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.3 | - | A small and distinct hollow dark reflector with a large, bright shadow, situated on an uneven area of seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7768 | Magnetic | 388597 | 5685895 | A2_I | - | - | - | 8 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7769 | Debris | 388623 | 5685829 | A2_h | 5.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | - | A distinct 'V' shaped dark reflector with a bright shadow that is much larger at one end indicating uneven height. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible item of debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7770 | Debris | 388623 | 5685776 | A2_h | 3.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | A distinct short and straight dark reflector with a large, bright shadow. This position was not directly covered by the MBES or Mag. data, so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible item of debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7771 | Magnetic | 388590 | 5685829 | A2_h | - | - | - | 65 | A medium, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7772 | Magnetic | 388530 | 5685874 | A2_h | - | - | - | 128 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7773 | Magnetic | 388494 | 5686159 | A2_h | - | - | - | 184 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the MBES data. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7774 | Magnetic | 388386 | 5686169 | A2_I | - | - | - | 33 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7775 | Magnetic | 388381 | 5686029 | A2_h | - | - | - | 55 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7776 | Debris | 388451 | 5686010 | A2_h | 2.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 48 | A distinct elongate dark reflector with an angular object attached at one end, the shadow is uneven and tallest at the angular end. The feature is situated in a distinct depression. Visible in the MBES data as an elongate mound in a slight depression. Associated with a small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7777 | Debris | 388502 | 5685973 | A2_h | 4.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | - | A distinct elongate dark reflector that has a slight kink in its centre, the object has a bright shadow that is largest in the centre suggesting uneven height. The feature is situated on an uneven area of seabed and has scour to the west for 5 m. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible item of debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7778 | Dark reflector | 388396 | 5685959 | A2_h | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | - | A distinct triangular dark reflector with a very bright long, tapered shadow. The feature is situated in a slight depression. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location, however the large Mag. anomaly associated with debris 7779 6 m to the southeast may also be associated with this anomaly. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7779 | Debris | 388401 | 5685956 | A2_h | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 282 | A distinct curvilinear dark reflector with a bright pointed shadow. Visible in the MBES data as a small oval mound in a depression. Associated with a large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag data, indicating ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7780 | Debris | 388415 | 5685914 | A2_h | 3.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 24 | An elongate and slightly angular dark reflector with a bright, tapered shadow, the feature may be broken up or partially buried. Visible as a small angular mound in the MBES data. Associated with a small positive monopole on one profile line in the Mag. data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7781 | Debris | 388421 | 5685909 | A2_h | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | - | A distinct hollow dark reflector with a bright shadow, situated on an uneven area of seabed. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES or Mag. data at this location, however the Mag. anomaly associated with debris (7780) situated 7.0 m northwest may also be associated with this anomaly. Interpreted as possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7782 | Dark reflector | 388349 | 5685887 | A2_I | 3.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | - | An elongate dark reflector with a very long shadow. This position was not directly covered by the MBES or Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7783 | Bright reflector | 388350 | 5685913 | A2_h | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | - | A very small but distinct, hollow bright reflector. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the Mag. data so it is not possible to ascertain whether ferrous material is present at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible debris. | SSS | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7784 | Dark reflector | 388324 | 5685966 | A2_I | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 | - | A distinct right angled dark reflector with a bright shadow situated close to large sand waves. Also identified in the MBES data as an oval area of disturbed seabed comprising a depression with an uneven base possibly containing objects and one distinct, angular mound measuring 1.5 x 1.4 x 0.2 m. No anomalous features were identified in the Mag. data at this location. Interpreted as a possible natural feature or may be possible non-ferrous debris. | MBES | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7785 | Magnetic | 388289 | 5685940 | A2_h | - | - | - | 71 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7786 | Magnetic | 388268 | 5685993 | A2_I | - | - | - | 33 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7787 | Magnetic | 388273 | 5686139 | A2_I | - | - | - | 26 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7788 | Magnetic | 388244 | 5686091 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7789 | Magnetic | 388154 | 5686041 | A2_I | - | - | - | 38 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. May be associated with nearby Mag. anomalies 7790 and 7791. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7790 | Magnetic | 388140 | 5686022 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small, broad asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. May be associated with nearby Mag. anomalies 7789 and 7791. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7791 | Magnetic | 388153 | 5686017 | A2_I | - | - | - | 36 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. May be associated with nearby Mag. anomalies 7789 and 7790. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7792 | Magnetic | 388099 | 5686267 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7793 | Magnetic | 388109 | 5686210 | A2_I | - | - | - | 39 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7794 | Magnetic | 388063 | 5686169 | A2_h | - | - | - | 141 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7795 | Magnetic | 388084 | 5686134 | A2_h | - | - | - | 150 | A large, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7796 | Magnetic | 388016 | 5686164 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7797 | Magnetic | 387996 | 5686127 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7798 | Magnetic | 388024 | 5686090 | A2_I | - | - | - | 29 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7799 | Magnetic | 387892 | 5686169 | A2_I | - | - | - | 26 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7800 | Magnetic | 387936 | 5686388 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7801 | Magnetic | 387904 | 5686311 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7802 | Magnetic | 387878 | 5686247 | A2_h | - | - | - | 846 | A very large, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. Also visible on adjacent profiles. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7803 | Magnetic | 387864 | 5686227 | A2_h | - | - | - | 44 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. Situated 23 m SSW of very large Mag. anomaly 7802 and may be related. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|----------|--------------------|
| 7804 | Magnetic | 387749 | 5686429 | A2_I | - | - | - | 33 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7805 | Magnetic | 387790 | 5686396 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7806 | Magnetic | 387796 | 5686369 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7807 | Magnetic | 387727 | 5686373 | A2_I | - | - | - | 44 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7808 | Magnetic | 387764 | 5686337 | A2_h | - | - | - | 98 | A medium, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7809 | Magnetic | 387701 | 5686346 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7810 | Magnetic | 387818 | 5686251 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7811 | Magnetic | 387677 | 5686486 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7812 | Magnetic | 387634 | 5686360 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7813 | Magnetic | 387622 | 5686289 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7814 | Magnetic | 387589 | 5686524 | A2_h | - | - | - | 264 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7815 | Magnetic | 387555 | 5686496 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 7818 | Magnetic | 387518 | 5686410 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7817 | Magnetic | 387490 | 5686542 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. May be associated with medium Mag. anomaly 7816 situated 15 m northwest. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70742 | Magnetic | 387481 | 5686511 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70743 | Magnetic | 387472 | 5686497 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70744 | Magnetic | 387455 | 5686456 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 7819 | Magnetic | 387448 | 5686446 | A2_I | - | - | - | 26 | A small, broad symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7816 | Magnetic | 387477 | 5686548 | A2_h | - | - | - | 74 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70745 | Magnetic | 387453 | 5686495 | A2_I | - | - | - | 46 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70746 | Magnetic | 387432 | 5686490 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70747 | Magnetic | 387434 | 5686528 | A2_h | - | - | - | 389 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. The highest and lowest magnetic magnitude of a large complex anomaly. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70748 | Magnetic | 387425 | 5686491 | A2_I | - | - | - | 50 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70749 | Magnetic | 387416 | 5686482 | A2_h | - | - | - | 400 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. The highest and lowest magnetic magnitude of a large complex anomaly. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 70750 | Magnetic | 387402 | 5686484 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70751 | Magnetic | 387406 | 5686527 | A2_h | - | - | - | 73 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Highest and lowest magnetic magnitudes in a large anomaly. Likely related to anomaly 70752, located 11 m to the south. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70752 | Magnetic | 387401 | 5686517 | A2_I | - | - | - | 48 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Potentially related to anomaly 70751 located 11 m to the north. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70753 | Magnetic | 387398 | 5686538 | A2_I | - | - | - | 38 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Located approx. 14 m northwest of anomaly 70751. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 7820 | Magnetic | 387390 | 5686392 | A2_I | - | - | - | 37 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7821 | Magnetic | 387365 | 5686326 | A2_h | - | - | - | 58 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This position was not directly covered by the MBES data. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70754 | Magnetic | 387388 | 5686596 | A2_I | - | - | - | 35 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70755 | Magnetic | 387369 | 5686470 | A2_I | - | - | - | 53 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 7823 | Magnetic | 387322 | 5686464 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70756 | Magnetic | 387302 | 5686483 | A2_h | - | - | - | 74 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 7824 | Magnetic | 387282 | 5686418 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 70757 | Magnetic | 387281 | 5686548 | A2_h | - | - | - | 66 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70758 | Magnetic | 387275 | 5686606 | A2_I | - | - | - | 39 | A small, sharp negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 7822 | Magnetic | 387270 | 5686639 | A2_I | - | - | - | 8 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70759 | Magnetic | 387251 | 5686580 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Located nearby to Anomaly 70760 and 70761 and is possibly related. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70760 | Magnetic | 387241 | 5686578 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Located nearby to Anomaly 70759 and 70761 and is possibly related. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70761 | Magnetic | 387239 | 5686584 | A2_I | - | - | - | 48 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Located nearby to Anomaly 70759 and 70760 and is possibly related. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70762 | Magnetic | 387227 | 5686634 | A2_I | - | - | - | 41 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Located approx. 7 m northeast of anomaly 70763 and is possibly related. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70763 | Magnetic | 387224 | 5686627 | A2_I | - | - | - | 41 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. Located approx. 7 m southwest of anomaly 70762 and is possibly related. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70764 | Magnetic | 387209 | 5686521 | A2_I | - | - | - | 33 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 7825 | Magnetic | 387197 | 5686549 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small symmetrical dipole with a peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7830 | Magnetic | 387177 | 5686645 | A2_h | - | - | - | 176 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 7827 | Magnetic | 387162 | 5686719 | A2_I | - | - | - | 43 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70765 | Magnetic | 387161 | 5686636 | A2_I | - | - | - | 36 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70766 | Magnetic | 387150 | 5686609 | A2_I | - | - | - | 42 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70767 | Magnetic | 387150 | 5686648 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 7829 | Magnetic | 387148 | 5686664 | A2_I | - | - | - | 30 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7835 | Magnetic | 387139 | 5686566 | A2_h | - | - | - | 87 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7834 | Magnetic | 387127 | 5686580 | A2_h | - | - | - | 91 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines in the Mag data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70768 | Magnetic | 387137 | 5686651 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70769 | Magnetic | 387133 | 5686672 | A2_I | - | - | - | 44 | A small, sharp negative monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70770 | Magnetic | 387132 | 5686635 | A2_h | - | - | - | 141 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 7826 | Magnetic | 387123 | 5686465 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70771 | Magnetic | 387124 | 5686626 | A2_I | - | - | - | 30 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. Possibly related to anomaly 70772 located 6 m south. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 70772 | Magnetic | 387122 | 5686620 | A2_h | - | - | - | 209 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. Possibly related to 70771, located 6 m north. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70773 | Magnetic | 387118 | 5686576 | A2_I | - | - | - | 8 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70774 | Magnetic | 387124 | 5686672 | A2_h | - | - | - | 203 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. The highest and lowest magnitudes in a large complex anomaly, possibly related to anomaly 70769, located approx. 8 m to the east. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 7828 | Magnetic | 387107 | 5686682 | A2_h | - | - | - | 551 | A very large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7833 | Magnetic | 387104 | 5686588 | A2_h | - | - | - | 319 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 7832 | Magnetic | 387093 | 5686610 | A2_h | - | - | - | 56 | A medium asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70775 | Magnetic | 387095 | 5686618 | A2_h | - | - | - | 119 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. The highest and lowest magnetic amplitudes retained in a large complex anomaly. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 7836 | Debris | 387094 | 5686573 | A2_h | 2.3 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 54 | An indistinct oval shaped hollow dark reflector with a bright shadow. Also identified in the MBES data as a round depression with sediment build up surrounding it. Visible as a medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | SSS, MBES, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70776 | Magnetic | 387056 | 5686698 | A2_h | - | - | - | 75 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70777 | Magnetic | 387047 | 5686612 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 7837 | Debris | 387040 | 5686575 | A2_h | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 104 | A thin, elongate dark reflector with a broad, indistinct shadow. No anomalous features were identified in the MBES data at this location. Associated with a large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line in the Mag data, indicating some ferrous material is present. Interpreted as ferrous debris. | SSS, Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 7831 | Magnetic | 387029 | 5686686 | A2_h | - | - | - | 93 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on two profile lines in the Mag. data. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS or MBES data at this location. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | MMT 2021 | - |
| 70778 | Magnetic | 386999 | 5686698 | A2_h | - | - | - | 92 | A medium, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This location was not covered by the MBES dataset. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70779 | Magnetic | 386979 | 5686647 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. No anomalous features were identified in the SSS data at this location. This location was not covered by the MBES dataset. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris either buried or with no surface expression. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70780 | Magnetic | 386965 | 5686699 | A2_h | - | - | - | 55 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70781 | Magnetic | 386943 | 5686680 | A2_h | - | - | - | 171 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. The highest and lowest magnetic amplitudes of a complex anomaly, with multiple peaks and troughs. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70782 | Magnetic | 386901 | 5686668 | A2_I | - | - | - | 27 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70783 | Magnetic | 386895 | 5686700 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. Likely related to similar anomaly 70784, located approx. 5 m to the south. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70784 | Magnetic | 386893 | 5686696 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough over two profile lines. Likely related to similar anomaly 70782, located approx. 5 m to the north. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70785 | Magnetic | 386895 | 5686720 | A2_I | - | - | - | 8 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Likely natural but quite distinct and isolated. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70786 | Magnetic | 386847 | 5686795 | A2_h | - | - | - | 122 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. The highest and lowest magnetic amplitudes in a complex anomaly. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70787 | Magnetic | 386812 | 5686754 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70788 | Magnetic | 386800 | 5686752 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 70789 | Magnetic | 386804 | 5686794 | A2_h | - | - | - | 116 | A large, isolated, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70790 | Magnetic | 386792 | 5686769 | A2_h | - | - | - | 70 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70791 | Magnetic | 386783 | 5686739 | A2_I | - | - | - | 13 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70792 | Magnetic | 386765 | 5686747 | A2_h | - | - | - | 129 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70793 | Magnetic | 386740 | 5686748 | A2_h | - | - | - | 658 | A very large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70794 | Magnetic | 386754 | 5686800 | A2_h | - | - | - | 77 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70795 | Magnetic | 386752 | 5686818 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70796 | Magnetic | 386745 | 5686796 | A2_h | - | - | - | 52 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70797 | Magnetic | 386732 | 5686808 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70798 | Magnetic | 386732 | 5686848 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70799 | Magnetic | 386645 | 5686866 | A2_I | - | - | - | 26 | A small, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70800 | Magnetic | 386633 | 5686875 | A2_h | - | - | - | 274 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70801 | Magnetic | 386626 | 5686840 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small, sharp positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 70802 | Magnetic | 386620 | 5686790 | A2_h | - | - | - | 58 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70803 | Magnetic | 386596 | 5686876 | A2_I | - | - | - | 19 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70804 | Magnetic | 386594 | 5686832 | A2_I | - | - | - | 27 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70805 | Magnetic | 386588 | 5686901 | A2_h | - | - | - | 80 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70806 | Magnetic | 386575 | 5686853 | A2_h | - | - | - | 95 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70807 | Magnetic | 386573 | 5686913 | A2_h | - | - | - | 144 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70808 | Magnetic | 386561 | 5686915 | A2_I | - | - | - | 35 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70809 | Magnetic | 386555 | 5686890 | A2_h | - | - | - | 227 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. Highest and lowest magnetic amplitude in a large complex anomaly. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70810 | Magnetic | 386554 | 5686804 | A2_I | - | - | - | 32 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70811 | Magnetic | 386550 | 5686829 | A2_h | - | - | - | 630 | A very large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Highest and lowest magnetic amplitudes in a complex anomaly. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70812 | Magnetic | 386538 | 5686859 | A2_I | - | - | - | 24 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70813 | Magnetic | 386528 | 5686844 | A2_I | - | - | - | 17 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70814 | Magnetic | 386530 | 5686900 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 70815 | Magnetic | 386513 | 5686891 | A2_h | - | - | - | 159 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. The highest and lowest tagged magnetic magnitudes in a large complex anomaly, over multiple survey lines. Likely related to small anomaly 70816, located 5 m WNW. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70816 | Magnetic | 386509 | 5686893 | A2_I | - | - | - | 36 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Located 5 m WNW of anomaly 70815 and may be related. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70817 | Magnetic | 386496 | 5686826 | A2_I | - | - | - | 15 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70818 | Magnetic | 386486 | 5686883 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70819 | Magnetic | 386478 | 5686858 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70820 | Magnetic | 386474 | 5686924 | A2_h | - | - | - | 301 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Potentially related to anomaly 70821 located 9 m to the north. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70821 | Magnetic | 386475 | 5686934 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. Potentially related to anomaly 70820 located 9 m to the south. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70822 | Magnetic | 386465 | 5686966 | A2_I | - | - | - | 46 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70823 | Magnetic | 386451 | 5686934 | A2_h | - | - | - | 203 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70824 | Magnetic | 386422 | 5686856 | A2_I | - | - | - | 12 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. Potentially related to anomaly 70826, a magnetic anomaly located approx. 10 m to the WNW. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70825 | Magnetic | 386419 | 5686866 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Potentially related to anomaly 70826, a magnetic anomaly located approx. 9 m to the southwest. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70826 | Magnetic | 386412 | 5686861 | A2_I | - | - | - | 43 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. Potentially related to three smaller surrounding magnetic anomalies, 70824, 70825 and 70827, all located approx. 10 m to the ESE, northeast and west respectively. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 70827 | Magnetic | 386402 | 5686861 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70828 | Magnetic | 386418 | 5686902 | A2_I | - | - | - | 22 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70829 | Magnetic | 386410 | 5686994 | A2_h | - | - | - | 128 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. Highest and lowest magnetic magnitudes in a large complex anomaly. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70830 | Magnetic | 386405 | 5686979 | A2_h | - | - | - | 102 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. Potentially related to complex anomaly 70829, located 20 m north. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70831 | Magnetic | 386384 | 5686938 | A2_I | - | - | - | 32 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70832 | Magnetic | 386384 | 5686999 | A2_h | - | - | - | 81 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70833 | Magnetic | 386372 | 5686973 | A2_I | - | - | - | 48 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70834 | Magnetic | 386366 | 5686922 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70835 | Magnetic | 386343 | 5686910 | A2_h | - | - | - | 162 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70836 | Magnetic | 386329 | 5686921 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70837 | Magnetic | 386325 | 5686953 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70838 | Magnetic | 386336 | 5687001 | A2_h | - | - | - | 57 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70839 | Magnetic | 386320 | 5686925 | A2_I | - | - | - | 25 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 70840 | Magnetic | 386309 | 5686947 | A2_I | - | - | - | 14 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70841 | Magnetic | 386309 | 5686984 | A2_h | - | - | - | 132 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70842 | Magnetic | 386302 | 5687017 | A2_h | - | - | - | 119 | A large, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70843 | Magnetic | 386299 | 5687038 | A2_I | - | - | - | 39 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70844 | Magnetic | 386289 | 5686990 | A2_h | - | - | - | 92 | A medium, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70845 | Magnetic | 386282 | 5686960 | A2_I | - | - | - | 18 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70846 | Magnetic | 386270 | 5687038 | A2_I | - | - | - | 16 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70847 | Magnetic | 386281 | 5686908 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70848 | Magnetic | 386266 | 5686921 | A2_I | - | - | - | 10 | A small positive monopole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70849 | Magnetic | 386260 | 5687061 | A2_h | - | - | - | 56 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough on one profile line. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70850 | Magnetic | 386216 | 5686940 | A2_h | - | - | - | 140 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70851 | Magnetic | 386219 | 5687008 | A2_h | - | - | - | 152 | A large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. The highest and lowest magnetic magnitude in a large anomaly. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70852 | Magnetic | 386222 | 5687018 | A2_I | - | - | - | 27 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. The highest and lowest magnetic magnitude in a large anomaly. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |

| WA ID | Classification | Easting | Northing | Archaeological discrimination | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Magnetic amplitude (nT) | Description | Anomaly type | Dataset | External reference |
|-------|----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 70853 | Magnetic | 386142 | 5687004 | A2_I | - | - | - | 11 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70854 | Magnetic | 386120 | 5686981 | A2_h | - | - | - | 86 | A medium, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. The highest and lowest nT magnitudes in a complex magnetic anomaly. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70855 | Magnetic | 386099 | 5686973 | A2_I | - | - | - | 20 | A small, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70856 | Magnetic | 386111 | 5687113 | A2_I | - | - | - | 46 | A small, sharp asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70857 | Magnetic | 386083 | 5687020 | A2_I | - | - | - | 21 | A small asymmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70858 | Magnetic | 386067 | 5687107 | A1 | - | - | - | 1101 | A very large, sharp symmetric dipole with peak and trough over two profile lines. The highest and lowest magnetic magnitudes of a large, complex anomaly. This location was not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |
| 70859 | Magnetic trend | 386001 | 5687021 | A2_I | 81.0 | - | - | 44 | A discontinuous linear magnetic trend oriented southwest, not covered by the SSS or MBES datasets. Interpreted as possible ferrous debris, could potentially be a modern feature but no features are noted on the charts. Retained in the gazetteer as a precaution. | Mag. | SEP Hydrographic 2024 | - |

Annex 6.A.8: Additional Seabed Features Not Identified in Marine Geophysical Survey Data

Notes: Co-ordinates are in ETRS89 UTM31N

| WA ID | Wreck category | Name | Easting | Northing | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Description | External reference |
|----------|--|--------------------|---------|----------|---------------|--------------|------------|--|--------------------|
| 2001 | Obstruction - foul ground | | 408354 | 5770041 | - | - | - | Recorded by the UKHO as an anchor, ten metres of chain, 150 metres of negatively buoyant nylon rope and 20 m of polypropylene rope, all lost in 2022. | UKHO_89809 |
| 2002 | Dangerous wreck | | 408081 | 5765927 | 52 | 32 | 2.2 | Recorded by the UKHO as an amorphous rounded shape, commonly associated with nets, possibly concealing debris below, or a possible unidentified wreck. | UKHO_10890 |
| 2003 | Dangerous wreck (dead) | Patrick Michael | 407342 | 5757133 | - | - | - | The dangerous wreck of a fishing vessel that sank in 1975 during passage from Ipswich for Dundee after striking an underwater object. The record was amended to 'dead' in 1995 after not being located during a survey. Remains of the vessel, particularly of a buried nature, may still exist in the area. | UKHO_14703 |
| 2004 | Dangerous wreck (dead) | UC21 | 400390 | 5706466 | - | - | - | Record for the dangerous wreck of submarine, UC21, lost in September 1917. Since 1970 the submarine has not been located in any survey and the record was amended to 'dead'. | UKHO_14050 |
| 2005 | Obstruction - foul ground (dead) | | 400356 | 5705725 | - | - | - | This record for a seabed obstruction, believed to be a fisherman's fastener, was amended to 'dead' after it could not be located during a survey in 1996. | UKHO_14035 |
| 2006 | Dangerous wreck | Laurl Coin | 399656 | 5700989 | 11 | 4.18 | 2.534 | Record for a dangerous wreck for <i>Laurl Coin</i> , a sailing vessel that sank in 2019 with all members of the crew safely recovered. The wreck is recording as sitting upright with the mast collapsed across the bow. | UKHO_92106 |

| WA ID | Wreck category | Name | Easting | Northing | Length (m) | Width (m) | Height (m) | Description | External reference |
|----------|---------------------------|---------|---------|----------|---------------|--------------|------------|--|--------------------|
| 2007 | Dangerous wreck | | 389816 | 5686074 | - | - | - | Record for a dangerous wreck for a barge that foundered in August 1986 whilst under tow. The UKHO record states that the barge was raised in November 1986, however material may still exist in the area. | UKHO_58820 |
| 2008 | Wreck (dead) | LCP 586 | 389455 | 5685030 | 11 | 3 | 0.9 | Record for a small wooden landing craft that was lost in June 1946 whilst alongside the steamship <i>Asa Lothrop</i> . In the most recent survey in September 2019, the wreck was not located and the record was amended to 'dead', however earlier surveys state that the craft may have become buried in the sand, and therefore remains may still exist in the area. | UKHO_13835 |
| 2009 | Wreck (dead) | Pisces | 389200 | 5685809 | - | - | - | Remains of the fishing vessel, <i>Pisces</i> , lost in December 1995 with the recovery of the crew of one. The record has been amended to 'dead' as the wreck was not located during the latest survey in 2019. | UKHO_15159 |
| 2010 | Obstruction - foul ground | | 388889 | 5686412 | 7.3 | 5 | 0.9 | Record relating to a 24ft steel tank originally identified in August 1974 and most recently in January 2011. | UKHO_13844 |
| 2011 | Obstruction - foul ground | | 387402 | 5686098 | - | - | - | The remains of a former beacon tower that sank in October 2019 and recorded as foul ground by the UKHO. | UKHO_92626 |
| 2012 | Wreck material | | 386185 | 568435 | - | - | - | Record relating to a large section of worked timber from a possible wreck site, of unknown date. The material, discovered in 2012, was identified as 'deadwood', which connects the keel to the rudderpost. The site was recommended for designation in 2019, however Historic England concluded there was insufficient evidence at that time to do so, the wreck having been covered by shifting sands. | KHER_TR36SW240 |

Annex 6.A.9: Maritime Recorded Losses

| NMHR ID | Name | Nationality | Type of craft | Construction | Built | Lost | Reason for loss | Journey | Area |
|---------|------------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|-------|------|---|--------------------------|-------------------|
| 1325424 | Madonna Del Rosario | Italian | Cargo vessel | Wood | | 1781 | Grounded, founded | Livorno to London | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1340865 | True Briton | British | | Wood | | 1808 | Stranded | Shields to London | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1341666 | Lord Melville | British | | Wood | | 1810 | Stranded | Aberdeen to London | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1346591 | Bridget | British | | Wood | | 1819 | Stranded | | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1346588 | Euphan | British | | Wood | | 1819 | Stranded | Scotland to London | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1347118 | London | British | Brig | Wood | 1877 | 1820 | Gale conditions, grounded, foundered | Great Yarmouth to London | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1359803 | Maldon | British | | Wood | | 1825 | Stranded | Grangemouth to London | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1359772 | London | British | Smack | Wood | | 1825 | Stranded | Arbroath to London | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1360350 | Wear Packet | British | Cargo vessel | Wood | | 1826 | Foundered | Sunderland to London | Suffolk/ Essex |

| NMHR ID | Name | Nationality | Type of craft | Construction | Built | Lost | Reason for loss | Journey | Area |
|---------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|-------|------|----------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| 1357683 | Unity | British | | Wood | | 1828 | Stranded | Sunderland to unknown | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1355995 | Superb | British | Smack | Wood | | 1829 | Grounded, stranded | Aberdeen to London | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1356226 | Fanny | British | Barque | Wood | | 1829 | Stranded | London to Shields, Newcastle Upon Tyne | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1337715 | Antilles | British | Brig | Wood | 1834 | 1863 | Foundered | | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1337772 | Pauline | British | Schooner | | | 1865 | Gale conditions, foundered | Newcastle Upon Tyne to Portugal | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1338335 | Henry And Polly | British | Dandy | Wood | 1877 | 1879 | Gale conditions, foundered | Great Yarmouth to London | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1338408 | Glencoe | British | Brigantine | Wood | | 1882 | Gale conditions, stranded | Hartlepool to Burnham | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1338566 | Kong Sverre | Norwegian | Barque | Wood | 1868 | 1882 | Grounded | Hudiksvall to Honfleur | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1338574 | Pride Of The Ocean | British | Cargo vessel | Wood | 1853 | 1883 | Stranded | Hamburg to New York | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1338585 | Polymnia | Norwegian | Cargo vessel | Wood | 1873 | 1883 | Stranded | Liepaja to Rochester | Suffolk/ Essex |

| NMHR ID | Name | Nationality | Type of craft | Construction | Built | Lost | Reason for loss | Journey | Area |
|---------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------|------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1338575 | Loreley | German | Barque | Wood | 1863 | 1883 | Gale conditions, foundered | Klaipeda to London | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1338837 | Rock City | Norwegian | Cargo vessel | Wood | 1853 | 1890 | Gale conditions, foundered | Drobak to London | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1338868 | John And Edward | Dutch | Ketch | Wood | 1873 | 1890 | Gale conditions, foundered | River Colne to River Colne | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1339217 | Francesco Crispi | Italian | Cargo vessel | Wood | | 1898 | Gale conditions, stranded | Blyth to Palermo | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1339500 | Whim | British | Schooner | Wood | 1865 | 1900 | Stranded | lpswich to Hebburn | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1457634 | Rym | Norwegian | Cargo vessel | | | 1915 | Torpedoed, foundered | Newcastle Upon Tyne to Rochford | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1486524 | HMS Japan | British | Trawler, minesweeper | Steel | 1904 | 1915 | Mined, foundered | | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1552294 | Princesse Marie Jose | British | Cargo vessel | Steel | 1909 | 1915 | Mined, foundered | Dunston to Bordeaux | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1460383 | Michail Ontchoukoff | Danish | Cargo vessel | Steel | 1905 | 1916 | Mined, foundered | Rosario to Aarhus | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1443761 | Forth | British | Cargo vessel | Steel | 1886 | 1916 | Mined, foundered | London to Leith | Suffolk/ Essex |

| NMHR ID | Name | Nationality | Type of craft | Construction | Built | Lost | Reason for loss | Journey | Area |
|---------|--------------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------|------|---|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 1541035 | HMS Strymon | British | Admiralty Vessel, Trawler, Minesweeper | Steel | 1899 | 1917 | Mined, foundered | | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1460367 | Marie Leonhardt | British | Collier | Steel | 1902 | 1917 | Mined, foundered | Hartlepool to London | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1340082 | Trevisa | British | Cargo vessel | | 1915 | 1917 | Stranded | From Blyth | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1488605 | HMS Forward | British | Drifter | | 1907 | 1917 | Mined, foundered | | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1340165 | Ostpreussen | British | Collier | Steel | 1901 | 1917 | Mined, foundered | Sunderland to London | Suffolk/ Essex |
| 1572769 | | French | Warship | Wood | | 1217 | Battle of Sandwich (First Barons' War) | For Lisbon | Kent |
| 1248621 | | French | Fishing vessel | Wood | | 1752 | Gale conditions | | Kent |
| 1248661 | | French | Fishing vessel | Wood | | 1752 | Gale conditions | | Kent |
| 1248646 | | French | Fishing vessel | Wood | | 1752 | Gale conditions | | Kent |
| 1248641 | | French | Fishing vessel | Wood | | 1752 | Gale conditions | | Kent |

| NMHR ID | Name | Nationality | Type of craft | Construction | Built | Lost | Reason for loss | Journey | Area |
|---------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|-------|------|--|--|------|
| 1248663 | | French | Fishing vessel | Wood | | 1752 | Gale conditions | | Kent |
| 1248648 | | French | Fishing vessel | Wood | | 1752 | Gale conditions | | Kent |
| 882128 | | British | Fishing vessel | Wood | | 1752 | Gale conditions | | Kent |
| 1248644 | | French | Fishing vessel | Wood | | 1752 | Gale conditions | | Kent |
| 1248647 | | French | Fishing vessel | Wood | | 1752 | Gale conditions | | Kent |
| 1248601 | | French | Fishing vessel | Wood | | 1752 | Gale conditions | | Kent |
| 1248643 | | French | Fishing vessel | Wood | | 1752 | Gale conditions | | Kent |
| 894920 | Le Ferme | French | | Wood | | 1755 | Wrecked | Marseilles to Le Havre | Kent |
| 1333910 | King Of Prussia | British | | Wood | | 1765 | Snowstorm, stranded on North Bank Ledge and capsized | For the Downs | Kent |
| 882161 | Prince Of Wales | British | | Wood | | 1781 | Stranded | London to Dublin | Kent |
| 1025613 | Rose | British | | Wood | | 1787 | Gale conditions, foundered | Newcastle upon tyne for Sandwich | Kent |

| NMHR ID | Name | Nationality | Type of craft | Construction | Built | Lost | Reason for loss | Journey | Area |
|---------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|-------|------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| 1025614 | | British | Collier | Wood | | 1808 | Foundered | | Kent |
| 882173 | Lady Ann | British | | Wood | | 1809 | Stranded | London to Grenada | Kent |
| 1025615 | Ann | | | Wood | | 1809 | Gale conditions, stranded | | Kent |
| 882204 | London | British | Brig | Wood | | 1852 | Stranded | Hartlepool to Rochester | Kent |
| 882205 | Victory | English | Schooner | Wood | | 1852 | Gale conditions, stranded | Sunderland for Maldon | Kent |
| 882231 | Navarno | British | Schooner | Wood | 1827 | 1867 | Snowstorm, foundered | Hartlepool to Ramsgate | Kent |
| 882256 | George Valentine | British | | Wood | | 1873 | Gale conditions | Darlington to Cherbourg | Kent |
| 882267 | | | | Wood | | 1877 | Stranded | | Kent |
| 882263 | Hedvig Sophia | Swedish | Barque | Wood | 1851 | 1877 | Collision, gale conditions | Dunkirk for Cardiff | Kent |
| 882285 | | French | Brig | Wood | | 1881 | Stranded, capsized | | Kent |
| 1193697 | Lorma | Norwegian | Schooner, barque | Wood | 1876 | 1891 | Collision with ship, gale conditions | Liverpool for Copenhagen | Kent |

Annex 6.A.10: Aviation Recorded Losses

| NMHR ID | Name | Type of craft | Nationality | Lost | Area |
|---------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------|------|------|
| 1602379 | B-17G 42-31243 | B-17G Flying Fortress | American | 1943 | Kent |

Formatted: NG Appendix Title

Annex 6.A.11: Coastal and Intertidal Sites of Archaeological Potential

Notes: Coordinates are in ETRS89 UTM31N. Centrepoints of polygons and lines are provided where used.

| WA ID | Туре | Period | Description | Easting | Northing | Associated reference | County |
|-------|----------|------------------------------|---|---------|----------|--|---------|
| 1001 | Feature | Modern (Second World War) | An extensive length of Second World War beach scaffolding visible on aerial photographs, running for approximately 3.5 km. A component of a much larger anti-invasion defence along the coast. The scaffolding is no longer in place, but elements may still exist in the area or may be buried. (Non-designated) | 404784 | 5780167 | SHER_MXS19838 (also referenced by SHER as ARG 051) | Suffolk |
| 1002 | Findspot | Modern | Single piece of modern metal gas/water pipe with a small diameter visible at lowest extent of the tide. The item appeared to be mobile modern debris based on its condition, degree of corrosion and the biofouling present. (Non-designated) | 404837 | 5780366 | Suffolk walkover survey | Suffolk |
| 1003 | Feature | Modern | Rifle range first visible on OS 1st Edition mapping from 1877 and noted as being 'disused' on the 1908 edition. No remains of the range were identified during the walkover surveys. (Nondesignated) | 386235 | 5687223 | KHER_MWX43720 | Kent |
| 1004 | Feature | Modern (Second World War) | Second World War coastal defence measure in the form of a wire obstacle, visible on military aerial photographs. No | 385763 | 5686566 | KHER_MWX43183 | Kent |

| WA ID | Туре | Period | Description | Easting | Northing | Associated reference | County |
|-------|---------|------------------------------|---|---------|----------|-----------------------------|--------|
| | | | remains were identified during the walkover surveys. (Non-designated) | | | | |
| 1005 | Feature | Modern (Second World War) | Second World War coastal defence measure in the form of a wire obstacle, visible on military aerial photographs. No remains were identified during the walkover surveys. (Non-designated) | 385890 | 5686716 | KHER_MWX43182 | Kent |
| 1006 | Feature | Modern (Second World War) | Second World War post alignment in the intertidal zone of Pegwell Bay comprising 81 circular posts visible on military aerial photographs taken in 1942. The aligned posts functioned as an anti-landing defence (both seaborne and airborne), inhibiting enemy movement in the intertidal zone and access inland. Several of these posts were identified during the walkover surveys, see 1007 and 1008. (Nondesignated) | 386560 | 5687212 | KHER_MWX43173 | Kent |
| 1007 | Feature | Modern (Second World War) | A linear alignment of fourteen wooden posts extending in a NW-SE direction, the same orientation as the Second World War anti-landing defence recorded as 1006, and so assumed to be an extension of this feature. The coordinate provided is the centre of the linear alignment. (Non-designated) | 386242 | 5686695 | 2nd Kent walkover survey | Kent |
| 1008 | Feature | Modern (Second World War) | Ten wooden posts, five of which align with the polygons recorded in 1006 identifying the remains of the Second | 386208 | 5686985 | 2nd Kent walkover survey | Kent |

| WA ID | Туре | Period | Description | Easting | Northing | Associated reference | County |
|-------|-----------|--------|---|---------|----------|-------------------------------------|--------|
| | | | World War anti-landing defence features. The posts measure between approximately 70 mm and 100 mm in diameter and are upstanding from the seabed in varying heights, up to 0.25 m. The coordinate provided is for the most central post. (Non-designated) | | | | |
| 1009 | Feature | Modern | Unidentified narrow, metal linear feature. The structure was underwater when surveyed and is covered with seaweed. It measures approximately 4.5 m long and 0.1 m wide. (Non-designated) | 386232 | 5687052 | 2nd Kent walkover survey | Kent |
| 1010 | Findspot | Modern | Three metal posts (approximately 0.1 m x 60 mm) within a rectangular concrete block, measuring approximately 0.24 m x 0.28 m. The metal posts are around 60 mm in height upstanding from the concrete block. (Non-designated) | 386266 | 5687014 | 2nd Kent walkover survey | Kent |
| 1011 | Findspot | Modern | Unidentified compressed metal cylinder measuring 0.36 m long, 0.28 m wide and 0.17 m high. (Non-designated) | 386158 | 5686944 | 2nd Kent walkover survey | Kent |
| 1012 | Structure | Modern | Elements of an unidentified disarticulated metal and wooden structure covering an area of approximately 5 m by 3 m. Surrounded by four metal posts/hawsers (1013, 1014, 1015 and 1016). This feature is located 16.8 m to the northwest of 1018 and may be associated. (Nondesignated). | 386120 | 5686895 | 1st and 2nd Kent walkover survey | Kent |

| WA ID | Туре | Period | Description | Easting | Northing | Associated reference | County |
|-------|-----------|------------------------------|---|---------|----------|-------------------------------------|--------|
| 1013 | Findspot | Modern | Unidentified metal post located close to disarticulated structural material 1012 and likely to be associated. (Nondesignated) | 386113 | 5686901 | 2nd Kent walkover survey | Kent |
| 1014 | Findspot | Modern | Unidentified metal post located close to disarticulated structural material 1012 and likely to be associated. (Nondesignated) | 386119 | 5686900 | 2nd Kent walkover survey | Kent |
| 1015 | Findspot | Modern | Modern metal rope hawser with possible steel thimble, its length is unknown as it continues under the seabed. Possibly associated with 1012, 1016 and 1018. (Non-designated) | 386115 | 5686891 | 1st and 2nd Kent walkover survey | Kent |
| 1016 | Findspot | Modern | Modern metal rope hawser, length unknown and continues under the seabed Possibly associated with 1010 and 1011. (Non-designated) | 386128 | 5686896 | 2nd Kent walkover survey | Kent |
| 1017 | Findspot | Modern (Second World War) | The approximate location of a fired 20 mm shell case present on the seabed, likely to have been moved around the beach with the tide. The case was made in 1942 at the Raleigh Cycle Co. in Nottingham. Identified during the first walkover survey. (Non-designated) | 386120 | 5686897 | 1st Kent walkover survey | Kent |
| 1018 | Structure | Modern | Elements of an unidentified disarticulated metal and wooden structure covering an area of approximately 4 m by 3 m. Located 16.8 | 386136 | 5686890 | 1st and 2nd Kent walkover survey | Kent |

| WA ID | Туре | Period | Description | Easting | Northing | Associated reference | County |
|-------|---------------------|------------------------------|---|---------|----------|--------------------------|--------|
| | | | m to the southeast of 1012 and may be associated. (Non-designated) | | | | |
| 1019 | Findspot | Modern | Circular metal rim protruding from sand. Inside diameter approximately 180 mm outside diameter 280 mm. This object was identified during the Kent walkover survey. (Non-designated) | 386496 | 5686924 | CITIZAN_84726 | Kent |
| 1020 | Feature | Medieval - post- medieval | Slight earthworks of a possible medieval/post medieval V-shaped fish trap visible in the exposed mud of Pegwell Bay on RAF aerial photographs taken in 1950. The feature comprises a V-shaped gully in the mud pointing southeast towards the sea in the direction of the receding tide. The two arms measure 103 m and 135 m in length. This feature was not identified during the walkover surveys. (Nondesignated) | 386837 | 5686875 | NMHR_1625664 | Kent |
| 1021 | Magnetic anomaly | Unknown | Approximate location of a large magnetic anomaly recorded during the magnetometer survey of the intertidal zone for unexploded ordnance, although no material was visible on the surface. (Non-designated) | 386738 | 5686859 | 1st Kent walkover survey | Kent |
| 1022 | Feature | Modern | Six regularly situated square wooden posts measuring approximately 60 mm x 60 mm and upstanding from the seabed around 70 mm. The posts are positioned 10 m apart in two rows of three posts. It | 386672 | 5686713 | 2nd Kent walkover survey | Kent |

| WA ID | Туре | Period | Description | Easting | Northing | Associated reference | County |
|-------|----------|---------|---|---------|----------|-------------------------------------|--------|
| | | | is unknown what these modern posts were originally intended for so far offshore, but due to the regularity of their positioning they could have been used for some sort of structure/platform, perhaps related to fishing. The position is the centre of the feature. (Nondesignated) | | | | |
| 1023 | Findspot | Modern | Unidentified small metal object upstanding approximately 30 mm from the seabed and continues into the seabed. (Non-designated) | 386657 | 5686693 | 2nd Kent walkover survey | Kent |
| 1024 | Findspot | Modern | Unidentified mangled and corroded metal object upstanding approximately 0.22 m from the seabed and measuring 0.18 m in length. (Non-designated) | 386610 | 5686657 | 2nd Kent walkover survey | Kent |
| 1025 | Findspot | Modern | Approximate location of modern debris that appears to be cylindrical in shape and approximately 0.25 m proud of the seabed. (Non-designated) | 386371 | 5686653 | 1st Kent walkover survey | Kent |
| 1026 | Feature | Unknown | At least seventeen wooden posts visible at low tide with a diameter of approximately 0.1 m and height of approximately 0.15 m. The posts are located in an area measuring 180 m by 157 m. Some of the posts appear to be in alignment, however it is not clear if all the posts are associated and what their function is. The coordinate provided is | 386544 | 5686554 | 1st and 2nd Kent walkover survey | Kent |

| WA ID | Туре | Period | Description | Easting | Northing | Associated reference | County |
|-------|----------|------------------------------|--|---------|----------|---|--------|
| | | | the approximate centre of these posts. (Non-designated) | | | | |
| 1027 | Findspot | Unknown | Unidentified upstanding timber structure with metal attachments located close to the current edge of the River Stour and could have been used as a mooring post in the past. It was not possible to access the feature and therefore the position has been estimated. (Non-designated) | | 5686461 | 1st Kent walkover survey | Kent |
| 1028 | Feature | Medieval - post- medieval | Possible fish weir comprising regularly spaced posts visible on Channel Coastal Observatory aerial images from 2008. The feature runs in a SSE direction for around 300 m before turning and continuing in a WSW direction for around 12 m. A shorter length of posts is visible around 5 m to the east at the apex of the weir. Similar shaped fish weirs have been recorded by CITiZAN and the Nautical Archaeology Society along Sandwich Bay. (Non-designated) | | 5686449 | Channel Coastal Observatory aerial images from 2008 | Kent |
| 1029 | Feature | Unknown | An area containing the remains of nine wooden posts. Due to the conditions of the intertidal mud, only two posts were surveyed individually and measured approximate 50 mm in diameter and upstanding approximately 80 mm. The remaining seven posts were identified by sight and the associated polygon is an estimation of the area containing the posts. It is possible that some of these | 386621 | 5686371 | 2nd Kent walkover survey | Kent |

| WA ID | Type | Period | Description | Easting | Northing | Associated reference | County |
|-------|---------------------------------|---------|--|---------|----------|-----------------------------|--------|
| | | | posts are associated with the fish trap 1028. The coordinates provided are the centrepoint of the polygon. (Non- designated) | | | | |
| 1030 | Feature | Modern | NE-SW alignment of three metal posts, possibly associated, measuring approximately 40 mm by 40 mm and upstanding a maximum of 120 mm from the seabed. The posts are located within 45 m of each other. The coordinate provided is for the centre post. (Nondesignated) | 386945 | 5686179 | 2nd Kent walkover survey | Kent |
| 1031 | Obstruction - foul ground | Unknown | Recorded in 2000, this feature was identified in aerial photographs as foul ground, with no further description provided. (Non-designated) | 386999 | 5687322 | UKHO_59030 | Kent |
| 1032 | Obstruction - foul ground | Unknown | Recorded in 2000, this feature was identified in aerial photographs as foul ground, with no further description provided. (Non-designated) | 387205 | 5686978 | UKHO_59034 | Kent |
| 1033 | Obstruction - foul ground | Unknown | Recorded in 2000, this feature was identified in aerial photographs as foul ground, with no further description provided. (Non-designated) | 387685 | 5686971 | UKHO_59033 | Kent |
| 1034 | Obstruction - foul ground | Unknown | Record relating to jagged metal believed to be a former beacon support and located on the edge of the River Stour. (Non-designated) | 386227 | 5686380 | UKHO_79215 | Kent |

| WA ID | Туре | Period | Description | Easting | Northing | Associated reference | County |
|-------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---------|----------|----------------------|--------|
| 1035 | Obstruction - foul ground | Unknown | Record described as a destroyed beacon identified on the edge of the River Stour visible at low water. (Non-designated) | 387074 | 5685996 | UKHO_70374 | Kent |
| 1036 | Structure | Modern | Concrete hard standing and metal remains of Ramsgate International Hoverport Terminal, opened in 1969 and operated until 1982/3. The site was later demolished between 1987 and 1999. The remains of a concrete landing skirt are located within the Order Limits. (Non-designated) | 386610 | 5687489 | KHER_MKE90799 | Kent |
| 1037 | Feature | Modern | Rifle range first visible on OS 1st Edition mapping from 1877 and no longer present on the 1899 edition. No remains of the range were identified during the walkover surveys. (Non-designated) | 387508 | 5687270 | KHER_MWX43749 | Kent |
| 1038 | Findspot | Iron Age - early Romano-British | Findspot for an Iron Age to early Romano-British ceramic closed-mouth jar. (Non-designated) | 386724 | 5686983 | KHER_MKE113026 | Kent |
| 1039 | Findspot | Late Neolithic - early Bronze Age | Findspot for a late Neolithic to early Bronze Age flint lithic implement, from an irregularly secondary flake of dense flint. (Non-designated) | 386724 | 5686983 | KHER_MKE113989 | Kent |
| 1040 | Findspot | Modern | Findspot for a mould produced ceramic Diya from the Indian sub-continent, of relatively modern date. (Non- designated) | 386724 | 5686983 | KHER_MKE113990 | Kent |

| WA ID | Туре | Period | Description | Easting | Northing | Associated reference | County |
|-------|----------|----------------|---|---------|----------|----------------------|--------|
| 1041 | Findspot | Romano-British | Findspot for a 1st century Samian cup, discovered in 1902 and on display in Ramsgate Public Library. (Non- designated) | 386484 | 5686398 | KHER_TR36SE14 | Kent |

Page intentionally blank

National Grid plc National Grid House, Warwick Technology Park, Gallows Hill, Warwick. CV34 6DA United Kingdom

Registered in England and Wales No. 4031152 nationalgrid.com